

Characterization of generalized derivations associate with Hochschild 2-cocycles on triangular Banach algebras

Mehdi Kanani Arpatapeh¹ and Ali Jabbari²

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be unital Banach algebras and \mathcal{M} be a left \mathcal{A} -module and right \mathcal{B} -module. We consider generalized derivations associate with Hochschild 2-cocycles on triangular Banach algebra \mathcal{T} (related to \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{M}). We characterize this new version of generalized derivations on triangular Banach algebras and we obtain some results for ℓ^1 -direct summands of Banach algebras.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. A derivation is a linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ such that

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

For $x \in X$, set $ad_x : a \mapsto a \cdot x - x \cdot a$, $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$. Then ad_x is the inner derivation induced by x .

The linear space of bounded derivations from \mathcal{A} into X denoted by $Z^1(\mathcal{A}, X)$ and the linear subspace of inner derivations denoted by $N^1(\mathcal{A}, X)$. We consider the quotient space $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X) = Z^1(\mathcal{A}, X)/N^1(\mathcal{A}, X)$, called the *first Hochschild cohomology group* of \mathcal{A} with coefficients in X .

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. By $B^n(\mathcal{A}, X)$, we mean that the space of bounded n -linear maps from \mathcal{A}^n into X . A 2-linear map $\gamma \in B^2(\mathcal{A}, X)$ is called Hochschild *2-cocycle* if it satisfies in the following equation

$$a \cdot \gamma(b, c) - \gamma(ab, c) + \gamma(a, bc) - \gamma(a, b) \cdot c = 0,$$

for every $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. The space of Hochschild 2-cocycles is a linear subspace of $B^2(\mathcal{A}, X)$, which denoted by $Z^2(\mathcal{A}, X)$. Here in after we used the word 2-cocycle instead Hochschild 2-cocycle. Let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, where $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ is the carrier space of \mathcal{A} , then A 2-linear map $\gamma \in B^2(\mathcal{A}, X)$ is called *point 2-cocycle* at φ if it satisfies in the following equation

$$\varphi(a)\gamma(b, c) - \gamma(ab, c) + \gamma(a, bc) - \gamma(a, b)\varphi(c) = 0,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47B47, Secondary: 46H25.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, cocycle, generalized derivation, triangular Banach algebra.

for every $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. For given $T \in B(\mathcal{A}, X)$, we let

$$(\delta^1 T)(a, b) = a \cdot T(b) - T(ab) + T(a) \cdot b,$$

for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\delta^1 : B(\mathcal{A}, X) \longrightarrow B^2(\mathcal{A}, X)$. Then the maps $\{\delta^1 T : T \in B(\mathcal{A}, X)\}$ is a linear subspace of $Z^2(\mathcal{A}, X)$. These maps are called *2-coboundaries*. The collection of all 2-coboundaries is denoted by $N^2(\mathcal{A}, X)$.

An additive map $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ called generalized 2-cocycle derivation if there exists a 2-cocycle γ such that

$$D(xy) = x \cdot D(y) + D(x) \cdot y + \gamma(x, y) \quad (1.4)$$

for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly, an additive map $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ called generalized Jordan derivation if there exists a 2-cocycle γ such that

$$D(x^2) = x \cdot D(x) + D(x) \cdot x + \gamma(x, x) \quad (1.5)$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. This definitions introduced by Nakajima in [5] and he gave some examples for this new definition. In [3] authors considered this new notion for some algebras such as von-Neumann and they showed that generalized Jordan derivation of this type from von-Neumann algebras into themselves is a generalized derivation (under some conditions). Similar result obtained by authors in [4] for triangular algebras.

An additive map $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ called generalized 2-coboundary derivation if there exists a 2-coboundary γ such that

$$D(xy) = x \cdot D(y) + D(x) \cdot y + (\gamma F)(x, y) \quad (1.6)$$

for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \in B(\mathcal{A}, X)$. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be unital Banach algebras with units $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $e_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a unital Banach \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} -bimodule. We define triangular Banach algebra

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ & \mathcal{B} \end{bmatrix},$$

with the sum and product being giving by the usual 2×2 matrix operations and internal module actions. The norm on \mathcal{T} is

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ & b \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|m\|_{\mathcal{M}} + \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

The Banach algebra \mathcal{T} as a Banach space is isomorphic to the ℓ^1 -direct sum of \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{M} . Forrest and Marcoux introduced and studied derivation of triangular Banach algebras in [1].

