Journal of Mathematical Extension Vol. 16, No. 7, (2022) (4)1-28 URL: https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2022.1382 ISSN: 1735-8299 Original Research Paper

# Recent Thought of $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty F-Contraction with Application

M. Arshad International Islamic University H-10

M. Mudhesh\* International Islamic University H-10

A. Hussain Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology. King Abdulaziz University E. Ameer

Taiz University

Abstract. In this paper, we use the concept of a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping to introduce the new notion of  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty type F-contraction multivalued mapping and prove some new common fixed point results for such contraction in b-metric-like spaces. Also, we give some examples to illustrate our main results, we also discuss existence a solution for a system of non-linear integral equation. **AMS Subject Classification:** 46S40; 47H10; 54H25.

Keywords and Phrases: Fixed point, b-metric-like space,  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty F-contraction, multivalued mapping.

Received: September 2019; Accepted: August 2020 \*Corresponding Author

## **1** Introduction and Preliminaries

Fixed point theorem is known as Banach's contraction principle [21] which is the base of functional analysis and plays a main role in several branches of mathematics and applied sciences whereas it shows the existence and uniqueness of solutions of many problems. Later Banach contraction principle has been generalized by using different forms of contractive conditions in diverse spaces. Many authors obtained interesting generalized results of the classical Banach contraction principle in several spaces see [1, 5, 14, 17, 20, 24, 27, 28, 30, 33, 36, 42, 45]. On the other hand, in 1973, Geraghty [30] generalized the Banach contraction principle. In 2013, Cho et al. [25] introduced the notion of  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contractive type mappings and deduced the unique fixed point theorems for such mappings in a complete metric space. The notion of alpha in fixed point theory is important since it combines three types results: fixed point in the setting of cyclic contraction, fixed point in the metric space endowed with a partial order and standard results, where Samet et al. [45] and Karapinar et al. [40] opened a new wide field in fixed point theory by introducing the concepts of  $\alpha$ -admissible and triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings and established various fixed point results for such type of mappings in the context of complete metric spaces. In 2014, Popescu [44] defined the concepts of  $\alpha$ -orbital and triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mappings and verified the unique fixed point theorems for the said mappings which are generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings. Ameer et al. [14] produced the notion of  $\alpha_*$ -orbital and triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible mappings with proving some fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces. After that many articles have been dedicated to generalize the Geraghty contraction mappings in different spaces see [16, 22, 25, 26, 34, 38, 39, 42, 43, 44]. In 2012, Wardowski [47] introduced definition of F-contraction and proved fixed point results as a generalization of the Banach contraction principle in complete metric spaces. Furthermore some studies are dedicated to apply this definition on several contraction mappings see [2, 35, 37, 46].

**Definition 1.1 [33].** Let X be a non-empty set and  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function. Then d is called a metric-like on X, if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ; (1) d(x, y) = 0 then x = y;

(2) d(x,y) = d(y,x); (3)  $d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y)$ . Then the pair (X,d) is said to be metriclike (or dislocated metric) space.

**Definition 1.2** [5]. Let X be a non-empty set and  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function, called a b-metric-like if there exists a real number  $s \ge 1$ such that the following conditions hold for every  $x, y, z \in X$ , (1) d(x, y) = 0 then x = y; (2) d(x, y) = d(y, x); (3)  $d(x, y) \le s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ . Then the pair (X, d) is said to be bmetric-like space.

**Definition 1.3 [3].** Let X be a nonempty set. Then  $(X, d, \preceq)$  is called partially ordered b-metric space if and only if d is a b-metric on a partially ordered set  $(X, \preceq)$ .

**Definition 1.4** [5]. Let (X, d) be a b-metric-like space,  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X, and  $x \in X$ . The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x if and only if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = d(x, x)$ .

**Remark 1.5** [5]. In a b-metric-like space, the limit for a convergent sequence is not unique in general.

**Example 1.6.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $d : X \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $d(x, y) = (\max \{x, y\})^2$ . Then (X, d) is a b-metric-like space, with a coefficient s = 2. Assume that

$$\{x_n\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } n \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{when } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

For  $x \ge 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\max \{x_n, x\})^2 = x^2 = d(x, x)$ . Therefore, it is a convergent sequence and  $x_n \to x$  for all  $x \ge 1$ . That is, limit of the sequence is not unique.

**Definition 1.7** [5]. Let (X, d) be a b-metric-like space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. We say that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy if and only if  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} d(x_n, x_m)$  exists and is finite.

**Definition 1.8** [5]. Let (X, d) be a b-metric-like space. We say that (X, d) is complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence in X converges to  $x \in X$  so that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = d(x, x) = \lim_{m, n \to \infty} d(x_m, x_n).$$

**Definition 1.9 [28].** Let X be a metric space and  $T : X \to X$  be a self-mapping. For  $A \subseteq X$ , let  $\delta(A) = \sup \{d(a,b) : a, b \in A\}$  and for each  $x \in X$ , let  $O(x,n) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, ..., T^nx\}$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ..., $O(x, \infty) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, ...\}$ . The set  $O(x, \infty)$  is called the orbit of T and the metric space X is said to be T-orbitally complete, if every Cauchy sequence in  $O(x, \infty)$  is convergent in X.

**Definition 1.10** [44]. Let  $T: X \to X$  be a map and  $\alpha: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then T is said to be  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible if  $\alpha(x, Tx) \ge 1$ implies  $\alpha(Tx, T^2x) \ge 1$ .

**Definition 1.11 [44].** Let  $T: X \to X$  be a map and  $\alpha: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Then T is said to be triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible if T is  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible and  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha(y, Ty) \ge 1$  imply  $\alpha(x, Ty) \ge 1$ .

**Lemma 1.12** [44]. Let  $T: X \to X$  be a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping. Assume that there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_1, Tx_1) \ge 1$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . Then we have  $\alpha(x_n, x_m) \ge 1$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with n < m.

