Journal of Mathematical Extension Vol. 8, No. 1, (2014), 47-58

t-Best Proximity Pair in Fuzzy Normed Spaces

H. R. Khademzadeh^{*}

Yazd University

H. Mazaheri

Yazd University

Abstract. This study has considered the problem of finding best proximity pair in fuzzy metric spaces and uniformly convex fuzzy Banach spaces for fuzzy cyclic contraction map. We prove the uniqueness of this point in uniformly fuzzy Banach spaces. We also give an algorithm to find a best proximity point for the map S in setting of a uniformly convex fuzzy Banach spaces.

AMS Subject Classification: 46A32; 46M05; 41A17 **Keywords and Phrases:** Fuzzy normed spaces, uniformly convex fuzzy banach spaces, fuzzy cyclic contraction map, best proximity pair

1. Introduction

Let A and B be subsets of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a map such that $S(A) \subset B$ and $S(B) \subset A$. The set of $x \in A \cup B$ such that M(x, Sx, t) = M(A, B, t) is called the set of t-best proximity pair of (A, B) and denoted by $P_S^t(A, B)$. The problem of finding t-best proximity pair is the main object of this paper. We study this problem in fuzzy metric space and uniformly convex fuzzy Banach spaces and compare this problem in two spaces.

We give conditions which prove the uniqueness of proximity pair in uniformly convex fuzzy Banach spaces.

Received: March 2013; Accepted: September 2013 *Corresponding author

In the first part we prove some theorems in fuzzy metric spaces and in the second part we discuss about this problem in uniformly convex fuzzy Banach spaces and state main result and give an algorithm to find best proximity pair.

t-Best proximity pair also evolves a generalization of the concept of fuzzy fixed point of mapping. Indeed every best proximity pair is a fixed point of S, whenever $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. (See [2, 3, 6, 8])

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and lemmas that we use to proof of some theorems in other sections.

Definition 2.1. A binary operation $* : [0; 1] \times [0; 1] \rightarrow [0; 1]$ is a continuous t-norm if * satisfying conditions:

(1) * is commutative and associative;

(2) * is continuous;

(3) a * 1 = a for all $a \in [0; 1]$;

(4) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, and $a; b; c; d \in [0; 1]$.

Definition 2.2. The 3-tuple (X, M, *) is said to be a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0

 $\begin{array}{ll} (M1) \ M(x,y,t) > 0, \\ (M2) \ M(x,y,t) = 1 \ if \ and \ only \ if \ x = y, \\ (M3) \ M(x,y,t) = M(y,x,t), \\ (M4) \ M(x,y,s+t) \geqslant M(x,z,s) \ast M(z,y,t), \\ (M5) \ the \ function \ M(x,y,.) : (0,\infty) \to [0,1] \ is \end{array}$

Definition 2.3. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space and $A, B \neq \emptyset$ are two subsets of X. M(A, B, t) is defined as follows:

$$M(A, B, t) = \sup\{M(x, y, t) : (x, y) \in A \times B\}.$$

Definition 2.4. Let X be a linear space on \mathbb{R} . A function $N : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is called a fuzzy norm if and only if for every $x, y \in X$ and every

49

 $\begin{array}{l} c \in \mathbb{R} \ the \ following \ properties \ are \ satisfy \\ (N1) \ N(x,t) = 0 \ for \ every \ t \in \mathbb{R}^- \cup \{0\}, \\ (N2) \ N(x,t) = 1 \ if \ and \ only \ if \ x = 0 \ for \ every \ t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ (N3) \ N(cx,t) = N(x, \frac{t}{|c|}) \ for \ every \ c \neq 0 \ and \ t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ (N4) \ N(x + y, s + t) \geqslant \min\{N(x,s), N(y,t)\} \ for \ every \ s, t \in \mathbb{R} \ and \\ x, y \in X, \\ (N5) \ the \ function \ N(x,.) \ is \ nondecreasing \ on \ \mathbb{R}, \ and \ \lim_{t \to \infty} N(x,t) = \end{array}$

1. A pair (X, N) is called a fuzzy normed space.

We say that (X, N) satisfies conditions N6 and N7 if: (N6) for each t > 0, N(x,t) > 0 implies x = 0. (N7) for $x \neq 0$, N(x,.) is a continuous function of \mathbb{R} and strictly increasing on the subset $\{t : 0 < N(x,t) < 1\}$ of \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.5. Let A and B be nonempty subset of fuzzy metric space (X, M, *). A map $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ is a fuzzy cyclic contraction map if it satisfies

i) $S(A) \subset B$ and $S(B) \subset A$.

ii) For some 0 < k < 1 we have $M(Sx, Sy, t) \ge kM(x, y, t) + (1 - k)M(A, B, t)$.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ there exits N_0 such that $N(x_m - x_n, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for each $m > n \ge N_0$.

