

Journal of Mathematical Extension
Journal Pre-proof
ISSN: 1735-8299
URL: <http://www.ijmex.com>
Original Research Paper

Existence results for some fractional stochastic integro-differential equations via measures of non-compactness

A.R. Yaghoobnia

Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University

M. Kazemi*

Ashtian Branch, Islamic Azad University

V. N. Mishra

Indira Gandhi National Tribal University, Lalpur

Abstract. Using fixed point theorems is one method used to prove the existence of solutions in many types of integral equations. This study focuses on applying a generalization of Petryshyn's fixed point theorem to solve a general form of fractional stochastic integro-differential equations in the Banach algebra $C(I_a)$. Besides stating and proving the relevant theorem, the reasons for the superiority of the new method compared some similar methods, were explained. In addition, to confirm the efficiency and check the validity of results, a part of the paper dedicated to solving some stochastic integral equations.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10

Keywords and Phrases: Existence of solution, Measures of noncompactness, Stochastic Integral equations, Fractional calculus, Petryshyn's fixed point theorem

*Corresponding Author

1 Introduction

Integral equations are an important tool for scientific expression of many phenomena and modeling of a wide range of scientific processes and have wide applications in various scientific fields such as mathematical physics, economics, biology, scattering theory, mechanics and population dynamics [42, 40, 43, 12]. Some other applications of integral equations can be found in [46, 48, 18]. The importance of the existence of the solution in such studies cannot be overstated, as many times no analytical solution can be found for such problems. So far, many researchers have been study in this field and have reflected the results of their research (for example, see [16, 6]). Using fixed point theorems to check the existence of solutions in different types of integral equations is one of the most important methods used by scientists in this field. For example, we can refer to [2, 24, 22, 25]. Some systems are such that to model them, familiarity with fractional integral equations is necessary. Also, in the solution's existence, we can refer to the works done in [31, 51, 49], and other classes of these equations in [35, 3, 50], which all are based on the use of fixed point theorems. Some phenomena include random parameters that leads to encountering stochastic integral equations [41, 47]. Such systems have more complexities and it is important to make sure they have solution. Methods based on fixed point theorems are some studies that researchers have done to ensure the existence of solutions in different classes of such equations [26, 15, 44, 10]. There are equations that contain a combination of random parameters and derivative of fractional order. Such complex equations can be found in [17, 23]. The introduction and study on the existence of the solution of fractional functional differential equation in the Riemann-Liouville sense, using fixed point theorems in Banach algebra is given in [4, 7, 30].

In this study, we examine the existence of the solution of following fractional stochastic integro-differential equations (FSIDEs).

$${}^C D^\gamma(y(s) + g(s, y(s))) = f(s, y(\alpha(s))) \quad (1)$$

$$+ F(s, y(\beta(s)), \int_0^s k_1(s, t, y(\theta(t)))ds, \int_0^s k_2(s, t, y(\mu(t)))dW(t)), \quad (2)$$

with the initial conditions

$$y^{(i)}(0) = x_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (3)$$

for $s \in I_a = [0, a]$. Here, $y \in C(I_a, \mathbb{R})$ as the analytical solution of (1) is unknown and all other functions are known stochastic processes defined on the some probability space (Ω, F, P) , and $W(s)$ is the Brownian motion. Also, $y^{(i)}(0)$ is the i -th order of derivative of continuous function x at point 0 and x_i 's are constant. In addition $\alpha, \theta, \mu \in C(I_a, \mathbb{R})$, $f, g \in C(I_a \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, and $k_1, k_2 \in C(I_a \times I_a \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are continuous functions. The development of the concept of measures of noncompactness (M.N.C) was first done by Kuratowski [29]. Later, other researchers used this concept in investigating the existence of different types of solutions for the integral equations [1, 8, 14, 34, 38]. This research examines the existence of a solution to equation (1) by applying the concept of MNC and in this way, the Petryshyn's fixed point theorem is used.

2 Auxiliary facts and notations

In this section we review some definitions and theorems, by stating some auxiliary facts and notations. First, we provide some preliminary concepts of fractional calculus. Then some basic introductions about stochastic calculations, and in the next subsection about Petryshyn's fixed point theorems which depend on the concept of MNC, brief explanations will be provided.