**Definition 1.13** [14]. Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multivalued mappings and  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$  be a function. Then the pair (S, T) is said to be  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible if the following condition hold:

 $\alpha_*(x, Sx) \ge 1, \ \alpha_*(x, Tx) \ge 1 \text{ implies } \alpha_*(Sx, T^2x) \ge 1, \ \alpha_*(Tx, S^2x) \ge 1.$ 

**Definition 1.14** [14]. Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multivalued mappings and  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$  be a function. Then the pair (S, T) is said to be triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible, if the following conditions hold:

(i) (S,T) is  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible.

(ii)  $\alpha(x,y) \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha_*(y,Sy) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(y,Ty) \ge 1$  imply  $\alpha_*(x,Sy) \ge 1$ and  $\alpha_*(x,Ty) \ge 1$ .

**Lemma 1.15** [14]. Let  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  be two multivalued mappings such that the pair (S,T) is triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible. Assume that there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha_*(x_0, Sx_0) \ge 1$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\} \in X$  by  $x_{2i+1} \in Sx_{2i}$  and  $x_{2i+2} \in Tx_{2i+1}$ , where i = 0, 1, 2, ...Then for  $n, m \in N \cup \{0\}$  with m > n, we have  $\alpha(x_n, x_m) \ge 1$ .

**Definition 1.16 [29].** Let (X, d) be a b-metric-like space and CB(X) be the family of all nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of X. For  $A, B \in CB(X)$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$D(x, A) = \inf \{ d(x, a) : a \in A \},\$$
  

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup \{ D(a, B) : a \in A \},\$$
  

$$\delta(B, A) = \sup \{ D(b, A) : b \in B \}.$$

Also, define a mapping  $H: CB(X) \times CB(X) \to [0, \infty)$  by

 $H(A,B) = \max \left\{ \delta(A,B), \ \delta(B,A) \right\}.$ 

Then H is called a Pompeiu-Hausdorff b-metric-like. For A and B two nonempty subsets of a b-metric-like space (X, d), define  $d(A, B) = \inf \{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$ .

**Lemma 1.17 [29].** Let (X,d) be a b-metric-like space. For  $x \in X$  and  $A, B, C \in CB(X)$ , we have

(1)  $H(A, A) = \delta(A, A) = \sup \{D(a, A) : a \in A\};$ 

- (2) H(A,B) = H(B,A);
- (3) H(A,B) = 0 implies A = B;
- (4)  $H(A,B) \le s[H(A,C) + H(C,B)];$
- (5)  $D(x, A) \le s[d(x, y) + D(y, A)].$

**Theorem 1.18.** [30]. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and  $T : X \to X$  be a mapping such that  $\forall x, y \in X$ ,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y),$$

where  $\beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1)$  is a function satisfying  $\beta(t_n) \to 1 \implies t_n \to 0$ as  $n \to \infty$ .

Then T has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

**Theorem 1.19.** [39]. Let (X, d) be a complete metric-like space and  $T: X \to X$  be a mapping such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\beta \in \xi$  and  $\xi$  is the family of all functions  $\beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1)$  which satisfy the condition  $\beta(t_n) \to 1$  implies  $t_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then T has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  with  $d(x^*, x^*) = 0$ .

Cho et al. [25]. proved the following interesting result.

**Definition 1.20.** [25]. Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. A map  $T : X \to X$  is called a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping if  $\exists \beta \in \xi$  such that  $\forall x, y \in X$ ,

$$\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \le \beta\left(M(x, y)\right)M(x, y),$$

where

$$M(x, y) = max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}.$$

**Theorem 1.21.** [25]. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function, and let  $T : X \to X$  be a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) T is a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraphty contraction type map;

(ii) T is triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible;

(iii)  $\exists x_0 \in X \text{ such that } \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1;$ 

(iv) T is continuous.

Then T has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x_0\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

**Definition 1.22.** [44]. Let (X,d) be a metric space and let  $\alpha$ :  $X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. A map  $T: X \to X$  is called a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mapping if  $\exists \beta \in \xi$  such that  $\forall x, y \in X$ ,

$$\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \le \beta\left(M(x, y)\right)M(x, y),$$

where

$$M(x,y) = max\{d(x,y), d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty), \frac{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)}{2}\}.$$

**Theorem 1.23.** [44]. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space,  $\alpha$ :  $X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function, and let  $T: X \to X$  be a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) T is a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraphty contraction type mapping;

(ii) T is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping;

(iii)  $\exists x_0 \in X \text{ such that } \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ ;

(iv) T is continuous.

Then T has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^nx\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

**Definition 1.24 [31].** Let (X, d) be a b-metric-like space, and let T be a self-mapping on X. T is called orbitally continuous whenever for each  $x, z \in X$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(T^n x, z\right) = d\left(z, z\right) \Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(TT^n x, Tz\right) = d\left(Tz, Tz\right).$$

**Definition 1.25** [47]. Let  $\Delta_F$  be the family of all functions F:  $(0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions

(F1) F is strictly increasing, i.e., for all  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow F(\alpha) < F(\beta)$ ;

(F2) For each sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  of positive numbers  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$ ;

(F3) There exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that  $\lim_{k \to 0} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ .

## 2 Main Results

In this section, we improve the notion of Geraghty contraction type mappings and estaplish some new common fixed point theorem for pair of generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty *F*-contraction for multivalued mapping in a b-metric-like space.

