Journal of Mathematical Extension Vol. 15, No. 3, (2021) (5)1-19 URL: https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2021.1436 ISSN: 1735-8299 Original Research Paper

# Fixed Point Theorems in $C^*$ -Algebra-Valued $b_v(s)$ -Metric Spaces with Application and Numerical Methods

### M.H. Saboori

Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University

M. Hassani Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University

**R. Allahyari**<sup>\*</sup> Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University

M. Mehrabinezhad Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University

**Abstract.** We first introduce a novel notion named  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces. Then, we give proofs of the Banach contraction principle, the expansion mapping theorem, and Jungck's theorem in  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces. As an application of our results, we establish a result for an integral equation in a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space. Finally, a numerical method is presented to solve the proposed integral equation, and the convergence of this method is also studied. Moreover, a numerical example is given to show applicability and accuracy of the numerical method and guarantee the theoretical results.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10; 46L07 **Keywords and Phrases:**  $C^*$ -algebra,  $b_v(s)$ -metric space, Fixed point theorem, Integral equation, Contractive mapping

Received: October 2019 ; Accepted: August 2020 \*Corresponding Author

### **1** Introduction and Preliminaries

Banach contraction principle [4] was introduced by Banach in 1922, and later it is called the fixed point theorem. The fixed point theorem is a strong tool for solving existence problems in many branches of mathematics and physics.

Bakhtin [3] introduced b-metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces and proved analogue of the Banach contraction principle in bmetric spaces. In the paper [6], Branciari introduced the concept of v-generalized metric spaces. Radenović and Mitrović [12] introduced the concept  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces, bmetric spaces, and v-generalized metric spaces. On the other hand, Ma et al. [11] presented the concept of  $C^*$ -algebra-valued metric spaces. Later, this line of research was continued in [1, 5, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16], where several other fixed point results were obtained in the framework of  $C^*$ -algebra-valued metric, as well as (more general)  $C^*$ -algebra-valued b-metric spaces. Now, in this paper, we introduce a new notion  $C^*$ algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces. Then, we prove the Banach contraction principle, expansion mapping theorem, and Jungck's theorem [2] for C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces. Also, we state a result for an integral equation in a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space, which demonstrates an application of our main theorem. Finally, we propose a numerical method for solving the integral equation and investigate the convergence of this method. Moreover, to illustrate an application and accuracy, we present a numerical example, which guarantees the theoretical results.

We provide some auxiliary facts which will be used in the rest of the paper. Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{A}$  always denotes a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with a unit  $1_{\mathbb{A}}$ . We call an element  $a \in \mathbb{A}$  a *positive element*, denoted  $a \succeq 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ , if  $a \in \mathbb{A}_h$  and  $\sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ , where  $\mathbb{A}_h = \{a \in \mathbb{A} : a^* = a\}$ . The set  $\mathbb{A}_+$  indicates the positive elements of  $\mathbb{A}$ . Also,  $\mathbb{A}' = \{a \in \mathbb{A} : xa = ax, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{A}\}.$ 

**Lemma 1.1.** [13] Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with unit  $1_{\mathbb{A}}$ .

- (1) If  $a, b \in \mathbb{A}_h$  with  $a \leq b$  and  $c \in \mathbb{A}$ , then  $c^*ac \leq c^*bc$ .
- (2) For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{A}_h$ , if  $0_\mathbb{A} \leq a \leq b$ , then  $||a|| \leq ||b||$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** [7, 13] Suppose that A is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with unit  $1_A$ .

- (1) For any  $x \in \mathbb{A}_+$ , it follows that  $x \leq 1_{\mathbb{A}}$  if and only if  $||x|| \leq 1$ .
- (2) If  $a \in \mathbb{A}_+$  with  $||a|| \prec \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $1_{\mathbb{A}} a$  is invertible and  $||a(1_{\mathbb{A}} a)^{-1}|| \prec 1$ .
- (3) Suppose that  $a, b \in \mathbb{A}_+$  with ab = ba; then  $ab \succeq 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ .
- (4) For  $a \in \mathbb{A}'$ , if  $b \succeq c \succeq 0_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $1_{\mathbb{A}} a \in \mathbb{A}'_+$  is an invertible element, then  $(1_{\mathbb{A}} - a)^{-1}b \succeq (1_{\mathbb{A}} - a)^{-1}c$ .