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangular Banach algebras defined as above, and let $\gamma \in B^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$. Let $\gamma_1 : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}, \gamma_2 : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $\gamma_3 : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ denote the coordinate functions associated to γ . That is

$$\gamma(T_1, T_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(T_1, T_2) & \gamma_2(T_1, T_2) \\ & \gamma_3(T_1, T_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

for $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $\gamma : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a 2-cocycle. The coordinate function γ_1 is said to correspond to a 2-cocycle (2-coboundary) on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ if there exists a 2-cocycle (2-coboundary) $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ such that $\gamma_1(T_1, T_2) = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2)$, where $T_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & m_i \\ & b_i \end{bmatrix}$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Similarly, γ_3 is said to correspond to a 2-cocycle (2-coboundary) on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ if there exists a 2-cocycle (2-coboundary) $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ such that $\gamma_3(T_1, T_2) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2)$.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $\gamma \in B^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$, $\gamma_1 : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma_2 : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $\gamma_3 : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ denote the coordinate functions associated to γ . That is

$$\gamma(T_1, T_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(T_1, T_2) & \gamma_2(T_1, T_2) \\ & \gamma_3(T_1, T_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

for $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $\gamma \in B^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$ be a 2-cocycle (2-coboundries). We say that γ_1 corresponds to a 2-cocycle (2-coboundries) on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ if there exists a 2-cocycle (2-coboundries) $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ such that $\gamma_1(T_1, T_2) = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2)$, where $T_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & m_i \\ & b_i \end{bmatrix}$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Similarly, we say that γ_3 corresponds to a 2-cocycle (2-coboundries) on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ if there exists a 2-cocycle (2-coboundries) $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ such that $\gamma_3(T_1, T_2) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2)$. Second order cohomology of triangular Banach algebras studied by Forrest and Marcoux in [2].

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $\gamma \in B^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$ be a 2-cocycle. Then there are continuous corresponding 2-cocycles on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$.*

PROOF. Define $\tau_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as follows

$$\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2) = e_{\mathcal{A}} \gamma \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) e_{\mathcal{A}},$$

and

$$\tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2) = e_{\mathcal{B}} \gamma \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) e_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

It is easy to check that $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ are 2-cocycle. Continuity of $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ is clear. \square

LEMMA 1.3. *Let $\gamma \in B^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$ be a 2-cocycle. Then there are corresponding 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$, respectively. Furthermore*

- (1) $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a, 0) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, b) = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, 0) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, e_{\mathcal{B}}) = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(0, 0) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, 0) = 0$.
- (2) $\gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{11}) = 0, \gamma_2(e_{11}, 0) = 0$.
- (3) $\gamma_2(e_{22}, e_{22}) = 0$.
- (4) $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a, e_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, e_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$.
- (5) $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b, e_{\mathcal{B}}) = 0$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}(e_{\mathcal{B}}, e_{\mathcal{B}}) = 0$.
- (6) $\gamma_2(b_{22}, e_{22}) = 0$ and $\gamma_2(e_{11}, a_{11}) = 0$.

where $e_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $e_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$, $b_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b \end{bmatrix}$, $a_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and for $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}$.

PROOF. Existing of $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ clear by Lemma 1.2 . Since $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ are 2-linear so (1) is clear. For the rest consider the following

$$\gamma\left(\begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, 0) & \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\ & \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, e_{\mathcal{B}}) \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$e_{11}\gamma(e_{11}, e_{22}) - \gamma(e_{11}, e_{22}) + \gamma(e_{11}, 0) - \gamma(e_{11}, e_{11})e_{22} = 0.$$

Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, 0) & \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, 0) & \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\ & \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, e_{\mathcal{B}}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, 0) & \gamma_2(e_{11}, 0) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{11}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