**Definition 2.1.** Let (X, d) be a b-metric-like space,  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function. Two multivalued mappings  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  is called a pair of generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty F-contraction mapping if there exist  $\beta \in \xi$  and  $F \in \Delta_F$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $s \ge 1$  and  $\tau \in R_+$  with H(Sx, Ty) > 0,

$$\tau + F\left(\alpha\left(x, y\right)s^{3}H(Sx, Ty)\right) \le F\left(\beta\left(M(x, y)\right).M(x, y)\right), \quad (1)$$

where

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), D(x,Sx), D(y,Ty), \frac{D(x,Ty) + D(y,Sx)}{4s}\}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric-like space,  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function, and  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  two multivalued mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) A pair (S,T) is generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraphty F-contraction;
- (ii) A pair (S,T) is triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible;
- (iii) there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha_*(x_0, Sx_0) \ge 1$ ;
- (iv) S and T are continuous.
  - Then (S,T) has a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

**Proof.** Due to (*iii*), we define a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  by letting  $x_1 \in Sx_0$ such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$  and  $x_2 \in Tx_1, x_3 \in Sx_2, ..., x_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$ and  $x_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1}$ . As (S,T) is triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible, From Lemma 1.15, we have  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Since (S,T) is generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty F-contraction for multivalued mappings, then from (1) we have

$$F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \leq F(s^{3}H(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})) 2 \qquad (1)$$
  
$$\leq F(\alpha(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) s^{3}H(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}))$$
  
$$\leq F(\beta(M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) . M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) - \tau,$$

we evaluate

$$M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), D(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), \\ D(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \\ \frac{D(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + D(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}{4s} \end{array} \right\}$$
$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \\ d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), \\ \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})}{4s} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})}{4s} \\ \leq \max \left\{ d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \right\}$$

We conclude that

$$M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \max \left\{ d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \right\}.$$

Now if

$$\max\left\{d\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}\right), d\left(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}\right)\right\} = d\left(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}\right) \text{ for } n \ge 1,$$

then from (2), we get

$$F\left(d\left(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}\right)\right) \le F\left(\beta\left(d\left(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}\right)\right) \cdot d\left(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}\right)\right) - \tau.$$

Since  $\beta \in \xi$  and  $\tau > 0$ , we have

$$F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \le F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})),$$

which is a contradiction as  $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \ge 0$ . Therefore

$$\max\left\{d\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}\right), d\left(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}\right)\right\} = d\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}\right),\$$

by (2), we have

$$F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \leq F(\beta(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) . d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) - \tau < F(\beta(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) . d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})).$$

Since  $F \in \Delta_F$ , we conclude

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) < \beta(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) \cdot d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}).$$

As  $\beta \in \xi$ , then

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) < d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}).$$

Hence,  $\{d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\}\$  is a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers. Again from (2) for all  $n \ge 1$ , we get

$$F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \leq F(s^{3}H(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}))$$
  

$$\leq F(\alpha(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})s^{3}H(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}))$$
  

$$\leq F(\beta(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})).d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) - \tau$$
  

$$\leq F(d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) - \tau$$
  

$$\leq F(\alpha(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})s^{3}H(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})) - \tau$$
  

$$\leq F(d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})) - 2\tau$$
  

$$\leq F(\alpha(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1})s^{3}H(Sx_{2n-2}, Tx_{2n-1})) - 2\tau$$
  

$$\leq F(d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1})) - 3\tau,$$

by continuing this manner, we obtain

$$F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) \le F(d(x_0, x_1)) - (2n+1)\tau.$$
(3)

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  in (3), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})) = -\infty.$$

By (F2), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = 0.$$
(4)

Now we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Suppose on the

contrary that  $\{x_n\}$  is not Cauchy sequence. Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and the subsequences  $\{x_{m_k}\}$  and  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  with  $n_k > m_k > k$  such that

$$d\left(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}\right) \ge \epsilon,\tag{5}$$

we choose  $n_k$  to be the smallest number such that (5) holds, then we have

$$d\left(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k}\right) < \epsilon. \tag{6}$$

By triangular inequality and (5), (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &\leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) 7 \\
&\leq s[d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) + d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k})] \\
&< s[d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) + \epsilon].
\end{aligned}$$
(2)

Taking the limit as  $k \to \infty$  in (7) and using (4), we get

$$\epsilon \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} d\left(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}\right) \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} d\left(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}\right) < s\epsilon.$$
(8)

Again by triangular inequality, taking the limit as  $k \to \infty$  and using (4) and (8), we conclude

$$\frac{\epsilon}{s} \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} d\left(x_{n_k+1}, x_{m_k}\right) \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} d\left(x_{n_k+1}, x_{m_k}\right) < s^2 \epsilon.$$
(9)

Similarly

$$\frac{\epsilon}{s} \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} d\left(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k+1}\right) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} d\left(x_{n_k+1}, x_{m_k+2}\right) < s^2 \epsilon.$$
(10)

Also by triangular inequality, taking the limit as  $k \to \infty$  and utilizing (4) and (9), we conclude

$$\frac{\epsilon}{s^2} \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} d\left(x_{n_k+1}, x_{m_k+1}\right) \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} d\left(x_{n_k+1}, x_{m_k+1}\right) \le s^3 \epsilon.$$
(11)

Now from (2), we write

$$F(d(x_{n_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1})) \leq F(\alpha(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}) s^{3} d(Sx_{n_{k}}, Tx_{m_{k}}))$$
  
$$\leq F(\beta(M(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}})) M(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}})) - \tau$$
  
$$< F(\beta(M(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}})) M(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}})),$$

this implies that

$$d(x_{n_k+1}, x_{m_k+1}) < \beta(M(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k})) . M(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) < M(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}),$$

where

$$M(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}), d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}), d(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k+1}), \\ \frac{d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k+1}) + d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k+1})}{4s} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Taking upper limit as  $k \to \infty$  and using (4), (8) and (10), we get

$$\epsilon = \max\left\{\epsilon, \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{s} + \frac{\epsilon}{s}}{4s}\right\} \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \sup M\left(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}\right)$$
$$\le \max\left\{s\epsilon, \frac{s^2\epsilon + s^2\epsilon}{2}\right\} = s\epsilon.$$