### 2 Main results

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be a nonempty set and let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. The mapping  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{A}_+$  is called  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric, if there exists  $s \in \mathbb{A}'_+$  with  $||s|| \succeq 1$  such that d satisfies

- (1)  $d(x, y) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$  if and only if x = y for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- (2) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all  $x, y \in X$ .
- (3)  $d(x,y) \leq s[d(x,u_1) + d(u_1,u_2) + \dots + d(u_{v-1},u_v) + d(u_v,y)]$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and for all distinct elements  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_v \in X \{x, y\}$  in which  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ metric space. Then  $T: X \to X$  is called a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued contractive mapping, if there exists  $B \in \mathbb{A}$  with  $||B|| \prec 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq B^* d(x, y) B \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$
(1)

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \ell^p = \{x = \{x_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p \prec +\infty\}, p \in (0,1)$ , and let  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Define  $d(x, y) = \text{diag}\left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$  in which "diag" denotes a diagonal matrix and  $x, y \in X$ . It is easy to verify that d(.,.) is a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric. For proving (3) of Definition 2.1 with v = 2, we only need to use the following inequality:

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \leq 2^{\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)} \left[ \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |x_n - u_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |u_n - z_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n - y_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right],$$

which implies that  $d(x,y) \leq s \left[ d(x,u) + d(u,z) + d(z,y) \right]$ , where  $s = 2^{\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)} I \in \mathbb{A}'_+$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and for all distinct elements  $u, z \in X - \{x, y\}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space and let  $s \in \mathbb{A}'_+$ . Then  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_{2v}(s^2)$ -metric space.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space. Let

$$d(x,y) \leq s \Big[ d(x,u_1) + d(u_1,u_2) + \dots + d(u_v,y) \Big]$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and for all distinct elements  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_v \in X - \{x, y\}$ . Then, for different  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_v \in X - \{x, y, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_v\}$ , we have

$$d(u_v, y) \preceq s \Big[ d(u_v, s_1) + d(s_1, s_2) + \dots + d(s_v, y) \Big].$$

On the other hand, for every  $C^*$ -algebra, if a and b are positive elements with  $a \leq b$ , and in addition  $s \in \mathbb{A}'_+$ , then  $sa \leq sb$ . Now, by the above inequality, we have

$$sd(u_v, y) \preceq s \Big[ s[d(u_v, s_1) + \dots + d(s_v, y)] \Big].$$

Furthermore, if  $a, b \succeq 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ , then  $a + b \succeq 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ . Hence we can write

$$d(x,y) \leq s \Big[ d(x,u_1) + d(u_1,u_2) + \dots + d(u_{v-1},u_v) + s [d(u_v,s_1) + \dots + d(s_v,y)] \Big].$$

Since  $I \leq s$  and  $s \in \mathbb{A}'_+$ , so  $s \leq s^2$  and  $sb \leq s^2b$  for all positive element  $b \in \mathbb{A}$ . Hence, for all positive elements  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{A}$ , if  $a \leq sb + s^2c$ , then

 $a \leq s^2 b + s^2 c$ . Thus, we get d(x, y) $\leq s^2 \Big[ d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + \dots + d(u_v, s_1) + d(s_1, s_2) + \dots + d(s_v, y) \Big],$ 

which implies that  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  is a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra-valued  $b_{2v}(s^2)$ -metric space.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space, let  $T: X \to X$ , and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X defined by  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  such that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$   $(n \succeq 0)$ . If T is a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued contractive mapping, then  $x_n \neq x_m$  for all distinct numbers  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose, to the contrary, that  $x_n = x_{n+p}$  for some  $n \succeq 0$  and  $p \succeq 1$ .

Since T is a  $C^*\text{-algebra-valued contractive mapping, there exists <math display="inline">B\in\mathbb{A}$  with  $\|B\|\prec 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq B^* d(x, y) B$$
, for all  $x, y \in X$ .

On the other hand, we have  $Tx_n = Tx_{n+p}$ , and the assumptions imply  $x_{n+1} = x_{n+p+1}$ . Now, we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(x_{n+p+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq B^* d(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p-1}) B.$$

Similarly,

$$d(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p-1}) \leq B^* d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p-2})B.$$

Now, using Lemma 1.1, we conclude

$$0_{\mathbb{A}} \leq d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(x_{n+p+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq B^* d(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p-1}) B$$
$$\leq (B^*)^2 d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p-2}) B^2$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\leq (B^*)^p d(x_{n+1}, x_n) B^p.$$

Finally, by applying Lemma 1.1 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\| & \preceq \|(B^*)^p d(x_{n+1}, x_n) B^p\| \\ & \preceq \|(B^*)^p\| \|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\| \|B^p\| \\ & \preceq \|B^*\|^p \|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\| \|B\|^p \\ & = \|B\|^{2p} \|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\| \\ & \prec \|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\|, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space. Suppose that  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  and  $x \in X$ . If, for any  $\varepsilon \succ 0$ , there is a natural number N such that  $||d(x_n, x)|| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \succ N$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be convergent with respect to  $\mathbb{A}$ , also  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x, or x is the limit of  $\{x_n\}$ . We denote it by  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = x$ .