Definition 2.7. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ is said convergent to $x \in X$ if for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ there exits N_0 such that $N(x_n - x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for each $n \ge N_0$.

Definition 2.8. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space. A subset A of X is said to be fuzzy bounded (f-bounded) if there exits $0 < \alpha < 1$ and t > 0 such that $N(x, t) > 1 - \alpha$ for each $x \in A$.

Definition 2.9. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space also A and B are two nonempty subsets of X. Then N(A - B, t), for t > 0 is defined

as follows

$$N(A - B, t) = \sup\{N(x - y, t) : (x, y) \in A \times B\}.$$

3. Best Proximity Pair in Fuzzy Metric Spaces

The purpose of this section is to state some conditions such that under these conditions the existence of proximity pair guaranteed.

Proposition 3.1. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ a cyclic contraction map. For $x_0 \in A \cup B$ and $x_{n+1} = Sx_n$, n = 0, 1, 2, ... we have $M(x_n, Sx_n, t) \to M(A, B, t)$.

Proof. Since S is a cyclic contraction map then for each $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} M(x_n, Sx_n, t) &= M(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n, t) \\ &\geqslant kM(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) + (1-k)M(A, B, t) \\ &= kM(Sx_{n-2}, Sx_{n-1}, t) + (1-k)M(A, B, t) \\ &\geqslant k^2M(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, t) + (1-k^2)M(A, B, t). \end{split}$$

So by induction

$$M(x_n, Sx_n, t) \ge k^n M(x_0, x_1, t) + (1 - k^n) M(A, B, t).$$

Therefore $M(x_n, Sx_n, t) \to M(A, B, t)$. \Box

Lemma 3.2. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) with $a * b = min\{a, b\}$ or a * b = a.b and $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ a cyclic contraction map. For $x_0 \in A \cup B$ and $x_{n+1} = Sx_n$, n = 0, 1, 2, ... the sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ are bounded.

Proof. Suppose $x_0 \in A$. Since $M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) \to M(A, B, t)$, it is enough to prove that $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ is bounded. Suppose that $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ is not bounded, then for each $t \in (0, \infty)$ and 0 < r < 1 there exists an N_0 such that

$$M(S^{2}x_{0}, S^{2N_{0}-1}x_{0}, t) > 1 - r, M(S^{2}x_{0}, S^{2N_{0}+1}x_{0}, t) \leq 1 - r,$$

where $1 - r < min\{M(x_0, Sx_0, t/2), M(A, B, 2t)\}$. By the cyclic contraction property of S

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1-r) - M(A, B, 2t)}{k^2} + M(A, B, 2t) &> M(x_0, S^{2N_0 - 1}x_0, 2t) \\ &\geqslant M(x_0, S^2x_0, t) * M(S^2x_0, S^{2N_0 - 1}x_0, t) \\ &\geqslant M(x_0, S^2x_0, t) * (1-r) \\ &\geqslant M(x_0, Sx_0, t/2) * M(x_0, Sx_0, t/2) * (1-r) \\ &= 1 - r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus 1 - r > M(A, B, 2t) which is a contradiction. In the case a * b = a.b we need to consider $(1 - r) < \frac{(1 - k^2)M(A, B, 2t)}{1 - k^2M(x_0, Sx_0, t/2)}$ for contradiction. \Box

Theorem 3.3. Let A and B be nonempty closed subsets of a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) and $a * b = min\{a, b\}$ (or a * b = ab). Suppose that the mapping $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ satisfying $S(A) \subset B, S(B) \subset A$, and

$$M(Sx, Sy, t) \ge kM(x, y, t) + l[M(x, Sx, t) + M(y, Sy, t)] + mM(A, B, t),$$

for all $x, y \in A \cup B$, where k + 2l + m < 1 and $k, l, m \ge 0$. If A (or B) is boundedly compact, then there exists a $x \in A \cup B$ with M(x, Sx, t) = M(A, B, t).