Similarly

$$\epsilon = \max\left\{\epsilon, \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{s} + \frac{\epsilon}{s}}{4s}\right\} \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf M\left(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}\right)$$
$$\le \max\left\{s\epsilon, \frac{s^2\epsilon + s^2\epsilon}{2}\right\} = s\epsilon.$$

Hence, from (11), it follows

$$F(s\epsilon) = F\left(s^{3}\frac{\epsilon}{s^{2}}\right) \leq F\left(s^{3}\lim_{k\to\infty}\sup d\left(x_{n_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)\right)$$

$$\leq F\left(\alpha\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)s^{3}\lim_{k\to\infty}\sup d\left(Sx_{n_{k}}, Tx_{m_{k}}\right)\right)$$

$$\leq F\left(\alpha\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)s^{3}\lim_{k\to\infty}\sup H\left(Sx_{n_{k}}, Tx_{m_{k}}\right)\right)$$

$$\leq F\left(\beta\left(\lim_{k\to\infty}M\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)\right)\left(\lim_{k\to\infty}\sup M\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)\right)\right) - \tau$$

$$\leq F\left(\beta\left(s\epsilon\right)\left(s\epsilon\right)\right) - \tau$$

$$< F\left(\beta\left(s\epsilon\right)\left(s\epsilon\right)\right),$$

as  $F \in \Delta_F$  and  $\beta \in \xi$ , we get  $s\epsilon < s\epsilon$ . Which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_n \longrightarrow x^*$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , implies  $x_{2i+1} \rightarrow x^*$  and  $x_{2i+2} \rightarrow x^*$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . As T is continuous. Then we have

$$D(x_*, Tx^*) = \lim_{i \to \infty} D(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*) 12$$

$$\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} H(Tx_{2i+1}, Tx^*) = H(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$
(3)

Utilizing the triangular inequality, we get

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \le s[d(x^*, x_{2i+2}) + D(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*)].$$

Letting  $i \to \infty$  and using (12), we have

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} sd(x^*, x_{2i+2}) + \lim_{i \to \infty} sD(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*)]$$
  
$$\leq sH(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$

Thus, we have

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \le sH(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$
 (13)

Now we show that  $x^* \in Tx^*$ . suppose that  $x^* \notin Tx^*$ . from (13), we find that  $D(x^*, Tx^*) \neq 0$ , moreover

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F\left(D\left(x^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) &\leq & F\left(sH\left(Tx^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) \leq F\left(s^{3}H\left(Tx^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) 14\left(4\right) \\ &\leq & F\left(\alpha\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)s^{3}H\left(Tx^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) \\ &\leq & F\left(\beta\left(M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right).M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right) - \tau \\ &< & F\left(\beta\left(M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right).M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right) \\ &< & F\left(M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right). \end{array}$$

Thus

$$\begin{array}{lll} M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right) & = & \max\left\{ \begin{array}{c} d\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right), D\left(x^{*},Tx^{*}\right), D\left(x^{*},Tx^{*}\right), \\ & \underline{D(x^{*},Tx^{*}) + D(x^{*},Tx^{*})}{4s} \end{array} \right\} \\ & = & D\left(x^{*},Tx^{*}\right). \end{array}$$

From (14), we get

$$F\left(D\left(x^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) < F\left(D\left(x^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right),$$

since  $F \in \Delta_F$ , we obtain

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) < D(x^*, Tx^*),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $x^* \in Tx^*$ , similarly,  $x^* \in Sx^*$ . Hence the pair (S,T) has a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete b-metriclike space,  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function. Two non-decreasing multivalued mappings  $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  is called a pair of generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty F-contraction mapping if there exist  $\beta \in \xi$  and  $F \in \Delta_F$ such that for all  $x, y \in X, s \geq 1$  and  $\tau \in R_+$ ,

$$\tau + F\left(\alpha(x, y)s^{3}H(Sx, Ty)\right) \leq F\left(\beta\left(M(x, y)\right).M(x, y)\right),$$

where

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), D(x,Sx), D(y,Ty), \frac{D(x,Ty) + D(y,Sx)}{4s}\},\$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) (S,T) is a triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible;
- (ii) X is (S,T)-orbitally complete for each  $x, y \in O(x)$  with  $x \preceq y$ ;
- (iii) there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha_*(x_0, Sx_0) \ge 1$  with  $x_0 \preceq Sx_0$ ;
- (iv) S or T is orbitally continuous at  $x^* \in X$ .

Then (S,T) has a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \preceq Sx_0$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by letting  $x_1 \in Sx_0$  such that  $x_0 \preceq x_1$  and  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  then  $x_1 \preceq x_2$ . As S, T are non-decreasing, we have  $x_3 \in Sx_2$  such that  $x_2 \preceq x_3$ . Continuing the same procedures, we obtain a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq O(x)$  such that  $\forall n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

 $x_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1}$ ,  $\Rightarrow x_{2n} \preceq x_{2n+1}$  and  $x_{2n+1} \preceq x_{2n+2}$ ,

$$x_0 \preceq x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq \ldots \preceq x_n \preceq x_{n+1} \preceq \ldots$$

As (S, T) is triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible, From Lemma 1.15, we have  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

since (S,T) is generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty *F*-contraction for multivalued mappings, and by the analogous proof as in Theorem 2.2, we conclude that  $\{x_n\} \subseteq O(x)$  is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Since *X* is orbitally complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_n \longrightarrow x^*$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , implies  $x_{2i+1} \to x^*$  and  $x_{2i+2} \to x^*$  as  $i \to \infty$ . As T is orbitally continuous at  $x^* \in X$ , then for each  $x, x^* \in X$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} D\left(T^n x, x^*\right) = d\left(x^*, x^*\right) \Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} H\left(TT^n x, Tx^*\right) = H\left(Tx^*, Tx^*\right).$$

Then we have

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) = \lim_{i \to \infty} D(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*)$$
  
$$\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} H(Tx_{2i+1}, Tx^*) = H(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$