2.1 Fractional calculus

Definition 2.1. [27] The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\sigma > 0$ of a function ξ , is defined as

$$I^\sigma \xi(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \mu)^{\sigma-1} \xi(\mu) d\mu, \quad \tau > 0.$$

Of course, to learn about the properties of the Riemann-Liouville derivative, you can see [27]. In this article, the definition of Caputo derivative is considered, which can better model the phenomenon and is compatible with the initial conditions of the problems.

Definition 2.2. [27] The Caputo derivative of fractional order $\sigma \geq 0$ for a function $\xi(\tau)$ is defined by

$$({}^C D^\sigma \xi)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\sigma)} \int_0^\tau (\tau-\mu)^{n-\sigma-1} \tau^{(n)}(\mu) d\mu, \quad n-1 < \sigma \leq n, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [27] Let $\sigma > 0$ and $n = [\sigma] + 1$. For two fractional operators defined above, the following properties yield

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & (I^{\sigma^C} D^\sigma \xi)(\tau) = \xi(\tau) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\xi^{(i)}(0)}{i!} \tau^i, \\ (ii) \quad & ({}^C D^\sigma I^\sigma \xi)(\tau) = \xi(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Stochastic calculus

Systems types have been described and evolved using differential and integral equations since their inception, based on their applications (i.e. economic, mechanical and social systems). These equations applied to model phenomena that in part deal with movement. Stochastic differential equations is a new branch of mathematics that defines the characteristics of random motion based on very broad mathematical foundations. Mathematical models involving measuring uncertainty, are key to the solution and play an important part in the branch of science and industry, which is why scientists use stochastic differential equations as needed in systems modeling. Stochastic equations are equations in which one, or more terms are random processes. Therefore, the solution of stochastic equations may also be of the type of stochastic processes that despite the similarity to the methods of solving ordinary differential equations, there are differences. We studied the basic concepts of this discussion using the concept of Brownian motion.

Definition 2.4. ([28]).

Brownian motion $W(s)$ which is the following properties is a stochastic process.

- (a) For $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n$, the increments $W(s_1)$, $W(s_2) - W(s_1)$, ..., $W(s_n) - W(s_{n-1})$ are independent of the path.

- (b) $W(s) - W(t)$ having mean and variance 0 and variance $s - t$, respectively, has a normal distribution, as a result $W(s)$ has normal distribution with mean and variance 0 and variance s .
- (c) The $W(s)$, for $s \geq 0$ is a continuous functions.

The definition in part a, b and c above, assumes the start of movement from s . The condition $P(W(0) = 0) = 0$ standardizes Brownian motion where it start at 0.

Before explaining the next theorem which implies the existence of a stochastic integral, it is necessary to state the following definition.

Definition 2.5. ([28]). When for all s , $Y(s)$ be \tilde{F}_s -measurable, the process Y is called adapted to the filtration $\tilde{F} = (\tilde{F}_s)$.

Theorem 2.6. [28] If Y be a process that satisfies the continuous adapted condition, then the $\int_0^T Y(s)dW(s)$ exists.

If Brownian motion was derivable everywhere, its integral would not be a problem, but considering that it is not derivable anywhere, therefore the stochastic integral cannot be calculated by normal methods. The common method for calculating the stochastic integral is to use the integration by parts method, which converts the stochastic integral into a computable normal or simple integral. So that for the differentiable and bounded function ϕ , we have [37]:

$$\int_0^s \phi(t)dW(t) = \phi(s)W(s) - \int_0^s W(t)\phi'(t)dt, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad (4)$$

which is an alternative method for calculating stochastic integrals.

2.3 Petryshyn's fixed-point theorem

Here, we employ the symbol E for *Real Banach space*, the symbol \bar{B}_r for *Closed ball with center 0 and radius r* , the symbol $\partial\bar{B}_r$ for *Sphere in E around 0 with radius $r > 0$* , and finally the symbol $C(I_a)$ for *Space of all continuous and real-valued functions on $I_a = [0, a]$* .

We recall some definitions and theorems that are required for the sequel.