For any  $\varepsilon \succ 0$ , if there is a natural number N such that  $||d(x_n, x_m)|| \preceq \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m \succ N$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is called a *Cauchy sequence with respect to*  $\mathbb{A}$ .

We say  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  is a complete  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space if every Cauchy sequence with respect to  $\mathbb{A}$  is convergent.

**Theorem 2.7.** Suppose that  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  is a complete  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space with coefficient s. Let  $T : X \to X$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued contractive mapping with constant B. If there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $s(B^*)^{n_0}B^{n_0} \prec 1_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $B^{n_0} \in \mathbb{A}'$ , then T has a unique fixed point in X.

**Proof.** It is clear that if  $B = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ , then T maps X into a single point. Thus without loss of generality, one can suppose that  $B \neq 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ .

Choose  $x_0 \in X$ , and set  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n = T^{n+1}x_0, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ If  $x_n = x_{n+1}$  for some  $n \succeq 0$ , then T has a unique fixed point in X. Otherwise, we consider  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$   $(n \succeq 0)$ . Using Lemma 2.5 implies that  $x_n \neq x_m$  for all distinct numbers  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, notice that  $s[d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + \cdots + d(u_{v-1}, u_v) + d(u_v, y)], s \in \mathbb{A}'_+$ , is also a positive element. Now, by Lemma 1.1 and the condition (1) on

# FIXED POINT THEOREM IN C\*-ALGEBRA-VALUED $b_v(s)$ -METRIC SPACES... 7

T, it follows that

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \leq B^* d(x_n, x_{n-1})B$$
  
$$\leq (B^*)^2 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2})B^2$$
  
$$\vdots$$
  
$$\leq (B^*)^n d(x_1, x_0)B^n.$$

We consider the following two cases:

(1)  $v \succeq 2$ (2) v = 1.

Let  $v\succeq 2$  . Also, suppose that  $m\succ n;$  then the triangle inequality for the  $b_v(s)\text{-metric }d$  implies that

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq s \Big[ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+\nu-3}, x_{n+\nu-2}) \\ + d(x_{n+\nu-2}, x_{n+n_0}) + d(x_{n+n_0}, x_{m+n_o}) + d(x_{m+n_o}, x_m) \Big] \\ \leq s \Big[ (B^*)^n d(x_0, x_1) B^n + (B^*)^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1) B^{n+1} + \dots \\ + (B^*)^{n+\nu-3} d(x_0, x_1) B^{n+\nu-3} + (B^*)^n d(x_{\nu-2}, x_{n_0}) B^n \\ + (B^*)^{n_0} d(x_n, x_m) B^{n_0} + (B^*)^m d(x_{n_0}, x_0) B^m \Big].$$

So,  $d(x_n, x_m) - s(B^*)^{n_0} d(x_n, x_m) B^{n_0}$ 

$$\leq s(B^*)^n d(x_0, x_1) B^n + s(B^*)^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1) B^{n+1} + \dots + s(B^*)^{n+\nu-3} d(x_0, x_1) B^{n+\nu-3} + s(B^*)^n d(x_{\nu-2}, x_{n_0}) B^n + s(B^*)^m d(x_{n_0}, x_0) B^m.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.1, we have  $d(x_n, x_m)(1_{\mathbb{A}} - s(B^*)^{n_0}B^{n_0})$ 