Utilizing the triangular inequality, we get

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \le s[d(x^*, x_{2i+2}) + D(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*)]$$

Letting  $i \to \infty$ , we have

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} sd(x^*, x_{2i+2}) + \lim_{i \to \infty} sD(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*)]$$
  
$$\leq sH(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$

Thus, we have

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \le sH(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$

Now we show that  $x^* \in Tx^*$ . suppose that  $x^* \notin Tx^*$ . from above inequality, we find that  $D(x^*, Tx^*) \neq 0$ , moreover

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F\left(D\left(x^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) &\leq & F\left(sH\left(Tx^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) \leq F\left(s^{3}H\left(Tx^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) \\ &\leq & F\left(\alpha\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)s^{3}H\left(Tx^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) \\ &\leq & F\left(\beta\left(M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right).M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right) - \tau \\ &< & F\left(\beta\left(M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right).M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right) \\ &< & F\left(M\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right). \end{array}$$

Whereas

$$M(x^*, x^*) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} d(x^*, x^*), D(x^*, Tx^*), D(x^*, Tx^*), \\ \underline{D(x^*, Tx^*) + D(x^*, Tx^*)} \\ 4s \end{array} \right\} \\ = D(x^*, Tx^*).$$

Now we have

$$F(D(x^*, Tx^*)) < F(D(x^*, Tx^*))$$
 implies  $D(x^*, Tx^*) < D(x^*, Tx^*)$ ,

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $x^* \in Tx^*$ , similarly,  $x^* \in Sx^*$ . Hence the pair (S,T) has a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

From Theorem 2.2, if s = 1, we deduce the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric-like space,  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function. Two multivalued mappings  $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  is called a pair of generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty F-contraction mapping if there exist  $\beta \in \xi$  and  $F \in \Delta_F$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\tau \in R_+$ ,

$$\tau + F\left(\alpha(x, y)H(Sx, Ty)\right) \le F\left(\beta\left(M(x, y)\right).M(x, y)\right),$$

where

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), D(x,Sx), D(y,Ty), \frac{D(x,Ty) + D(y,Sx)}{4}\},\$$

satisfying the following conditions: (i) (S,T) is a triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible; (ii) there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha_*(x_0, Sx_0) \ge 1$ ; (iii) S and T are continuous. Then (S,T) has a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

The following Theorem comes directly from Theorem 2.2, when we consider M(x, y) = d(x, y).

**Theorem 2.5.** Let (X,d) be a complete b-metric-like space,  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0,\infty)$  be a function. Two multivalued mappings  $S,T : X \to CB(X)$  is called a pair of generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty F-contraction mapping if there exist  $\beta \in \xi$  and  $F \in \Delta_F$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $s \geq 1, \ \tau \in R_+$  and H(Sx,Ty) > 0,

$$\tau + F\left(\alpha(x, y)s^{3}H(Sx, Ty)\right) \leq F\left(\beta\left(d(x, y)\right).d(x, y)\right),$$

satisfying the following conditions: (i) (S,T) is a triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible; (ii) there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha_*(x_0, Sx_0) \ge 1$ ;

(iii) S and T are continuous.

Then (S,T) has a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

**Proof.** By congruous way of proof of theorem 2.2 with considering that M(x,y) = d(x,y), we conclude that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_n \longrightarrow x^*$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , implies  $x_{2i+1} \to x^*$  and  $x_{2i+2} \to x^*$  as  $i \to \infty$ . As T is continuous. Then we have

$$D(x_*, Tx^*) = \lim_{i \to \infty} D(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*)$$
  
$$\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} H(Tx_{2i+1}, Tx^*) = H(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$

Utilizing the triangular inequality, we get

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \le s[d(x^*, x_{2i+2}) + D(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*)].$$

Letting  $i \to \infty$  and using above inequality, we have

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} sd(x^*, x_{2i+2}) + \lim_{i \to \infty} sD(x_{2i+2}, Tx^*)]$$
  
$$\leq sH(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$

Thus, we have

$$D(x^*, Tx^*) \le sH(Tx^*, Tx^*).$$

Again by the triangular inequality and Letting  $i \to \infty$ , we get

$$d\left(x^*, x^*\right) \le sD\left(x^*, Tx^*\right)$$

Now we show that  $x^* \in Tx^*$ . suppose that  $x^* \notin Tx^*$ . from above inequalities, we find that  $D(x^*, Tx^*) \neq 0$ , moreover

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F\left(d\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right) &\leq & F\left(sD\left(x^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) \leq F\left(s^{3}H\left(Tx^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) \\ &\leq & F\left(\alpha\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)s^{3}H\left(Tx^{*},Tx^{*}\right)\right) \\ &\leq & F\left(\beta\left(d\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right).d\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right) - \tau \\ &< & F\left(\beta\left(d\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right).d\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right) \\ &< & F\left(d\left(x^{*},x^{*}\right)\right). \end{array}$$

From (2.16) since  $F \in \Delta_F$ , we get  $d(x^*, x^*) < d(x^*, x^*)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $x^* \in Tx^*$ , similarly,  $x^* \in Sx^*$ . Hence the pair (S,T) has a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

We can extract the following Corollary by taking S = T in Theorem 2.2.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let (X,d) be a complete b-metric-like space,  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0,\infty)$  be a function. A multivalued mapping  $T : X \to CB(X)$  is called generalized  $\alpha_*$ -Geraghty F-contraction mapping if there exist  $\beta \in \xi$  and  $F \in \Delta_F$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $s \ge 1$  and  $\tau \in R_+$ ,

$$\tau + F\left(\alpha(x, y)s^{3}H(Tx, Ty)\right) \leq F\left(\beta\left(M(x, y)\right).M(x, y)\right),$$

where

$$M(x,y) = max\{d(x,y), D(x,Tx), D(y,Ty), \frac{D(x,Ty) + D(y,Tx)}{4s}\},\$$

satisfying the following conditions: (i) T is a triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible; (ii) there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha_*(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$ ; (iii) T is a continuous. Then T has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

