

## Best Proximity Point and Geometric Contraction Maps

M. R. Haddadi

Ayatollah Boroujerdi University

**Abstract.** In this paper we introduce geometric contraction map and give a new condition for the existence and uniqueness of best proximity point of geometric contractions. We also consider the convergence of iterates to proximity points in metric spaces.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 54E15; 46A03; 54E50; 41A17

**Keywords and Phrases:** Best proximity pair, best proximity point, fixed point, geometric contraction.

### 1. Introduction

Fixed point theory is an important tool for solving the equation  $T(x) = x$ . However, if  $T$  does not have any fixed point, then one often tries to find an element  $x$  which is in some sense closest to  $T(x)$ . A classical result in this direction is a best approximation theorem due to Ky Fan [1].

A best proximity pair evolves as a generalization of the best approximation considered in [1-5], of exploring the sufficient conditions for the existence of the best proximity point.

In this paper we consider sufficient conditions that ensure the existence of an element  $x \in X$  for two subsets  $A, B$  in metric space  $(X, d)$  such that  $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$  for  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ , where

$$\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf_{(a,b) \in A \times B} d(a, b).$$

In this case, we say that  $x$  is a best proximity point of  $T$  with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ . It is clear that if  $x$  is a best proximity point of  $T$ , then  $\text{dist}(A, B) = 0$  if and only if  $x$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

## 2. Main Results

In this section at first we give a new definition and use it to present new results.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $X$ . A map  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a geometric contraction map if*

- i)  $T(A) \subset B$  and  $T(B) \subset A$ .
- ii) For some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)^\alpha \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-\alpha}.$$

For example, if

$$A = \{(x, 0) : x \geq 1\}, \quad B = \{(0, y) : y \geq 1\},$$

and  $T(x, y) = (\sqrt{y}, \sqrt{x})$ . Then  $\text{dist}(A, B) = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x, 0) - T(0, y)\| &= \|(0, \sqrt{x}) - (\sqrt{y}, 0)\| \\ &= \|(\sqrt{y}, \sqrt{x})\| \\ &= \sqrt{x + y} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{dist}(A, B)\|(x, 0) - (0, y)\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T$  is a geometric contraction map with respect to  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $X$ ,  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  be a geometric contraction map and  $x_n = T^n x_0$  for  $x_0 \in A \cup B$ . Then  $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow \text{dist}(A, B)$ .*

**Proof.** By definition of geometric contraction map we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^\alpha \operatorname{dist}(A, B)^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})^{\alpha^2} \operatorname{dist}(A, B)^{1-\alpha^2} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq d(x_0, x_1)^{\alpha^n} \operatorname{dist}(A, B)^{1-\alpha^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow \operatorname{dist}(A, B)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $X$  and  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  be a geometric contraction map. Let  $x_0 \in A$  and define  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . Suppose  $\{x_{2n}\}$  has a convergent subsequence to  $x \in A$ . Then  $d(x, Tx) = \operatorname{dist}(A, B)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $\{x_{2n_k}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_{2n}\}$  converges to some  $x \in A$ . Now

$$\operatorname{dist}(A, B) \leq d(x, x_{2n_k-1}) \leq d(x, x_{2n_k}) + d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k-1}).$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.2, we have  $d(x, x_{2n_k-1})$  converges to  $d(A, B)$ . Since

$$\operatorname{dist}(A, B) \leq d(x_{2n_k}, Tx) \leq d(x_{2n_k-1}, x)^\alpha \operatorname{dist}(A, B)^{(1-\alpha)} \leq d(x_{2n_k-1}, x),$$

so  $d(x, Tx) = \operatorname{dist}(A, B)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $X$ ,  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  a geometric contraction map,  $x_0 \in A \cup B$  and  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then the sequences  $\{x_{2n}\}$  and  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  are bounded.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_0 \in A$  then since by Proposition 2.2,  $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$  converges to  $\operatorname{dist}(A, B)$ , it is enough to prove that  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  is bounded. Suppose  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  is not bounded, then for  $M > \operatorname{dist}(A, B)$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_{2n_0}, x_{2n_0+1}) > M, \quad d(x_0, x_{2n_0-1}) < M.$$

Hence by the geometric contraction property of  $T$ ,

$$M < d(x_2, x_{2n_0+1}) \leq d(x_0, x_{2n_0-1})^{\alpha^2} \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-\alpha^2},$$

and so

$$M^{\frac{1}{\alpha^2}} \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}} < d(x_0, x_{2n_0-1}) < M.$$

Therefore  $M < \text{dist}(A, B)$  which is a contradiction. The proof is similar when  $x_0 \in B$ .  $\square$

The Proposition 2.4 leads us to an existence result when one of the sets  $A$  or  $B$  is boundedly compact. We remember that a subset  $A$  of a metric space is boundedly compact if every bounded sequence in  $A$  has a convergent subsequence.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty closed subsets of a metric space  $X$  and  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  a geometric contraction map. If either  $A$  or  $B$  is boundedly compact, then there exists  $x \in A \cup B$  with  $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ .*