#### M.H. SABOORI et al.

- $\leq ||d(x_n, x_m)(1_{\mathbb{A}} s(B^*)^{n_0} B^{n_0})||1_{\mathbb{A}}|$
- $\leq \|s(B^*)^n d(x_0, x_1)B^n + s(B^*)^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1)B^{n+1} + \cdots$  $+ s(B^*)^{n+\nu-3} d(x_0, x_1)B^{n+\nu-3} + s(B^*)^n d(x_{\nu-2}, x_{n_0})B^n$  $+ s(B^*)^m d(x_{n_0}, x_0)B^m \|_{1_{\mathbb{A}}}$
- $\leq \|s(B^*)^n d(x_0, x_1) B^n \| 1_{\mathbb{A}} + \|s(B^*)^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1) B^{n+1} \| 1_{\mathbb{A}} + \dots \\ + \|s(B^*)^{n+\nu-3} d(x_0, x_1) B^{n+\nu-3} \| 1_{\mathbb{A}} + \|s(B^*)^n d(x_{\nu-2}, x_{n_0}) B^n \| 1_{\mathbb{A}} \\ + \|s(B^*)^m d(x_{n_0}, x_0) B^m \| 1_{\mathbb{A}}$
- $\leq \|s\| \| (B^*)^n \| \| d(x_0, x_1) \| \| B^n \| 1_{\mathbb{A}} + \|s\| \| (B^*)^{n+1} \| \| d(x_0, x_1) \| \| B^{n+1} \| 1_{\mathbb{A}} \\ + \|s\| \| (B^*)^{n+\nu-3} \| \| d(x_0, x_1) \| \| B^{n+\nu-3} \| 1_{\mathbb{A}} \\ + \|s\| \| (B^*)^n \| \| d(x_{\nu-2}, x_{n_0}) \| \| B^n \| 1_{\mathbb{A}} \\ + \|s\| \| (B^*)^m \| \| d(x_{n_0}, x_0) \| \| B^m \| 1_{\mathbb{A}}$
- $\leq ||s|||B^*||^n ||d(x_0, x_1)|||B||^n 1_{\mathbb{A}} + ||s|||B^*||^{n+1} ||d(x_0, x_1)|||B||^{n+1} 1_{\mathbb{A}}$  $+ ||s|||B^*||^{n+v-3} ||d(x_0, x_1)|||B||^{n+v-3} 1_{\mathbb{A}} + ||s|||B^*||^n ||d(x_{v-2}, x_{n_0})|||B||^n 1_{\mathbb{A}}$  $+ ||s|||B^*||^m ||d(x_{n_0}, x_0)|||B||^m 1_{\mathbb{A}}.$

Now, since  $(1_{\mathbb{A}} - s(B^*)^{n_0}B^{n_0}) \in \mathbb{A}'_+$  and it is invertible. Hence, by Lemma 1.2, we have

 $d(x_n, x_m)$ 

$$\leq \left( \|s\| \|B^*\|^n \|d(x_0, x_1)\| \|B\|^n + \|s\| \|B^*\|^{n+1} \|d(x_0, x_1)\| \|B\|^{n+1} \\ + \|s\| \|B^*\|^{n+\nu-3} \|d(x_0, x_1)\| \|B\|^{n+\nu-3} + \|s\| \|B^*\|^n \|d(x_{\nu-2}, x_{n_0})\| \|B\|^n \\ + \|s\| \|B^*\|^m \|d(x_{n_0}, x_0)\| \|B\|^m \right) (1_{\mathbb{A}} - s(B^*)^{n_0} B^{n_0})^{-1} \\ \longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{A}} \quad (as \quad m, n \to +\infty).$$

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to A. If v = 1, then the proof follows from Lemma 2.4. By completeness of  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$ , there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to +\infty} Tx_{n-1} = x^*$ . Since

$$d(Tx^*, x^*)$$

$$\leq s \Big[ d(Tx^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+v}, x^*) \Big]$$

$$= s \Big[ d(Tx^*, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + \dots + d(x_{n+v}, x^*) \Big]$$

$$\leq s \Big[ B^* d(x^*, x_n) B + (B^*)^n d(x_0, x_1) B^n + \dots + d(Tx_{n+v-1}, x^*) \Big],$$

it follows that

 $\|d(Tx^*,x^*)\|$ 

$$\leq \|s[B^*d(x^*, x_n)B + (B^*)^n d(x_0, x_1)B^n + \dots + d(Tx_{n+\nu-1}, x^*)\|$$

- $\leq \|s\| \|B^*\| \|d(x^*, x_n)\| \|B\| + \|s\| \|(B^*)^n\| \|d(x_0, x_1)\| \|B^n\| + \cdots$
- $+ \|s\| \| (B^*)^{n+v-2} \| \| d(x_0, x_1) \| \| B^{n+v-2} \| + \| d(x_{n+v}, x^*) \|$   $\leq \|s\| \| B^* \| \| d(x^*, x_n) \| \| B \| + \|s\| \| B^* \|^n \| d(x_0, x_1) \| \| B \|^n + \cdots$  $+ \|s\| \| B^* \|^{n+v-2} \| d(x_0, x_1) \| \| B \|^{n+v-2} + \| d(x_1, \dots, x^*) \|$

$$+ \|s\| \|B^*\|^{n+c-2} \|d(x_0, x_1)\| \|B\|^{n+c-2} + \|d(x_{n+v}, x^*)\|$$
  
$$\rightarrow 0 \quad (as \ n \to +\infty),$$

which shows that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

To prove that  $x^*$  is the unique fixed point, we suppose that  $y^* (\neq x^*)$  is another fixed point of T. Then by applying condition (1), we have

$$0_{\mathbb{A}} \leq d(x^*, y^*) = d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \leq B^* d(x^*, y^*) B.$$