This follows that $\gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{11}) + \gamma_2(e_{11}, 0) = 0$. From

$$e_{11}\gamma(e_{11}, e_{11}) - \gamma(e_{11}, e_{11}) + \gamma(e_{11}, e_{11}) - \gamma(e_{11}, e_{11})e_{11} = 0,$$

we conclude that $\gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{11}) = 0$. This implies that $\gamma_2(e_{11}, 0) = 0$. Similarly, one can show that $\gamma_2(e_{22}, e_{22}) = 0$. From

$$a_{11}\gamma(e_{11}, e_{11}) - \gamma(a_{11}, e_{11}) + \gamma(a_{11}, e_{11}) - \gamma(a_{11}, e_{11})e_{11} = 0,$$

we conclude that $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a, e_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$. Since a was arbitrary so $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, e_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$. By the similar methods, we obtain the other cases. \square

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangular Banach algebra and let \mathcal{X} be a unital Banach \mathcal{T} -bimodule, then we use these notations in this paper: $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \mathcal{X} \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \mathcal{X} \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \mathcal{X} \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}}$, and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \mathcal{X} \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}}$. If \mathcal{X} replaced by \mathcal{T} , we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{M}$, and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = 0$.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let \mathcal{X} be a \mathcal{T} -bimodule, $\delta_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}}$, $\delta_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}}$ be 2-cocycles, and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = 0$. Then there exists a 2-cocycle mapping from $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$ into \mathcal{X} .*

PROOF. For every $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ & b_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$, define $D : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by

$$D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ & b_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ & b_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2) + \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2).$$

We claim that D is a 2-cocycle. Because for every $T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ & b_1 \end{bmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ & b_2 \end{bmatrix}, T_3 = \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & m_3 \\ & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_1 \cdot D(T_2, T_3) - D(T_1 T_2, T_3) + D(T_1, T_2 T_3) - D(T_1, T_2) \cdot T_3 \\
&= T_1 \cdot (\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2, a_3) + \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2, b_3)) - \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1 a_2, a_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1 b_2, b_3) \\
&\quad + \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2 a_3) + \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2 b_3) - (\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2) + \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2)) \cdot T_3 \\
&= T_1 \cdot \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}}) \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2, a_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1 a_2, a_3) + \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2 a_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2) \cdot e_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot T_3 \\
&\quad + T_1 \cdot \tau(e_{\mathcal{B}}) \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2, b_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1 b_2, b_3) + \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2 b_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2) \cdot e_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot T_3 \\
&= a_1 \cdot \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2, a_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1 a_2, a_3) + \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2 a_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2) \cdot a_3 \\
&\quad + b_1 \cdot \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2, b_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1 b_2, b_3) + \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2 b_3) - \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2) \cdot b_3 \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves our claim. \square

LEMMA 1.5. [2, Lemma 3.1] *Let $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ be 2-coboundaries on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$, respectively. Then there exists a 2-coboundaries δ on $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$ such that δ_1 corresponds to $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ and δ_2 corresponds to $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}$, where δ_1 and δ_2 are coordinate functions associated to δ .*

2. Characterization of generalized 2-cocycle derivations

In this section we prove main results of paper. We characterize generalized 2-cocycle derivations on triangular Banach algebras and by taking $\mathcal{M} = 0$ we consider generalized 2-cocycle derivations on $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Banach algebras.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let \mathcal{T} be a triangular Banach algebra and \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be unital Banach algebras with units $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $e_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively, and M be a unitary Banach \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} -bimodule. Let $D : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a generalized 2-cocycle derivation associate with γ , then there exist element $m_D \in M$, corresponding 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$, respectively, and mappings $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & D\left(\begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \\
(2) \quad & D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) & am_D + \gamma_2(a_{11}, e_{11}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \\
(3) \quad & D\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -m_D - \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \\
(4) \quad & D\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -m_D b - \gamma_2(e_{11}, b_{22}) \\ & D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad D\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(6) \quad \gamma_1\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0, \gamma_3\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(a \cdot m) = a \cdot \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cdot m + \gamma_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

$$(7) \quad \gamma_1\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0, \gamma_3\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m \cdot b) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m) \cdot b + m \cdot D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) + \gamma_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Furthermore, $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are generalized 2-cocycle derivations associate with 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively.