Proof. Suppose x_0 is an arbitrary point of $A \cup B$ and define $x_{n+1} = Sx_n$ for each $n \ge 0$. Now

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) = M(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}, t)$$

$$\geq kM(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + l[M(x_n, Sx_n, t) + M(x_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}, t)] + mM(A, B, t).$$

So

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) \ge \frac{k+l}{1-l}M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + \frac{m}{1-l}M(A, B, t),$$

and

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) \ge \alpha M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) + (1 - \alpha) M(A, B, t),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{k+l}{1-l} < 1$. Hence, by induction:

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \ge \alpha^n M(x_0, x_1, t) + (1 - \alpha^n) M(A, B, t),$$

and

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \to M(A, B, t).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.2. both sequences $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ are fuzzy bounded. Now, since A (or B) is boundedly compact, then $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a convergent subsequence, and so there exists a $x \in A$ such that M(x, Sx, t) = M(A, B, t). \Box

4. Best Proximity Pair in Uniformly Convex Fuzzy Banach Spaces

In this section we want to give some contraction condition which under these the problem of proximity pair has a solution.

Definition 4.1. A fuzzy Banach space (X, N) is said to be uniformly convex fuzzy Banach space if for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ there exist a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that for $x, y \in X$ and $k_x \leq 1, k_y \leq 1, k_{x-y} > \varepsilon$ implies $k_{(x+y)/2} \leq \delta$ where

$$k_x = \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \{ \inf\{t > 0 : N(x, t) \ge \alpha\} \}.$$

Example 4.2. Let $(X, \|.\|)$ be a uniformly convex Banach space. We define

$$N(x,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t > ||x|| \\ \frac{1}{||x||}t & 0 < t \le ||x|| \\ 0 & t \le 0 \end{cases}$$

An easy verification shows that (X, N) is a uniformly convex fuzzy Banach space and N(x, .) is continuous.

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space. Assume further that,

(N6) If t > 0, N(x;t) > 0 implies x = 0. Define $||x||_{\alpha} = \inf\{t > 0 : N(x,t) \ge \alpha\}, \alpha \in (0;1)$. Then $\{||.||_{\alpha} : \alpha \in (0,1)\}$.

53

(0,1) is ascending family of norms on X and they are called α -norms on X corresponding to the fuzzy norm N on X. If the condition N6 dropped from above theorem then $\{\|.\|_{\alpha} : \alpha \in (0,1)\}$ is ascending family of seminorms on X.

Definition 4.4. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space. For each $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 2$ we define,

$$S_{\varepsilon} = \{ (x, y) : x, y \in X \text{ and } K_x \leq 1, K_y \leq 1, K_{x-y} > \varepsilon \}.$$

Definition 4.5. The modulus of convexity of a fuzzy normed linear space (X, N) is a function $\delta : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1)$ defined by

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \inf\{1 - \sup_{0 < \alpha < 1} \{\inf\{t > 0 : N(\frac{x+y}{2}, t) \ge \alpha\}\} : \forall (x, y) \in S_{\varepsilon}\}.$$

Definition 4.6. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space, also A and B are two closed convex subsets of X. We define K_{A-B} as follows:

$$K_{A-B} = \sup\{k_{x-y} : (x,y) \in A \times B \text{ and } k_x \leq 1, k_y \leq 1\}.$$

From Theorem 4.3, $K_{A-B} = \sup\{||x-y||_1 : (x,y) \in A \times B \text{ and } k_x \leq 1, k_y \leq 1\}$, so $K_{A-B} \leq 2$.

Proposition 4.7. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space and N(x, .) is upper semicontinuous. Then (X, N) is uniformly convex if and only for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, there exists a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that for $x, y \in X$,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x},1) = 1\\ \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{y},1) = 1\\ \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y},\varepsilon) < 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow N(\frac{x+y}{2},\delta) = 1.$$

Proposition 4.8. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space. Then $k_x \leq d$ iff $k_{\frac{x}{2}} \leq 1$ provided d > 0.

Remark 4.9. In Proposition 4.7, Bag and Samanta showed that N(x, 1) = 1 iff $k_x \leq 1$ and $N(x-y, \varepsilon) < 1$ iff $k_{x-y} > \varepsilon$. If we combine Proposition

4.7, and Proposition 4.8, then (X, N) is uniformly convex fuzzy Banach space if and only for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, there exists a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that for $x, y \in X$,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{d}) = 1\\ \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{d}) = 1\\ \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y},d\varepsilon) < 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow N(\frac{x+y}{2},d\delta) = 1.$$

Proposition 4.10. Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space. Then (X, N) is uniformly convex if and only if $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 4.11. Let A be a nonempty closed convex subset and B a nonempty closed subset of a uniformly convex fuzzy Banach space (X, N), N(x, .) is continuous and strictly increasing for each $t \leq K_{A-B}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be sequences in A and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence in B satisfying:

- i) $N(z_n y_n, t) \rightarrow N(A B, t).$
- ii) For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, there exists N_0 such that for all $m > n \ge N_0, N(x_m y_n, t) \ge N(A B, t) \varepsilon$

Then for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists an N_1 such that for all $m > n \ge N_1, N(x_m - z_n, t) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$.