Using the norm of  $\mathbb{A}$ , we have

$$0 \leq ||d(x^*, y^*)|| = ||d(Tx^*, Ty^*)||$$
  
$$\leq ||B^*|| ||d(x^*, y^*)|| ||B||$$
  
$$= ||B||^2 ||d(x^*, y^*)||$$
  
$$\prec ||d(x^*, y^*)||,$$

which is impossible. So  $d(x^*, y^*) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $x^* = y^*$ , which implies that the fixed point is unique.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.8.** [11] let X be a nonempty set. We call a mapping T is a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued expansion mapping on X, if  $T: X \to X$  satisfies

- (1) T(X) = X;
- (2)  $d(Tx,Ty) \succeq B^* d(x,y)B$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

where  $B \in \mathbb{A}$  is an invertible element and  $||B^{-1}|| \prec 1$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** Consider a complete  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  with coefficient s. Let  $T : X \to X$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra-valued expansion mapping with constant B. If there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $(B^{-1})^{n_0} \in \mathbb{A}'$  and  $s((B^{-1})^*)^{n_0}(B^{-1})^{n_0} \prec 1_{\mathbb{A}}$ , then T has a unique fixed point in X.

**Proof.** First, we show that T is invertible. Since by condition (1) of Definition 2.8, T is surjective, it is enough to show that T is injective. Indeed, for any  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , if T(x) = T(y), we have

$$0_{\mathbb{A}} = d(Tx, Ty) \succeq B^* d(x, y) B.$$

Since  $B^*d(x, y)B \in \mathbb{A}_+$ , therefore  $B^*d(x, y)B = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ . On the other hand, *B* is invertible, then  $d(x, y) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ , which is impossible. Thus *T* is injective.

Next, we will show that T has a unique fixed point in X. In fact, since T is invertible, for any  $x, y \in X$ , it follows that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \succeq B^* d(x, y) B.$$

In the above formula, we replace x and y by  $T^{-1}(x)$  and  $T^{-1}(y)$ , respectively, and we get

$$d(x,y) \succeq B^* d(T^{-1}x, T^{-1}y)B.$$

Now by part (1) of Lemma 1.1, we have

$$(B^{-1})^* d(x,y) B^{-1} \succeq (B^{-1})^* B^* d(T^{-1}x, T^{-1}y) B B^{-1}$$
  
=  $(B^*)^{-1} B^* d(T^{-1}x, T^{-1}y) B B^{-1}$   
=  $d(T^{-1}x, T^{-1}y).$ 

Using Theorem 2.7, there exists unique  $x^* \in X$  such that  $T^{-1}x^* = x^*$ , which means that there is a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  such that  $Tx^* = x^*$ .  $\Box$  In the following theorem, we prove Jungcks theorem in  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.10.** Consider  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  is a complete  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ metric space with coefficient s. Let T and I be commuting mappings of X into itself such that the range of I contains the range of T and I is continuous and satisfies the inequality

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq B^* d(Ix, Iy) B \quad for \ all \ x, y \in X, \tag{2}$$

where  $B \in \mathbb{A}$  with  $||B|| \prec 1$ . If there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $s(B^*)^{n_0}B^{n_0} \prec 1_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $B^{n_0} \in \mathbb{A}'$ . Then T and I have a unique common fixed point.

# FIXED POINT THEOREM IN $C^*$ -ALGEBRA-VALUED $b_v(s)$ -METRIC SPACES... 11

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary. Then  $Tx_0$  and  $Ix_0$  are well-defined. Since  $Tx_0 \in I(X)$ , there is  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $Ix_1 = Tx_0$ . In general, if  $x_n$  is chosen, then we choose a point  $x_{n+1}$  in X such that  $Ix_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . Now, we show that  $\{Ix_n\}$  is Cauchy. From (2), for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$d(Ix_m, Ix_n) = d(Tx_{m-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \leq B^* d(Ix_{m-1}, Ix_{n-1})B.$$
(3)

Now, we have the following two cases.

**Case 1** If  $Ix_n = Ix_{n+1}$  for some  $n \succeq 0$ , then  $Ix_n = Ix_{n+1} = Tx_n = \omega$ . We show that  $\omega$  is a unique common fixed point of T and I. Since T and I commute, thus  $I\omega = I(Tx_n) = T(Ix_n) = T\omega$ . Now, let  $d(T\omega, \omega) \succ 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ . Hence

$$d(T\omega,\omega) = d(T\omega,Tx_n) \preceq B^* d(I\omega,Ix_n)B = B^* d(T\omega,\omega)B.$$

Using the norm of  $\mathbb{A}$ , we have

$$||d(T\omega,\omega)|| \prec ||d(T\omega,\omega)||.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus  $||d(T\omega, \omega)|| = 0$ ,  $d(T\omega, \omega) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ , and  $T\omega = \omega = I\omega$ . By condition (2),  $\omega$  is a unique common fixed point of T and I.