We can study Theorem 2.2 for single valued mappings to conclude the following Corollary.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric-like space and  $\alpha$ :  $X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function. Two mappings  $S, T : X \to X$  are called a pair of generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty F-contraction type mappings if there exists  $\beta \in \xi$  and  $F \in \Delta_F$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $s \ge 1$  and  $\tau > 1$ ,

$$\tau + F\left(\alpha(x,y)s^{3}d(Sx,Ty)\right) \leq F\left(\beta\left(M(x,y)\right).M(x,y)\right),$$

where

$$M(x,y) = max\{d(x,y), d(x,Sx), d(y,Ty), \frac{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Sx)}{4s}\}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) (S,T) is a generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraphty F-contraction type mappings;
- (ii) (S,T) is a triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible;
- (iii) there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Sx_0) \ge 1$ ;

(iv) S and T are continuous.

Then S and T have a common fixed point  $x^* \in X$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $d : X \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $d(x, y) = (|x| + |y| + a)^p$ . Then (X, d) is a b-metric-like space, where  $p > 1, a \ge 0$  and  $s = 2^{p-1}$ . Define  $S, T : X \to CB(X)$  by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{x}{64} \right\}, & \text{if } x \in [0,1] \\ \left\{ 0 \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } Tx = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{x}{64} \right\}, & \text{if } x \in [0,1] \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \right\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Also, we define  $\alpha: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x, y \in [0,1] \\ \frac{1}{16} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $F: R^+ \to R$  is defined by  $F(x) = \ln(x)$ , and  $\beta: X \times X \to [0, 1)$  by  $\beta(x, y) = \frac{4}{5}$ . Now for  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ 

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha\left(x,y\right)s^{3}H(Sx,Ty)) &= F(2^{3p-3}H(\left\{\frac{x}{64}\right\},\left\{\frac{y}{64}\right\})) \\ &= F\left(2^{3p-3}d\left(\frac{x}{64},\frac{y}{64}\right)\right) \\ &= F\left(2^{3p-3}\left(\left|\frac{x}{64}\right| + \left|\frac{y}{64}\right| + a\right)^{p}\right) \\ &= F\left(\frac{2^{3p-3}}{64^{p}}\left(|x| + |y| + 64a\right)^{2}\right) \\ &= F\left(\frac{2^{3p-3}}{2^{6p}}\left(|x| + |y| + a_{1}\right)^{p}\right) \\ &= F\left(\frac{1}{2^{3p+3}}d\left(x,y\right)\right) \\ &\leq F\left(\frac{4}{5}d\left(x,y\right)\right) \\ &\leq F\left(\beta\left(M(x,y)\right).M(x,y)\right) - \tau \end{aligned}$$

.

Otherwise, we have

 $F(\alpha(x,y)s^{3}H(Sx,Ty)) \leq F(\beta(M(x,y)).M(x,y)) - \tau$ 

Hence for  $\tau \in (0, 0.2)$  and  $a \in [0, \frac{1}{64})$ ,

$$\tau + F(\alpha(x, y) s^{3}H(Sx, Ty)) \leq F(\beta(M(x, y)) . M(x, y)).$$

Similarly for each  $x, y \in X$  we can find some  $\tau > 0$  that satisfy the inequality. Now we show that (S,T) is a pair of triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible mapping. For  $x, y \in [0,1]$ , then  $\alpha(x,y) \ge 1$ ,  $Sx \le 1$ ,  $Sy \le 1$ ,  $Tx \le 1$ , and  $Ty \le 1$ , also  $S^2x = S(Sx) \le 1$  and  $T^2x = T(Tx) \le 1$ , so it follows that  $\alpha_*(x, Sx) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(x, Tx) \ge 1$  imply  $\alpha_*(Sx, T^2x) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(Tx, S^2x) \ge 1$ . Thus (S,T) is  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible. Let  $x, y \in [0,1]$  be such that  $\alpha(x,y) \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha_*(y,Sy) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(y,Ty) \ge 1$  imply  $\alpha_*(x,Sy) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_*(x,Ty) \ge 1$ . Therefore (S,T) is triangular  $\alpha_*$ -orbital admissible.

Furthermore, if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then  $x_n \subseteq [0, 1]$  and hence  $x \in [0, 1]$ . This implies that  $\alpha_*(x, Sx) \ge 1$ . Let  $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$\alpha_*\left(\frac{1}{2}, S\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{128}\right) \ge 1$$

Hence, all conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied and  $x^* = 0$  is the common fixed point of S and T.

# 3 Application for Existence a Solution to the System of Non-Linear Integral Equations

In this section, we study an existence a common solution to the system of non-linear integral equations by using Corollary 2.7. Consider the system of nonlinear quadratic integral equations:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \int_0^1 F(t,r) \,\Phi_1(r,x(r)) dr; \\ y(t) = \int_0^1 F(t,r) \,\Phi_2(r,y(r)) dr, \end{cases}$$
(15)

where  $F : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$  is continuous at  $t \in [0,1]$  for every  $r \in [0,1]$  and measurable at  $r \in [0,1]$  for every  $t \in [0,1]$ , and also  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$  are continuous functions.