PROOF. Let γ be the 2-cocycle related to D . Let γ_1, γ_2 and γ_3 be the coordinate functions associated to γ . By Lemma 1.2, there exists corresponding 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ (continuity of these maps do not need).

$$(1) \text{ Put } e_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and let } D(e_{11}) = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then by Lemma 1.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(e_{11}) &= D(e_{11}e_{11}) = e_{11}D(e_{11}) + D(e_{11})e_{11} + \gamma(e_{11}, e_{11}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(e_{11}, e_{11}) & 0 \\ & \gamma_3(e_{11}, e_{11}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2p & q \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, e_{\mathcal{A}}) & 0 \\ & \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, 0) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2p & q \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Above relations follow that

$$D(e_{11}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set $q = m_D$, then

$$D(e_{11}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2) Put $a_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $e_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $D(a_{11}) = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & r \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
D(a_{11}) &= D(a_{11}e_{11}) = a_{11}D(e_{11}) + D(a_{11})e_{11} + \gamma(a_{11}, e_{11}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(a_{11}, e_{11}) & \gamma_2(a_{11}, e_{11}) \\ & \gamma_3(a_{11}, e_{11}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} p & am_D \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a, e_{\mathcal{A}}) & \gamma_2(a_{11}, e_{11}) \\ & \tau_B(0, 0) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} p & am_D + \gamma_2(a_{11}, e_{11}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$D(a_{11}) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) & am_D + \gamma_2(a_{11}, e_{11}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = p$.

(3) Set $e_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e_{\mathcal{B}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and let $D(e_{22}) = \begin{bmatrix} p & q' \\ r & r \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
D(e_{22}) &= D(e_{22}e_{22}) = e_{22}D(e_{22}) + D(e_{22})e_{22} + \gamma(e_{22}, e_{22}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e_{\mathcal{B}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q' \\ r & r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p & q' \\ r & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ e_{\mathcal{B}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(e_{22}, e_{22}) & \gamma_2(e_{22}, e_{22}) \\ & \gamma_3(e_{22}, e_{22}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q' \\ e_{\mathcal{B}} & 2r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(0, 0) & \gamma_2(e_{22}, e_{22}) \\ & \tau_B(e_{\mathcal{B}}, e_{\mathcal{B}}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q' \\ e_{\mathcal{B}} & 2r \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Above relations follow that

$$D(e_{22}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q' \\ r & r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= D(0) = D(e_{11}e_{22}) = e_{11}D(e_{22}) + D(e_{11})e_{22} + \gamma(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q' \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_D + q' + \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(0) = D(e_{22}e_{11}) = e_{22}D(e_{11}) + D(e_{22})e_{11} + \gamma(e_{22}, e_{11}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma_2(e_{22}, e_{11}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This follows that $\gamma_2(e_{22}, e_{11}) = 0$. Then we have $q' = -m_D - \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22})$.

(4) Set $e_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$, $b_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b \end{bmatrix}$ and let $D(b_{22}) = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix}$. Then by Lemma 1.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(b_{22}) &= D(b_{22}e_{22}) = b_{22}D(e_{22}) + D(b_{22})e_{22} + \gamma(b_{22}, e_{22}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -m_D - \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(b_{22}, e_{22}) & \gamma_2(b_{22}, e_{22}) \\ & \gamma_3(b_{22}, e_{22}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(0, 0) & \gamma_2(b_{22}, e_{22}) \\ & \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b, e_{\mathcal{B}}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By $0 = D(0) = D(e_{11}b_{22})$ we conclude that $q = -m_D b - \gamma_2(e_{11}, b_{22})$. If we set $D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = r$ we obtain the desire.