**Case 2** Now suppose that  $Ix_n \neq Ix_{n+1}$  for all  $n \succeq 0$ . From Lemma 2.5 and inequality (3), we have  $Ix_n \neq Ix_{n+p}$  for all  $n \succeq 0$  and  $p \succeq 1$ . With a similar argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we can prove that the sequence  $\{Ix_n\}$  is Cauchy. Since the  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  is complete, so  $\{Ix_n\}$  converges to  $u \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} Ix_n = \lim_{n \to +\infty} Tx_{n-1} = u.$$

Since I is continuous, inequality (2) implies that both I and T are continuous. Since T and I commute, we obtain

$$Iu = I(\lim_{n \to +\infty} Tx_{n-1}) = I(\lim_{n \to +\infty} Tx_n) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} ITx_n$$
$$= \lim_{n \to +\infty} TIx_n = T(\lim_{n \to +\infty} Ix_n) = Tu.$$

Let  $Tu = Iu = \nu$ . Thus  $T\nu = TIu = ITu = I\nu$ . If  $Tu \neq T\nu$ , then from (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(Tu, T\nu)\| &\preceq \|B^*d(Iu, I\nu)B\| = \|B^*d(Tu, T\nu)B\| \\ &\preceq \|B^*\| \|d(Tu, T\nu)\| \|B\| \\ &\prec \|d(Tu, T\nu)\|. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. So  $||d(Tu, T\nu)|| = 0$ ,  $d(Tu, T\nu) = 0_{\mathbb{A}}$ , and  $Tu = T\nu$ . Thus, we obtain  $T\nu = I\nu = \nu$ .

Now, we claim  $\nu$  is the unique common fixed point for T and I. Let  $\nu^* (\neq \nu)$  be another fixed point for T and I. By inequality (2), we have

$$d(\nu,\nu^*) = d(T\nu,T\nu^*) \preceq B^* d(I\nu,I\nu^*)B.$$

Now, by using the norm of  $\mathbb{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(\nu,\nu^*)\| &= \|d(T\nu,T\nu^*)\| &\preceq \|B^*d(I\nu,I\nu^*)B\| \\ &\preceq \|B^*\| \ d(I\nu,I\nu^*)\|\|B\| \\ &\prec \|d(I\nu,I\nu^*)\| = \|d(\nu,\nu^*)\|. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, which implies that  $\nu = \nu^*$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.11.** In Theorem 2.10, if I is the identity map on X, then, Theorem 2.7 holds.

### 3 Application

In this section, we give an existence theorem for a solution of the following integral equation.

$$x(t) = \int_E K(t, s, x(s))ds + g(t), \quad t \in E,$$
(4)

where  $K : E \times E \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(E)$ .

Let  $X = C_{\mathbb{R}}(E)$  be the set of all real valued continuous functions on E, where E is a nonempty Lebesgue measurable compact set in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Also,  $\mathbb{A} = L(H)$  is the set of all bounded linear operators on  $H = L^2(E)$ with usual operator norm. We define  $d' : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  by  $d'(x, y) = \sup(x(t) - y(t))^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then, (X, d') is a complete  $b_2(3)$  $t \in E$ metric space. Moreover,  $\Pi_{\gamma} : H \to H$  is defined by  $\Pi_{\gamma}(h) = \gamma \cdot h$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $h \in H$ . Now, define  $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{A}_+$  by  $d(x, y) = \Pi_{d'(x,y)}$ . It is clear that  $(X, \mathbb{A}, d)$  is a complete  $C^*$ -algebra-valued  $b_v(s)$ -metric space with v = 2 and s = 3I. We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

 $\|d(Tx,Ty)\|$ 

(i) There exists a continuous function  $f: E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|K(t,s,u) - K(t,s,v)| \leq \alpha |f(t,s)(u-v)|,$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{for } t,s\in E,\,\alpha\in(0,1) \text{ and } u,v\in\mathbb{R}.\\ (ii) \text{ It follows that } \sup_{t\in E}\int_{E}|f(t,s)|ds\preceq 1 \quad \text{for any } t,s\in E. \end{array}$ 