Define the operators  $S, T : X \to X$  by:

$$\begin{cases} Sx(t) = \int_0^1 F(t,r) \Phi_1(r,x(r)) dr; \\ Ty(t) = \int_0^1 F(t,r) \Phi_2(r,y(r)) dr, \end{cases}$$
(16)

where X = C([0, 1]) (the space of continuous functions defined on [0, 1] with b-metric-like defined by  $d(x, y) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (|x(t)| + |y(t)|)^p$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X$ . Obviously, (X, d) is a complete b-metric-like space with the constant  $s = 2^{p-1}$  and  $p \ge 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Define two mappings as in (16). Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i)  $F : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty)$  is continuous at  $t \in [0,1]$  for every  $r \in [0,1]$  and measurable at  $r \in [0,1]$  for every  $t \in [0,1]$  such that  $\int_0^1 F(t,r) dr \leq \sqrt[p]{\frac{e^{-\tau}}{s^3 \alpha(x,y)}};$ 

(ii)  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2: [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$  are continuous functions, such that there exists a constant  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  and  $\forall x, y \in X$ 

$$|\Phi_1(r, x(r))| + |\Phi_2(r, y(r))| \le \lambda(|x(r)| + |y(r)|);$$

(iii)  $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv) Define two functions  $\beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1)$  by  $\beta(t) = \frac{1}{2^p}$ , and  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  by  $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ .  $\forall x, y \in X$ .

Then the system of integral equations (15) has a common solution in X.

**Proof.** Define the operators  $S, T : X \to X$  as in (16), we get

$$\begin{split} (|Sx(r)| + |Ty(r)|)^p &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \left| \int_0^1 F(t, r) \Phi_1(r, x(r)) dr \right| + \\ \left| \int_0^1 F(t, r) \Phi_2(r, y(r)) dr \right| \end{array} \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( \begin{array}{c} \int_0^1 |F(t, r) \Phi_1(r, x(r))| dr + \\ \int_0^1 |F(t, r) \Phi_2(r, y(r))| dr \end{array} \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^1 C \left( \begin{array}{c} |F(t, r) \Phi_1(r, x(r))| + \\ |F(t, r) \Phi_2(r, y(r))| \end{array} \right) dr \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^1 F(t, r) \left( |\Phi_1(r, x(r))| + |\Phi_2(r, y(r))| \right) dr \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^1 F(t, r) \left( \lambda(|x(r)| + |y(r)|) \right) dr \right)^p \\ &= \left( \int_0^1 F(t, r) \lambda^p \left( (|x(r)| + |y(r)|) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dr \right)^p \\ &\leq d(x, y) \lambda^p \left( \sqrt[p]{\frac{e^{-\tau}}{s^3 \alpha(x, y)}} \right)^p \\ &= \frac{e^{-\tau}}{s^3 \alpha(x, y)} \lambda^p d(x, y) \,. \end{split}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\left(x,y\right)s^{3}d\left(Sx,Ty\right) &\leq e^{-\tau}\lambda^{p}d\left(x,y\right) \\ &\leq e^{-\tau}\frac{1}{2^{p}}d\left(x,y\right) \\ &\leq e^{-\tau}\beta\left(M\left(x,y\right)\right)M\left(x,y\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying natural logarithm on above inequality and after some simplification, we get

$$\tau + \ln\left(\alpha\left(x, y\right) s^{3} d\left(Sx, Ty\right)\right) \leq \ln\left(\beta\left(M\left(x, y\right)\right) M\left(x, y\right)\right).$$

Thus (S, T) is a pair of generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty *F*-contraction type mappings with  $F(x) = \ln x$ . All other conditions of Corllary 2.7 immediately follows by the hypothesis. Therefore, the operators S, T have a common

fixed point, that is, the system of nonlinear quadratic integral equations (15) has a common solution.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' Contributions

The second author made the first draft of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# References

- T. Abdeljawad, Meir-Keeler α-Contractive fixed and common fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 19 (2013), 1-10.
- [2] O. Acar, G. Durmaz and G. Minak, Generalized multivalued Fcontractions on complete metric spaces, *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 40 (2014), 1469-1478.
- [3] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, J. R. Roshan, Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in parially ordered b-metric space, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 64, No. 4, (2014), 941–960.
- [4] U. Aksoy, E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan, Fixed points of generalized α-admissible contractions on b-metric spaces with an application to boundary value problems, *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Anal*ysis, V. 17, No. 6, (2016), 1095-1108.
- [5] M. A. Alghamdi, N. Hussain, and P. Salimi, Fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems on b-metric-like spaces, *Journal of In*equalities and Applications, Article number: 402 (2013) 1-25.
- [6] A. S. S. Alharbi, H. H. Alsulami, and E. Karapinar, On the Power of Simulation and Admissible Functions in Metric Fixed Point Theory, *Journal of Function Spaces*, V. 2017, Article ID 2068163, (2017), 1-7.

- [7] M. U. Ali, T. Kamram, E. Karapinar, An approach to existence of fixed points of generalized contractive multivalued mappings of integral type via admissible mapping, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Article Id: 141489, (2014), 1-7.
- [8] M.U. Ali, T. Kamran, E. Karapinar, Fixed point of α-ψ-contractive type mappings in uniform spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article number: 150 (2014), 1-12.
- [9] M.U. Ali, T. Kamran, E. Karapinar, A new approach to  $(\alpha, \psi)$ contractive nonself multivalued mappings, *Journal of Inequalities*and Applications, 2014:71 (2014), 1-9.
- [10] M.U. Ali, T. Kamran, E. Karapinar,  $(\alpha, \psi, \xi)$ -contractive multivalued mappings, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article number: 7, (2014), 1-8.
- [11] M. U. Ali, T. Kamran, E. Karapinar, Discussion on α-Strictly Contractive Nonself Multivalued Maps, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics, Volume 44, Issue 2, (2016), 441-447.
- [12] S. A. Al-Mezel, C.-M. Chen, E. Karapinar and V. Rakočević, Fixed point results for various α-admissible contractive mappings on metric-like spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Article ID 379358, (2014), 1-15.
- [13] H. H. Alsulami, S. Gülyaz, E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan, Fixed point theorems for a class of α-admissible contractions and applications to boundary value problem, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Article Id: 187031, (2014), 1-10.
- [14] E. Ameer, M. Arshad and W. Shatanawi, Common fixed point results for generalized  $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -contraction multivalued mappings in bmetric spaces, *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 19, Issue: 4, (2017), 3069-3086.
- [15] M. Arshad, E. Ameer, E. Karapinar, Generalized contractions with triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible mapping on Branciari metric spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2016:63, Issue: 1, (2016), 1-21.