Proof. Assume the contrary, then there exist $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$ and $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $N(x_{m_k} - z_{n_k}, t_0) < 1 - \varepsilon_0$ for some $m_k > n_k \ge k$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For this $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and each $t \in (0, \infty)$ there exists N_0 such that for all $m_k > n_k \ge N_0, N(x_{m_k} - y_{n_k}, t) \ge N(A - B, t) - \varepsilon_0$.

Also there exists N_2 such that for all $n_k \ge N_2, N(z_{n_k} - y_{n_k}, t) \ge N(A - B, t) - \varepsilon_0$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. Put $N_1 = max\{N_0, N_2\}$. we have $K_{x_{m_k}-y_{n_k}} \le K_{A-B}$ and $K_{z_{n_k}-y_{n_k}} \le K_{A-B}$. By uniform convexity there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that for all $m_k > n_k \ge N_1$

$$K_{\left(\frac{xm_k+zn_k}{2}-y_{n_k}\right)} \leqslant \delta K_{A-B}.$$

$$\begin{split} K_{(\frac{x_{m_k}+z_{n_k}}{2}-y_{n_k})} &\leqslant \delta K_{A-B} \text{ is equivalent to } N(\frac{x_{m_k}+z_{n_k}}{2}-y_{n_k},\delta K_{A-B}) = \\ 1, \text{ since } N(x,.) \text{ is strictly increasing for each } t \leqslant K_{A-B} \text{ and } \delta < 1, \text{ so} \end{split}$$

55

 $N(\frac{x_{m_k}+z_{n_k}}{2}-y_{n_k},K_{A-B}) > 1$. This is a contradiction, hence the proof is complete. \Box

Corollary 4.12. Let A be a nonempty closed convex subset and B a nonempty closed subset of a uniformly convex fuzzy Banach space (X, N), N(x, .) is continuous and strictly increasing for each $t \leq K_{A-B}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be sequences in A and $\{y_n\}$ a sequence in B satisfying:

- i) $N(x_n y_n, t) \rightarrow N(A B, t),$
- ii) $N(z_n y_n, t) \rightarrow N(A B, t).$

Then, $N(x_n - z_n, t) \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. It is a consequence of Theorem 4.11. \Box

Theorem 4.13. Let (X, N) be a uniformly convex fuzzy Banach space such that N(x, .) is continuous and strictly increasing for each $t \leq K_{A-B}$. Let A, B be nonempty closed convex subsets of $X. S : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ satisfying $S(A) \subset B, S(B) \subset A$ and

$$N(Sx - Sy, t) \ge kN(x - y, t) + (1 - k)N(A - B, t),$$

for each $t \in (0, K_{A-B})$, 0 < k < 1. For each $0 < t \leq K_{A-B}$ there exists a unique element $x \in A$ such that N(x - Sx, t) = N(A - B, t). Further, if $x_0 \in A$ and $Sx_n = x_{n+1}$ then $\{x_{2n}\}$ converges to the above unique element.

Proof. Suppose that $x_0 \in A$ and define $Sx_n = x_{n+1}$ by Proposition 3.1, $N(x_{2n} - Sx_{2n}, t)$, $N(S^2x_{2n} - Sx_{2n}, t)$, converge to N(A - B, t), then by Corollary 4.12, $N(x_{2n} - x_{2(n+1)}, t) \rightarrow 1$. Similarly $N(Sx_{2n} - Sx_{2(n+1)}, t) \rightarrow 1$. We now show that for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m > n > N_0$, $N(x_{2m} - Sx_{2n}, t) > N(A - B, t) - \varepsilon$.