(5) Set $e_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $e_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$, $m_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and let $D(m_{12}) = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix}$. Then by Lemma 1.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(m_{12}) &= D(m_{12}e_{22}) = m_{12}D(e_{22}) + D(m_{12})e_{22} + \gamma(m_{12}, e_{22}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -m_D - \gamma_2(e_{11}, e_{22}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & e_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(m_{12}, e_{22}) & \gamma_2(m_{12}, e_{22}) \\ & \gamma_3(m_{12}, e_{22}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(0, 0) & \gamma_2(m_{12}, e_{22}) \\ & \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, e_{\mathcal{B}}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\gamma_2(m_{12}, e_{22}) = 0$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
D(m_{12}) &= D(e_{11}m_{12}) = e_{11}D(m_{12}) + D(e_{11})m_{12} + \gamma(e_{11}, m_{12}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} e_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ & r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(e_{11}, m_{12}) & \gamma_2(e_{11}, m_{12}) \\ & \gamma_3(e_{11}, m_{12}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(e_{\mathcal{A}}, 0) & \gamma_2(e_{11}, m_{12}) \\ & \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, 0) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q + \gamma_2(e_{11}, m_{12}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\gamma_2(e_{11}, m_{12}) = 0$. Thus,

$$D(m_{12}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now; set $q = \tau_M(m)$. Therefore proof is complete.

(6) Let $a_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $m_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ then by (2) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(a \cdot m) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= D(a_{11}m_{12}) = a_{11}D(m_{12}) + D(a_{11})m_{12} + \gamma(a_{11}, m_{12}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \cdot \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cdot m \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(a_{11}, m_{12}) & \gamma_2(a_{11}, m_{12}) \\ & \gamma_3(a_{11}, m_{12}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(a_{11}, m_{12}) & a \cdot \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cdot m + \gamma_2(a_{11}, m_{12}) \\ & \gamma_3(a_{11}, m_{12}) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\gamma_1(a_{11}, m_{12}) = 0$, $\gamma_3(a_{11}, m_{12}) = 0$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(a \cdot m) = a \cdot \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cdot m + \gamma_2(a_{11}, m_{12})$. By the similar method we can prove the case (7).

Finally we show that $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a generalized 2-cocycle derivation associative with 2-cocycle $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$. Put $a'_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $(aa')_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} aa' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)\begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= D(a_{11}a'_{11}) = a_{11}D(a'_{11}) + D(a_{11})a'_{11} + \gamma(a_{11}, a'_{11}) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a') & a'm_D + \gamma_2(a'_{11}, e_{11}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) & am_D + \gamma_2(a_{11}, e_{11}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a, a') & \gamma_2(a_{11}, a'_{11}) \\ \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(0, 0) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} aD_{\mathcal{A}}(a') + a'D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) + \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a, a') & aa'm_D + a\gamma_2(a'_{11}, e_{11}) + \gamma_2(a_{11}, a'_{11}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)\begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= D\left(\begin{bmatrix} aa' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(aa') & aa'm_D + \gamma_2((aa')_{11}, e_{11}) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Above relations follow that

$$D_{\mathcal{A}}(aa') = aD_{\mathcal{A}}(a') + a'D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) + \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a, a'),$$

and

$$a\gamma_2(a'_{11}, e_{11}) + \gamma_2(a_{11}, a'_{11}) = \gamma_2(a_{11}a'_{11}, e_{11}).$$

Thus $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generalized 2-cocycle derivation. The same way of $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ proves that $D_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a generalized 2-cocycle derivation. \square

NOTE 2.2. In the Theorem 2.1, if $D : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is continuous and $\gamma \in Z^2(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$ then all obtained generalized derivations and 2-cocycles will be continuous. From now on, we suppose that all maps (generalized derivations, module mappings and 2-cocycles) are continuous.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be generalized 2-cocycles derivation associate with 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively, and let $\tau_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a linear map that satisfies in conditions (6) and (7) of Theorem 2.1 such that

$$\gamma_2(T_1, T_2) = \gamma_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + \gamma_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$T'\gamma_2(T_1T_2) - \gamma_2(T'T_1, T_2) + \gamma_2(T', T_1T_2) - \gamma_2(T', T_1)T_2 = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a', a_1) \cdot m_2 - m' \cdot \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2), \quad (2.3)$$

for all $T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ & b_1 \end{bmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ & b_2 \end{bmatrix}, T' = \begin{bmatrix} a' & m' \\ & b' \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$. Then the map

$$D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) & \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m) \\ & D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \end{bmatrix},$$

is a generalized 2-cocycle derivation with associate 2-cocycle $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}} & \gamma_2 \\ & \tau_{\mathcal{B}} \end{bmatrix}$.