- [16] H. Aydi, A. Felhi and H. Afshari, New Geraghty type contractions on metric-like spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10, (2017), 780–788.
- [17] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, and S. Sahmim, On common fixed points for  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -contractions and generalized cyclic contractions in b-metriclike spaces and consequences, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9 (2016), 2492–2510.
- [18] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, H. Yazidi, Modified F-Contractions via α-Admissible Mappings and Application to Integral Equations, FILO-MAT, vol. 31, Issue: 5, (2017), 1141- 148.
- [19] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, D. Zhang, A note on generalized admissible-Meir-Keeler-contractions in the context of generalized metric spaces, *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 71, Issue: 1, (2017), 73-92.
- [20] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasi-metric spaces, Funct. Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst., vol. 30, (1989), 26-37.
- [21] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations itegrals, *Fund. Math.*, 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [22] S. Chandok, Some fixed point theorems for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible Geraghty type contractive mappings and related results, *Math. Sci.* (Springer), 9 (2015), 127–135.
- [23] C.-M. Chen, A. Abkar, S. Ghods and E. Karapınar, Fixed Point Theory for the α-Admissible Meir-Keeler Type Set Contractions Having KKM\* Property on Almost Convex Sets, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* 11, No. 1, (2017), 171-176.
- [24] Ch. Chen, J. Dong, Ch. Zhu, Some fixed point theorems in b-metriclike spaces, *Fixed point theory and applications*, (2015), 1-10.
- [25] S. H. Cho, J. Bae, E. Karapinar, Fixed point theorems for α-Geraghty cotraction type maps in metric spaces, *Fixed point theory* and applications, 2013:329 (2013), 1-11.

- [26] P. Chuadchawna, A. Kaewcharoen and S. Plubtieng, Fixed point theorems for generalized α – η – ψ-Geraghty contraction type mappings in α – η-complete metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9 (2016), 471-485.
- [27] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, 1 (1993), 5–11.
- [28] L. B. Cirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 45 (1974), 267–273.
- [29] A. Felhi and H. Aydi, Best proximity points and stability results for controlled proximal contractive set valued mappings, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article number: 22 (2016), 1-23.
- [30] M. A. Geraghty, On contractive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 40 (1973), 604–608.
- [31] N. Gholamian and M. Khanehgir, Fixed points of generalized Meir-Keeler contraction mappings in b-metric-like spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article number: 34 (2016), 1-19.
- [32] K. Hammache, E. Karapinar, A. Ould-Hammouda, On Admissible Weak Contractions in b-Metric-Like Space, *Journal Of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 8, Issue: 3, (2017), 167-180.
- [33] P. Hitzler, A. K. Seda, Dislocated topologies, J. Electr. Eng., 51(12) (2000), 3–7.
- [34] N. T. Hieu and Le. Thi. Chac, Some fixed point theorems for Generalized  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings in b-metric spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equation, *Facta Universitatis* (*NIS*) Ser. Math. Inform. Vol. 32, No 2, (2017), 231–253.
- [35] N. Hussain, M. Arshad, M. Abbas and A. Hussain, Generalized Dynamic Process for Generalized (f,L)-almost F-Contraction with Applications, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9(3) (2016), 1702–1715.
- [36] N. Hussain, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, Fixed points of contractive mappings in b-metric-like spaces, *Sci. World. J*, (2014), 1-15.

- [37] N. Hussain and P. Salimi, Suzuki-wardowski type fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ -GF-contractions, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, (2014), 1879-1895.
- [38] E. Karapinar, A discussion on "α ψ-Geraghty Contraction Type Mappings", Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia, *Filomat*, 28:4, (2014), 761–766.
- [39] E. Karapınar, H. H. Alsulami and M. Noorwali, Some extensions for Geragthy type contractive mappings, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, article number: 303 (2015), 1-22.
- [40] E. Karapinar, P. Kumam and P. Salimi, On  $\alpha \psi$  -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, article ID 94 (2013), 1-12.
- [41] E. Karapınar, B. Samet, Generalized  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, article ID 793486, (2012), 1-17.
- [42] P. Kumam, N. V. Dung, K. Sitthithakerngkiet, A generalization of Ćirić fixed point theorems, *Filomat*, 29:7 (2015), 1549–1556.
- [43] R. Pant, R. Panicker, Geraghty and ćirić type fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, 9, (2016), 5741-5755.
- [44] O. Popescu, Some new fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 190 (2014), 1-12.
- [45] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha \psi$ contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75 (2012), 2154-2165.
- [46] D. Singh, V. Chauhan, and R. Wangkeeree, Geraghty Type Generalized F-Contractions and Related Applications in Partial b-Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Analysis*, Article ID 8247925, (2017), 1-14.

[47] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Teory and Applications*, article no. 94, (2012), 1-6.

#### Muhammad Arshad

Professor of Mathematics Department of Mathematics and Statistics International Islamic university H-10 Islamabad, Pakistan E-mail: marshadzia@iiu.edu.pk

## Mustafa Mudhesh

Ph.D Student in Pure Mathematics Department of Mathematics and Statistics International Islamic university H-10 Islamabad, Pakistan E-mail: mustfa.rajh@gmail.com

## Aftab Hussain

Assistant Professor of Mathematics Department of Mathematics and Statistics Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering & Information Technology, Rahim Yar Khan 64200, Pakistan King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia E-mail: aftabshh@gmail.com

#### Eskandar Ameer

Assistant Professor of Mathematics Department of Mathematics Taiz University, Taiz, Yemen E-mail: eskandarameer@gmail.com