Definition 2.7. [29] Let $Y \subset E$ be a bounded set, then

$\alpha(Y) = \inf\{\rho > 0 : Y \text{ can be covered by a finite number of sets with diameter } \leq \rho\}$
is said to be the Kuratowski M.N.C.

Definition 2.8. [21] Let $Y \subset E$ be a bounded set, then the Hausdorff M.N.C. is given by

$$\mu(Y) = \inf\{\rho > 0 : Y \text{ has a finite } \rho\text{-net in } E\}.$$

Theorem 2.9. [21] Let $Y \subset E$ be a bounded set, then the M.N.C α and μ fulfill

$$\mu(Y) \leq \alpha(Y) \leq 2\mu(Y).$$

The space $C[0, a]$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|y(s)| : s \in [0, a]\}.$$

and we shall write the modulus of continuity of a function $y \in C(I_a)$ as

$$\omega(y, \rho) = \sup\{|y(s) - y(t)| : |s - t| \leq \rho\}.$$

Since y is uniformly continuous on $[0, a]$, we have $\omega(y, \rho) \rightarrow 0$, as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.10. [21] In Hausdorff M.N.C, for all bounded sets $Y \subset C[0, a]$

$$\mu(Y) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in Y} \omega(y, \rho) \quad (5)$$

Definition 2.11. [36] Let $Q : E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous map. Q is said to be a k -set contraction if for all $Y \subset C(I_a)$ be bounded, $Q(Y)$ is bounded and $\alpha(QY) \leq k\alpha(Y)$, $0 < k < 1$. Moreover, Q is said to be condensing (densifying) map if

$$\alpha(QY) < \alpha(Y).$$

Note that, a k -set contraction with $k \in (0, 1)$ yields condensing (densifying) but not vice versa.

Theorem 2.12 ([39], see also [45]). Suppose that $Q : \bar{B}_r \rightarrow E$ is a densifying mapping that satisfies the boundary condition,

$$\text{If } Q(Y) = kY, \text{ for some } Y \text{ in } \partial B_r \text{ then } k \leq 1, \quad (\text{P})$$

then the set of fixed points of Q in \bar{B}_r is nonempty.

3 Main Results

In this section, we examine the solvability of the FSIDE (1). Because of the continuity of g and f , we apply the operator I^σ on sides of Eq. (1).

$$\begin{aligned} y(s) = & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} s^i - g(s, y(s)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1 y)(t), (H_2 y)(t))}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $(H_1 y)(s) = \int_0^s k_1(s, t, y(\theta(t))) dt$ and $(H_2 y)(s) = \int_0^s k_2(s, t, y(\mu(t))) dW(t)$.

The Eq. (1.1) is equivalent to the above fractional integral equation.

This means every solution of (6) is also a solution of (1), and vice versa.

Next, we consider the following conditions for Eq. (6):

(H1) $g, f \in C(I_a \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $F \in C(I_a \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $k_1, k_2 \in C(I_a \times I_a \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, and $\alpha, \beta, \theta, \mu : I_a \rightarrow I_a$ are continuous,

(H2) There exist nonnegative constants k, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 , and $k < 1$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} |g(s, u) - g(s, \bar{u})| & \leq k|u - \bar{u}|, \\ |F(s, u, v, w) - F(s, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| & \leq c_1|u - \bar{u}| + c_2|v - \bar{v}| + c_3|w - \bar{w}| \end{aligned}$$

(H3) (Bounded condition) There exists nonnegative r_0 such that

$$\sup\{L + A + \frac{M_1 a^\sigma}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} + \frac{M_2 a^\sigma}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)}\} \leq r_0,$$

where

$$L = \sup\{|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} t^i| : \forall s \in I_a\},$$

$$A = \sup\{|g(s, u)| : \forall s \in I_a, \text{ and } u \in [-r_0, r_0]\},$$

$$M_1 = \sup\{|f(s, u)| : \forall s \in I_a, \text{ and } u \in [-r_0, r_0]\},$$

$$M_2 = \sup\{|F(s, u, v, w)| : \forall s \in I_a, u \in [-r_0, r_0], |v| \leq aA_1, |w| \leq \lambda B\},$$

$$A_1 = \sup\{|k_1(s, t, u)| : \forall s, t \in I_a, \text{ and } u \in [-r_0, r_0]\},$$

$$B = \sup\{|k_2(s, t, u)| : \forall s, t \in I_a, \text{ and } u \in [-r_0, r_0]\},$$

$$\lambda = \sup\{|W(s)| : \forall s \in I_a\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *By conditions (H1)-(H3) on $E = C(I_a)$, Eq. (1) has at least one solution.*