**Theorem 3.1.** Under the assumptions (i) and (ii) equation (4) has a unique solution in X

**Proof.** Let  $T: X \to X$  be defined by  $Tx(t) = \int_E K(t, s, x(s))ds + g(t)$ ,  $t \in E$ . Then

$$= \|\Pi_{d'(Tx,Ty)}\| = \sup_{\|h\|=1} \langle \Pi_{d'(Tx,Ty)}(h), h \rangle; h \in H$$

$$= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_{E} d'(Tx,Ty)h(u)\overline{h}(u)d(u); u \in E$$

$$= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_{E} \sup_{t \in E} \left[ Tx(t) - Ty(t) \right]^{2} h(u)\overline{h}(u)d(u); u \in E$$

$$= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_{E} \sup_{t \in E} \left[ \int_{E} \left[ K(t,s,x(s)) - K(t,s,y(s)) \right] ds \right]^{2} |h(u)|^{2} du; u \in E$$

$$\leq \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_{E} \sup_{t \in E} \left[ \int_{E} \alpha |f(t,s)| (x(s) - y(s)) ds \right]^{2} |h(u)|^{2} du; u \in E$$

$$= \alpha^{2} d'(x,y) \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_{E} \sup_{t \in E} \left[ \int_{E} |f(t,s)| ds \right]^{2} |h(u)|^{2} du; u \in E$$

$$\leq \alpha^{2} d'(x,y) \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_{E} |h(u)|^{2} du; u \in E$$

$$= \alpha^{2} \sup_{\|h\|=1} \int_{E} d'(x,y) |h(u)|^{2} du; u \in E$$

$$= \alpha^{2} \|\|h\|=1 \int_{E} d'(x,y) \|h(u)|^{2} du; u \in E$$

$$= \alpha^{2} \|\|d(x,y)\|.$$

By take  $B = \alpha 1_{\mathbb{A}}$ , then  $||B|| \prec 1$ . Using Theorem 2.7, the integral equation (4) has a unique solution in X.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.2.** Consider the following functional integral equation:

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{4e^{-(t+1)s}}{3((t+1)^2 + 2)} \frac{|x(s)|}{1 + |x(s)|} ds + t$$
(5)

for  $t \in E = [0, 1]$ . Observe that this equation is a special case of (4) with

$$\begin{split} K(t,s,x(s)) &= \frac{4e^{-(t+1)s}}{3((t+1)^2+2)} \frac{|x(s)|}{1+|x(s)|},\\ f(t,s) &= \frac{4e^{-(t+1)s}}{(t+1)^2+2},\\ g(t) &= t. \end{split}$$

Notice that, for arbitrary fixed numbers  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t, s \in E = [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{split} |K(t,s,u) - K(t,s,v)| \\ &= |\frac{4e^{-(t+1)s}}{3((t+1)^2 + 2)} \frac{|u|}{1+|u|} - \frac{4e^{-(t+1)s}}{3((t+1)^2 + 2)} \frac{|v|}{1+|v|} \\ &\preceq \frac{1}{3} |\frac{4e^{-(t+1)s}}{(t+1)^2 + 2} ||u-v|. \end{split}$$

Thus the function K satisfies the assumption (i) with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ . Also, we have

Also, we have 
$$\begin{split} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 |f(t,s)| ds = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 |\frac{4e^{-(t+1)s}}{(t+1)^2 + 2}| ds = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{4}{(t+1)^2 + 2} \int_0^1 e^{-(t+1)s} ds \prec \\ 1. & \text{This shows that the assumption } (ii) \text{ holds. Consequently, all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Hence the integral equation (3.2) has a unique solution in <math>C_{\mathbb{R}}(E). \end{split}$$

## 4 Iterative method for solving integral equation

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider the integral equation (4). The following iteration process leads to the fixed point (function) solution of (4)

$$x_{n+1}(t) = \int_{E} K(t, s, x_n(s)) ds + g(t), \quad t \in E,$$
(6)

# FIXED POINT THEOREM IN C\*-ALGEBRA-VALUED $b_v(s)$ -METRIC SPACES... 15

where the initial guess  $x_0(t)$  can be any arbitrary function such as 0, 1, or t.