Suppose there exists $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$ and $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$, such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m_k > n_k \ge k$ such that:

$$N(x_{2m_k} - Sx_{2n_k}, t_0) \leqslant N(A - B, t_0) - \varepsilon_0.$$

Let m_k be the least integer greater than n_k to satisfy the above inequality.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$N(A - B, t_0) - \varepsilon_0 \ge N(x_{2m_k} - Sx_{2n_k}, t_0)$$

$$\ge \min\{N(x_{2m_k} - x_{2(m_k - 1)}, \varepsilon), N(x_{2(m_k - 1)} - x_{2n_k}, t_0 - \varepsilon)\}.$$

Since $N(x_{2m_k} - x_{2(m_k-1)}, \varepsilon) \to 1$ and N(x, .) is continuous

$$N(A-B,t_0)-\varepsilon_0=N(x_{2m_k}-Sx_{2n_k},t_0).$$

Consequently

$$N(x_{2m_k} - Sx_{2n_k}, t_0) \ge \min\{N(x_{2m_k} - x_{2(m_k+1)}, \varepsilon/2), N(x_{2(m_k+1)} - Sx_{2(n_k+1)}, t_0 - \varepsilon), N(Sx_{2(n_k+1)} - Sx_{2n_k}, \varepsilon/2)\}.$$

By the same reason as above

$$N(x_{2m_k} - Sx_{2n_k}, t_0) \ge N(x_{2(m_k+1)} - Sx_{2(n_k+1)}, t_0)$$

$$\ge k^2 N(x_{2m_k} - Sx_{2n_k}, t_0) + (1 - k^2) N(A - B, t_0).$$

Therefore

$$N(A - B, t_0) - \varepsilon_0 \ge k^2 (N(A - B, t_0) - \varepsilon_0) + (1 - k^2) N(A - B, t_0).$$

So $N(A - B, t_0) - \varepsilon_0 \ge N(A - B, t_0) - k^2 \varepsilon_0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $N(x_{2m} - Sx_{2n}, t) \to N(A - B, t)$. By Corollary 4.12, $N(x_{2m} - x_{2n}, t) \to 1$, so $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a fuzzy cauchy sequence, converges to (say) x and N(x - Sx, t) = N(A - B, t). \Box

Example 4.14. If the convexity assumption is dropped from Theorem 4.13, then the convergence and uniqueness is not guaranteed even in finite dimensional spaces. Consider $X = \mathbb{R}^4$, $A = \{e_1, e_3\}$ and $B = \{e_2, e_4\}$. Then X with the norm defined in Example 4.2 is uniformly convex fuzzy Banach space, define $Se_i = e_{i+1}$ for i = 1, 2, 3 and $Se_4 = e_1$.

Theorem 4.15. Let A, B be nonempty closed convex subsets of a uniformly convex fuzzy Banach space (X, N) and N(x, .) is continuous and strictly increasing for each $t \leq K_{A-B}$. Let $S : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ satisfying $S(A) \subset B, S(B) \subset A$ and

$$N(Sx-Sy,t) \geqslant kN(x-y,t) + l(N(x-Sx,t)+N(y-Sy,t)) + mN(A-B,t),$$

for each $t \in (0,\infty)$, $k,l,m \ge 0$ and k+2l+m < 1. For each $0 < t \le K_{A-B}$ there exists a unique element $x \in A$ such that N(x - Sx, t) = N(A - B, t). Furthermore, if $x_0 \in A$ and $Sx_n = x_{n+1}$ then $\{x_{2n}\}$ converges to the above unique element.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.13. \Box

Acknowledgements

This research project is supported by intelligent robust research center of yazd university. The authors are highly grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the paper.

References

- T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces. J. Fuzzy Math., 11(3) (2003), 687-705.
- [2] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, fixed point theorems on fuzzy normed linear spaces. *Inform. Sci.*, 176 (2006), 2910-2931.
- [3] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, Some fixed point theorems in fuzzy normed linear spaces. *Inform. Sci.*, 177 (2007), 3271-3289.
- [4] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64(3) (1994), 395-399.
- [5] M. A. Moghadam, Best proximity pairs in fuzzy metric spaces. Journal of Applide Sciences, (2012), 1-4.
- [6] S. A. M. Mohsenalhosseini, H. Mazaheri, and M. A. Dehghan, Approximate fixed point in fuzzy normed spaces for nonlinear maps. *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy System*, 10(1) (2013), 135-142.
- [7] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 10(3) (1960), 313-334.

H. R. KHADEMZADEH AND H. MAZAHERI

[8] R. Vasuki and P. Veeramani, Fixed point theorems and cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 135(3) (2003), 415-417.

Hamid Reza Khademzadeh

Faculty of Mathematics Ph.D student of Mathematics Yazd University Yazd, Iran E-mail: hrkhademzadeh@gmail.com

Hamid Mazaheri Tehrani

Faculty of Mathematics Associate Professor of Mathematics Yazd University Yazd, Iran E-mail: hmazaheri@yazduni.ac.ir