Proof. We define the operator $Q : B_{r_0} \rightarrow C(I_a)$, as follows

$$(Qy)(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} s^i - g(s, y(s)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt.$$

We will demonstrate that the operator Q is continuous on the ball B_{r_0} . Take arbitrary $x, y \in B_{r_0}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|x - y\| \leq \varepsilon$, then for $s \in I_a$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Qy)(s) - (Qx)(s)| \leq \\ & |g(s, x(s)) - g(s, y(s))| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{|f(t, y(\alpha(t))) - f(t, x(\alpha(t)))|}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{|F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t)) - F(t, x(\beta(t)), (H_1x)(t), (H_2x)(t))|}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\ & \leq k \|y - x\| + \frac{s^\sigma}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} \omega(f, \omega(\alpha, \varepsilon)) \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{|F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t)) - F(t, x(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))|}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{|F(t, x(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t)) - F(t, x(\beta(t)), (H_1x)(t), (H_2y)(t))|}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{|F(t, x(\beta(t)), (H_1x)(t), (H_2y)(t)) - F(t, x(\beta(t)), (H_1x)(t), (H_2x)(t))|}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\ & \leq k \|y - x\| + \frac{s^\sigma}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} \omega(f, \omega(\alpha, \varepsilon)) + \frac{c_1 s^\sigma}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} \|y - x\| \\ & + \frac{c_2 a s^\sigma}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} \omega(k_1, \varepsilon) + \frac{c_3 \lambda s^\sigma}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} \omega(k_2, \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where for $\sigma > 0$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f, \varepsilon) &= \sup\{|f(t, y) - f(t, x)| : t \in I_a, y, x \in [-r_0, r_0], \|y - x\| \leq \varepsilon\}, \\ \omega(k_i, \varepsilon) &= \sup\{|k_i(s, t, y) - k_i(s, t, x)| : s, t \in I_a, y, x \in [-r_0, r_0], \|y - x\| \leq \varepsilon\}, \quad i = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions $f = f(t, y)$ and $k = k(s, t, y)$ are uniformly continuous on $[0, a] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $[0, a] \times [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}$, we indicate that $\omega(f, \omega(\alpha, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow 0$, $\omega(k_1, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and $\omega(k_2, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, the operator Q is continuous on B_{r_0} .

In the following, we prove the operator Q fulfills densifying condition in view of μ .

To do this, we take arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and assumed that $x \in Y \subset C(I_a)$ is a bounded set. Here for $s_1, s_2 \in I_a$ such that $s_1 \leq s_2$ while $s_2 - s_1 \leq \varepsilon$, gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Qy)(s_2) - (Qy)(s_1)| \\
= & \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} s_2^i - g(s_2, y(s_2)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^{s_2} \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^{s_2} \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\
& - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} s_1^i + g(s_1, y(s_1)) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^{s_1} \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s_1 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^{s_1} \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))}{(s_1 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \right| \\
\leq & \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} (s_2^i - s_1^i) \right| + |g(s_1, y(s_1)) - g(s_2, y(s_2))| \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \left| \int_0^{s_1} \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt + \int_0^{s_1} \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s_1 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \right| \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \left| \int_0^{s_1} \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \right. \\
& \left. + \int_0^{s_1} \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))}{(s_1 - t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \right| \\
\leq & |g(s_1, y(s_1)) - g(s_1, y(s_2))| + |g(s_1, y(s_2)) - g(s_2, y(s_2))| \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^{s_1} \left| \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} - \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s_1 - t)^{1-\sigma}} \right| dt + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \left| \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} \right| dt \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^{s_1} \left| \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} - \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1y)(t), (H_2y)(t))}{(s_1 - t)^{1-\sigma}} \right| dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \left| \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1 y)(t), (H_2 y)(t))}{(s_2 - t)^{1-\sigma}} \right| dt.$$