PROOF. Clearly by (2.3) γ is a 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$. Then for every $T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ & b_1 \end{bmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_1 D(T_2) + D(T_1)T_2 + \gamma(T_1, T_2) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & m_1 \\ & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2) & \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m_2) \\ & D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1) & \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m_1) \\ & D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & m_2 \\ & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2) & \gamma_2(T_1, T_2) \\ & \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1)a_2 + \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2) & a_1 \cdot \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m_2) + m_1 \cdot D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1) \cdot m_2 \\ & + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m_1) \cdot b_2 + \gamma_2(T_1, T_2) \\ b_1 D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2) + D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1)b_2 + \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

As well as, together with cases (6) and (7) of Theorem 2.1 and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(T_1T_2) &= D\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1a_2 & a_1 \cdot m_2 + m_1 \cdot b_2 \\ & b_1b_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1a_2) & \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(a_1 \cdot m_2 + m_1 \cdot b_2) \\ & D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1b_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1)a_2 + \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2) & a_1 \cdot \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m_2) + m_1 \cdot D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1) \cdot m_2 \\ & + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(m_1) \cdot b_2 + \gamma_2(T_1, T_2) \\ b_1 D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2) + D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1)b_2 + \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus D is a generalized derivation associate with 2-cocycle γ . \square

If $\mathcal{M} = 0$, then triangular Banach algebra reformed to $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ with the following sum and product

$$(a, b) + (a', b') = (a + a', b + b'), \text{ and } (a, b)(a', b') = (aa', bb'),$$

for every $(a, b), (a', b') \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$. It become a Banach algebra with defined norm as $\|(a, b)\| = \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} + \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. We set $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$. Let $\gamma \in Z^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$, $\gamma_1 : \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\gamma_2 : \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the coordinate functions associated to γ that is

$$\gamma((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) = (\gamma_1((a_1, b_2), (a_2, b_2)), \gamma_2((a_1, b_2), (a_2, b_2))),$$

for all $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in \mathfrak{A}$. If γ_1 corresponds to a 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ then there exists a 2-cocycle $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ such that $\gamma_1((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2)$. Similarly, if γ_2 corresponds to a 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ then there exists a 2-cocycle $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ such that $\gamma_2((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2)$. We reduce the Lemma 1.2 into this Banach algebra as follows.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\gamma \in B^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$. Then γ is a 2-cocycle if and only if there are 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$, respectively, such that $\gamma = (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$.*

PROOF. The case where γ is a 2-cocycle existence of $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ are clear by the same reasoning in Lemma 1.2. For converse, let $\tau_{\mathcal{A}} \in Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}} \in Z^2(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$. We shall show that $\gamma = (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ belongs to $Z^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$. For every $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3) \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1, b_1)\gamma((a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3)) - \gamma((a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3)) + \gamma((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)(a_3, b_3)) \\ & \quad - \gamma((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2))(a_3, b_3) \\ &= (a_1, b_1)\gamma((a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3)) - \gamma((a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2), (a_3, b_3)) + \gamma((a_1, b_1), (a_2 a_3, b_2 b_3)) \\ & \quad - \gamma((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2))(a_3, b_3) \\ &= (a_1, b_1)(\gamma_1((a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3)), \gamma_2((a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3))) \\ & \quad - (\gamma_1((a_1 a_2, b_2 b_2), (a_3, b_3)), \gamma_2((a_1 a_2, b_2 b_2), (a_3, b_3))) \\ & \quad + (\gamma_1((a_1, b_1), (a_2 a_3, b_2 b_3)), \gamma_2((a_1, b_1), (a_2 a_3, b_2 b_3))) \\ & \quad - (\gamma_1((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)), \gamma_2((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)))(a_3, b_3) \\ &= (a_1, b_1)(\tau_{\mathcal{A}}((a_2, a_3)), \tau_{\mathcal{B}}((b_2, b_3))) - (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}((a_1 a_2, a_3)), \tau_{\mathcal{B}}((b_1 b_2, b_3))) \\ & \quad + (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}((a_1, a_2 a_3)), \tau_{\mathcal{B}}((b_1, b_2 b_3))) - (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}((a_1, a_2)), \tau_{\mathcal{B}}((b_1, b_2)))(a_3, b_3) \\ &= (a_1 \tau_{\mathcal{A}}((a_2, a_3)) - \tau_{\mathcal{A}}((a_1 a_2, a_3)), b_1 \tau_{\mathcal{B}}((b_2, b_3)) - \tau_{\mathcal{B}}((b_1 b_2, b_3))) \\ & \quad + (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}((a_1, a_2 a_3)) - \tau_{\mathcal{A}}((a_1, a_2))a_3, \tau_{\mathcal{B}}((b_1, b_2 b_3)) - \tau_{\mathcal{B}}((b_1, b_2))b_3) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus γ is a 2-cocycle. □