**Proof.** It follows directly from Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

In continue, we will give some new results of the existence of the best proximity points.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty closed subsets of a metric space  $X$ . Suppose that the mapping  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  satisfying  $T(A) \subset B, T(B) \subset A$  and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)^\alpha [d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)]^\beta \text{dist}(A, B)^\gamma,$$

for all  $x, y \in A \cup B$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 1$ . If  $A$  (or  $B$ ) is boundedly compact, then there exists  $x \in A \cup B$  with  $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_0$  is an arbitrary point of  $A \cup B$  and define  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &= d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1})^\alpha [d(x_n, Tx_n)d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})]^\beta \text{dist}(A, B)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1})^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}} \text{dist}(A, B)^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\beta}},$$

which implies that

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1})^k \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-k},$$

where  $k = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta} < 1$ . Hence inductively we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq d(x_1, x_0)^{k^n} \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-k^n},$$

and so

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \rightarrow \text{dist}(A, B).$$

Therefore, by repeat the technique of the proof of Proposition 2.4, both sequences  $\{x_{2n}\}$  and  $\{x_{2n+1}\}$  are bounded. Now since  $A$  (or  $B$ ) is boundedly compact then  $\{x_{2n}\}$  has a convergent subsequence and so by repeat the technique of the proof of Proposition 2.3, there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a metric space  $X$ . Suppose that the mapping  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  satisfying  $T(A) \subset B, T(B) \subset A$  and*

$$d(Tx, T^2x) \leq d(x, Tx)^k \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-k},$$

for all  $x \in A \cup B$ , where  $0 \leq k < 1$ . If there are  $u \in A \cup B$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T^n u = u$ , then  $d(u, Tu) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u \in A \cup B$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $T^n u = u$ . If  $\text{dist}(A, B) < d(u, Tu)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &= d(T(T^{n-1}u), T^2(T^{n-1}u)) \\ &\leq d(T^{n-1}u, T(T^{n-1}u))^k \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-k} \\ &\leq d(T^{n-2}u, T^{n-1}u)^{k^2} \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-k^2} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq d(u, Tu)^{k^n} \text{dist}(A, B)^{1-k^n} \\ &< d(u, Tu), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, so  $d(u, Tu) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ .  $\square$

In the following, we give some new conditions on the mapping  $T$  to find uniqueness of best proximity points. Remember that if  $X$  is a uniformly convex Banach space with modulus of convexity  $\delta$ . Then  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $\delta(\cdot)$  is strictly increasing. Moreover, if  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $d > 0$ , and  $r \in [0, 2d]$  such that  $\|x - z\| \leq d$ ,  $\|y - z\| \leq d$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq r$ , then

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} - z \right\| \leq \left(1 - \delta\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)\right)d. \quad (*)$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty closed and convex subsets of a Banach space  $X$  and  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  a geometric contraction map. Then there exists unique  $x \in A$  with  $\|x - Tx\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_0 \in A$  and  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . By Proposition 2.4, the sequence  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is bounded. Hence since  $X$  is uniformly convex,  $\{x_{2n}\}$  has a subsequence  $\{x_{2n_k}\}$  that weakly converges to  $x$  and so  $\|x - Tx\| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{2n_k} - Tx_{2n_k}\|$ . Therefore by Proposition 2.2,

$$\|x - Tx\| = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

If there exist  $x, y \in A$  and  $x \neq y$  such that  $\|x - Tx\| = \|y - Ty\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$  where necessarily,  $T^2x = x$  and  $T^2y = y$ . Therefore

$$\|Tx - y\| = \|Tx - T^2y\| \leq \|x - Ty\| \text{ and } \|Ty - x\| = \|Ty - T^2x\| \leq \|y - Tx\|,$$

then

$$\|Ty - x\| = \|y - Tx\|. \quad (**)$$

On the other hands,  $\|y - Tx\| > \text{dist}(A, B)$ . If  $\|y - Tx\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ , since  $X$  is uniformly convex by (\*) we have

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} - Tx \right\| \leq \left(1 - \delta\left(\frac{r}{d}\right)\right)\text{dist}(A, B) < \text{dist}(A, B),$$

since  $A$  is convex,  $\frac{x+y}{2} \in A$  and it is a contradiction. Hence  $\|y - Tx\| > \text{dist}(A, B)$ , and so  $\|y - Tx\| = \|T^2y - Tx\| < \|Ty - x\|$  which is a contradiction by (\*\*). Therefore  $x = y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty closed and convex subsets of a uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ . Suppose that the mapping  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  satisfying  $T(A) \subset B$ ,  $T(B) \subset A$  and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)^\alpha [d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)]^\beta \text{dist}(A, B)^\gamma,$$

*for all  $x, y \in A \cup B$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 1$ . Then there exists a unique element  $x \in A$  such that  $\|x - Tx\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ . Further, if  $x_0 \in A$  and  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ , then  $\{x_{2n}\}$  converges to the above unique element.*

**Proof.** One can prove this theorem by the method of the Proposition 2.8.  $\square$

## References

- [1] K. Fan, Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder, *Math. Zeit.*, 112 (1969), 234-240.
- [2] M. R. Haddadi and S. M. Moshtaghioun, *Some results on the best proximity pair*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, (2011), ID 158430, 9 pages.
- [3] S. Sadiq Basha, Best proximity points: global optimal approximate solutions, *J. Global Optimization*, 49 (1) (2011), 15-21.
- [4] M. A. Shahzad Naseer, Best proximity pairs and equilibrium pairs for Kakutani multimaps, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70 (3) (2009), 1209-1216.
- [5] I. Singer, *Best Approximations Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

**Mohammad Reza Haddadi**  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Assistant Professor of Mathematics  
 Ayatollah Boroujerdi University  
 Boroujerd, Iran  
 E-mail: haddadi@abru.ac.ir