**Proof.** Assume that the exact solution of (4) is  $\tilde{x}(t)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1(t) - \tilde{x}(t)| &= |\int_E \left( K(t, s, x_0(s) - K(t, s, \tilde{x}(s)) ds \right) \\ &\preceq \int_E \alpha |f(t, s)| |x_0(s) - \tilde{x}(s)| ds \\ &\preceq \alpha M, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M = \max |x_0(s) - \tilde{x}(t)|, t \in E$ . One can show similarly that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_2(t) - \tilde{x}(t)| &\preceq & \alpha \int_E |f(t,s)| |x_1(s) - \tilde{x}(s)| ds \\ &\preceq & \alpha^2 M \int_E |f(t,s)| ds \\ &\preceq & \alpha^2 M. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$|x_{n+1}(t) - \tilde{x}(t)| \leq \alpha^{n+1} M.$$

It is clear that when n tends to infinity,  $x_{n+1}(t)$  tends to the exact solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$ .  $\Box$  Consider the integral equation (6), we set

$$H(x_n(t)) = \int_E K(t, s, x_n(s)) ds + g(t),$$

so the integral equation (6) can be rewritten as follows:

$$x_{n+1}(t) = H(x_n(t)).$$

It is clear that the exact solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$  satisfies

$$\tilde{x}(t) = H(\tilde{x}(t))$$

and  $|\tilde{x}(t) - H(\tilde{x}(t))| = 0.$ 

Now in order to start the iterations for Example 3.2, we consider  $x_0(t) =$ 

0 and do four iterations according to relation (6) to obtain  $x_4(t)$ . we have used Maple 2018 to plot

$$|x_4(t) - x_3(t)| = |H(x_3(t)) - x_3(t)|$$

in Figure 1, which shows small errors between  $x_3(t)$  and  $x_4(t)$ , and it can be considered as a good approximation for the exact solution  $\tilde{x}(t)$ . In Figure 2, we have plotted  $x_4(t)$  in the interval [0, 1].

**Figure 1:** graph of  $|x_4(t) - x_3(t)|$ 

**Figure 2:** graph of  $x_4(t)$ 

### References

- S. Aleksić, Z. Kadelburg, Z.D. Mitrovic, and S. Radenovic, A new survey: Cone metric spaces, J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst, 9 (2019), 93–121.
- [2] S. Aleksić, Z.D. Mitrović, and S. Radenović, A fixed point theorem of Jungck in  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces, *Period. Math. Hung*, 77 (2018), 224–231.
- [3] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, Funct. Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst, 30 (1989), 26– 37.
- [4] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux equations integrals, *Fundam. Math*, 3 (1922), 133– 181.
- [5] S. Batul, and T. Kamran, C\*-Valued contractive type mapping, Fixed Point Theory Appl, 142 (2015), 9 pp.
- [6] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 57 (2000), 31–37.
- [7] R.G. Douglas, Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory., Springer, Berlin (1998).
- [8] T. Kamran, M. Postolache, A. Ghiura, S. Batul, and R. Ali, The Banach contraction principle in C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra-valued b-metric spaces with application, *Fixed Point Theory Appl*, 10 (2016), 1–7.
- [9] C. Klin-eama, and P. Kaskasemay, Fixed point theorems for cyclic contractions in C\*-algebra-valued b-metric spaces, J. Funct. Spaces, 2016, Article ID 7827040, (2016), 16 pp.

#### M.H. SABOORI et al.

- [10] Z. Ma, and L. Jiang, C\*-algebra-valued b-metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl, 222 (2015), 1–12.
- [11] Z. Ma, L. Jiang, and H. Sun, C\*-Algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point Theorems, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl, 206 (2014), 1–11.
- [12] Z.D. Mitrovic, and S. Radenovic, The Banach and Reich contractions in  $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl, 19 (2017), 3087–3095.
- [13] G.J. Murphy, C\*-Algebras and Operator Theory, Academic Press, London (1990).
- [14] S. Radenović, P. Vetro, A. Nastasi, and L.T. Quan, Coupled fixed point theorems in C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras-valued b-metric spaces. Scientific publications of the state University of Novi Pazar, Ser. A: Appl. Math. Inform. and Mech, 1 (2017), 81–90.
- [15] D. Shehwar, and T. Kamran, C\*-valued G-contractions and fixed points, J. Inequal. Appl, 304 (2015), 1–8.
- [16] V. Todorćević, Subharmonic behavior and quasiconformal mappings, Anal. Math. Phys, 3 (2019), 1211–1225.

#### Mohammad Hassan Saboori

Department of Mathematics Graduated PhD of Mathematics Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran. E-mail: mhs72859@gmail.com

#### Mahmoud Hassani

Department of Mathematics Associate Professor of Mathematics Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran. E-mail: hassani@mshdiau.ac.ir

### Reza Allahyari

Department of Mathematics Assistant Professor of Mathematics

# FIXED POINT THEOREM IN C\*-ALGEBRA-VALUED $b_v(s)$ -METRIC SPACES... 19

Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran. E-mail: rezaallahyari@mshdiau.ac.ir

### Mohammad Mehrabinezhad

Department of Mathematics Assistant Professor of Mathematics Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran. E-mail: mmehrabinezhad@gmail.com