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Banach algebras. If $D : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is a generalized derivation associate with 2-cocycle γ , then there are generalized derivation $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $D_{\mathcal{B}}$ associate with 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively, such that $\gamma = (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$.*

PROOF. Define $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = e_{\mathcal{A}} D((a, 0)) e_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 2.4, there are 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\gamma((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) = (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2), \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2))$ for all $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1 a_2) &= e_{\mathcal{A}} D((a_1 a_2, 0)) e_{\mathcal{A}} = e_{\mathcal{A}} D((a_1, 0)(a_2, 0)) e_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&= e_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, 0) D((a_2, 0)) e_{\mathcal{A}} + e_{\mathcal{A}} D((a_1, 0))(a_2, 0) e_{\mathcal{A}} + e_{\mathcal{A}} \gamma((a_1, 0), (a_2, 0)) e_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&= e_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, 0) D((a_2, 0)) e_{\mathcal{A}} + e_{\mathcal{A}} D((a_1, 0))(a_2, 0) e_{\mathcal{A}} + e_{\mathcal{A}} (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2), 0) e_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&= a_1 D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1) a_2 + \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2),
\end{aligned}$$

for every $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly, if we define $D_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by $D_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = e_{\mathcal{B}} D((0, b)) e_{\mathcal{B}}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, then by the same reasoning for proof of $D_{\mathcal{A}}$, $D_{\mathcal{B}}$ become a generalized derivation associate with 2-cocycle $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Banach algebras. If $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $D_{\mathcal{B}}$ are generalized derivation associate with 2-cocycles $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively, then $D : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ defined by $D = (D_{\mathcal{A}}, D_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a generalized derivation associate with 2-cocycle $\gamma = (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.4, $\gamma = (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a 2-cocycle. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
D((a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2)) &= D((a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2)) = (D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1 a_2), D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1 b_2)) \\
&= (a_1 D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2) + D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1) a_2 + \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2), b_1 D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2) + D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1) b_2 + \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a_1, b_1) D((a_2, b_2)) + D((a_1, b_1))(a_2, b_2) + \gamma((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) \\
&= (a_1 D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_2), b_1 D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2)) + (D_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1) a_2, D_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1) b_2) + (\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2), \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, b_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus, D is a generalized derivation associate with 2-cocycle γ . \square

References

- [1] B. E. Forrest and L. W. Marcoux, *Derivations of triangular Banach algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **45** (1996), 441-462.
- [2] B. E. Forrest and L. W. Marcoux, *Second order cohomology of triangular Banach algebras*, Houston J. Math., **30** (4) (2004), 1157-1176.
- [3] J. Li and J. Zhou, *Generalized Jordan derivation associate with Hochschild 2-cocycles on some algebras*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **60** (135) (2010), 909-932.
- [4] A. Majieed and J. Zhou, *Generalized Jordan derivation associate with Hochschild 2-cocycles of triangular algebras*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **60** (135) (2010), 211-219.
- [5] A. Nakajima, *Note on generalized Jordan derivation associate with Hochschild 2-cocycles of rings*, Turk. J. Math. **30** (2006), 403-411.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY(PNU),PO BOX 19395-3697,TEHRAN,IRAN
E-mail address: m.kanani@pnu.ac.ir

² YOUNG RESEARCHERS AND ELITE CLUB, ARDABIL BRANCH
ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, ARDABIL, IRAN
E-mail address: jabbari_al@yahoo.com