For simplicity we use the following notation:

$$\omega_g(I_a, \varepsilon) = \sup\{|g(s, y) - g(\bar{s}, y)| : |s - \bar{s}| \leq \varepsilon, s \in I_a, y \in [-r_0, r_0]\},$$

and using the above relation we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |(Qy)(s) - (Qx)(s)| &\leq k\omega(y, \varepsilon) + \omega_g(I_a, \varepsilon) \\ &+ \frac{M_1}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} \{s_1^\sigma - s_2^\sigma + (s_2 - s_1)^\sigma\} + \frac{M_1}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} (s_2 - s_1)^\sigma \\ &+ \frac{M_2}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} \{s_1^\sigma - s_2^\sigma + (s_2 - s_1)^\sigma\} + \frac{M_2}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} (s_2 - s_1)^\sigma \\ &\leq k\omega(x, \varepsilon) + \omega_g(I_a, \varepsilon) + \frac{3\varepsilon^\sigma M_1}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} + \frac{3\varepsilon^\sigma M_2}{\Gamma(1+\sigma)} \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\omega(Qy, \varepsilon) \leq k\omega(y, \varepsilon), \quad y \in Y.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu(QY) \leq k\mu(Y).$$

Now, we get Q is a condensing mapping with constant $k < 1$. It remains to verify condition (P) of Theorem 2.12. Suppose $y \in \partial \bar{B}_{r_0}$. If $Qy = ky$ then we have $kr_0 = k\|y\| = \|Qy\|$ and by condition (H3) we concluded that

$$\begin{aligned} |Qy(s)| &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} s^i - g(s, y(s)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^s \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), (H_1 y)(t), (H_2 y)(t))}{(s-t)^{1-\sigma}} dt \right| \leq r_0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\|Qy\| \leq r_0$, which gives $k \leq 1$. \square The following corollary which is the main results of Dadsetadi and et al.[13], would be obtained from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. [13] Suppose

(M1) $g \in C(I_a \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}), f \in C(I_a \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}), F \in C(I_a \times \mathcal{R}^2, \mathcal{R}), k \in C(I_a^2 \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ and,
 $\mu : I_a \rightarrow I_a$ are continuous,

(M2) There exist non negative constants k_1, k_2, c_1, c_2 , and c_3 so that
 $k_1 < 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\vartheta, \omega_1) - g(\vartheta, \varpi_1)| &\leq k_1 |\omega_1 - \varpi_1|, \\ |F(\vartheta, \omega_1, \omega_2) - F(\vartheta, \varpi_1, \varpi_2)| &\leq c_1 |\omega_1 - \varpi_1| + c_2 |\omega_2 - \varpi_2|, \end{aligned}$$

(M3) $\exists \delta_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$\sup\{L + A + \frac{M_1 a^\sigma}{\Gamma(1 + \sigma)} + \frac{M_2 a^\sigma}{\Gamma(1 + \sigma)}\} \leq \delta_0,$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \sup\{|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\chi^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, \chi_0)}{i!} \vartheta^i| : \text{for all } \vartheta \in I_a\}, \\ A &= \sup\{|g(\vartheta, \omega_1)| : \forall \vartheta \in I_a, \text{ and } \omega_1 \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0]\}, \\ M_1 &= \sup\{|f(\vartheta, \omega_1)| : \forall \vartheta \in I_a \text{ and } \omega_1 \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0]\}, \\ M_2 &= \sup\{|F(\vartheta, \omega_1, \omega_2)| : \forall \vartheta \in I_a, \omega_1 \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0], |\omega_2| \leq aB\}, \\ B &= \sup\{|k(\vartheta, \ell, \omega_1)| : \forall \vartheta, \ell \in I_a, \text{ and } \omega_1 \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$${}^C D^\gamma \left(y(\vartheta) + g(\vartheta, y(\vartheta)) \right) = f(\vartheta, y(\vartheta)) + F\left(\vartheta, y(\vartheta), \int_0^\vartheta k(\vartheta, \ell) H(y(\mu(\ell))) d\ell\right), \quad \vartheta \in I_a, \quad (7)$$

with the initial conditions

$$y^{(i)}(0) = y_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (8)$$

has at least a solution in I_a .

Proof. It is clear that Eq. (7) is a particular case of Eq. (1). Here $\alpha(\vartheta) = \beta(\vartheta) = \varsigma(\vartheta) = \vartheta$, $k(\vartheta, \ell, y(\mu(\ell))) = k(\vartheta, \ell)H(y(\mu(\ell)))$. By employing Riemann-Liouville fractional integrating and Lemma 2.3, Eq. (7) changes into

$$\begin{aligned} y(s) = & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} \vartheta^i - g(\vartheta, y(\vartheta)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^\vartheta \frac{f(\ell, y(\ell))}{(\vartheta - \ell)^{1-\sigma}} d\ell \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^\vartheta \frac{F(\ell, y(\ell), (Hy)(\ell))}{(\vartheta - \ell)^{1-\sigma}} d\ell. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is connected to the Theorem 3.1 and we can drop these parts. \square

Remark 3.3. The above Corollary is the main result of [13], which was proved here using Petryshyn's theorem simpler and with fewer conditions, and this is the advantage of using Petryshyn's theorem.

4 Examples

Here, we provide examples to confirm the efficiency and check the validity of the results.

Example 4.1. Consider following stochastic integral equation $C[0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D^{1.25}(y(s) + \frac{\cos(s)}{\sqrt{64 + s^2}} x(s)) = & \frac{e^{-4s}}{10 + s^2} \sin(\frac{1}{1 + s}) + \frac{y(1 - s)\sqrt{s}}{7} \quad (9) \\ & + \frac{3s^2 y(s^2)}{5 + 5s^2} + \frac{e^{-s}}{6 + 5s} \int_0^s \frac{1}{1 + s^3} (1 + \int_0^t \frac{\ln(1 + |y(\xi)|)}{\sqrt{4 + \xi + t}} d\xi) dt \\ & + \frac{1}{5} \int_0^s \frac{e^{-3st}}{2 + s^2 + \ln(1 + t)} \sin(y(t)) dW(t), \end{aligned}$$

$$y^{(i)}(0) = y_i, \quad i = 0, 1. \quad (10)$$

Equation (9) is a particular form of Eq. (1) such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &= 1.25, \quad m = 2, \quad a = 1, \quad g(s, y(s)) = \frac{\cos(s)}{\sqrt{64 + s^2}} y(s), \\ f(s, y(\alpha(s))) &= \frac{e^{-4s}}{10 + s^2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{1+s}\right) + \frac{x(1-s)\sqrt{s}}{7}, \\ F(s, u, v, w) &= \frac{3s^2 u}{5 + 5s^2} + \frac{e^{-s}}{6 + 5s} v + \frac{1}{5} w, \\ v &= \int_0^s \frac{1}{1 + s^3} \left(1 + \int_0^t \frac{\ln(1 + |y(\xi)|)}{\sqrt{4 + \xi + t}} d\xi\right), \\ w &= \int_0^s \frac{e^{-3st}}{2 + s^2 + \ln(1 + t)} \sin(y(t)) dW(t).\end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that

$$|g(s, u) - g(s, \bar{u})| \leq \frac{1}{8} |u - \bar{u}|$$

and

$$|F(s, u, v, w) - F(s, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq \frac{3}{5} |u - \bar{u}| + \frac{1}{6} |v - \bar{v}| + \frac{1}{5} |w - \bar{w}|.$$

Here $k = \frac{1}{8} < 1$, $c_1 = \frac{3}{5}$, $c_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, $c_3 = \frac{1}{5}$.

So, the conditions (H1) and (H2) hold. Moreover, for $\|y\| \leq r_0$, $r_0 > 0$ and $y_0 = 0, y_1 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|y(s)| &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{y^{(i)}(0) + g^{(i)}(0, y_0)}{i!} s^i - g(s, y(s)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1.25)} \int_0^s \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s-t)^{0.25}} dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1.25)} \int_0^s \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), y(\theta(t)), (Hy)(t))}{(s-t)^{0.25}} dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{9}{8} + \frac{1}{8} r_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2.25)} \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{r_0}{7} \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2.25)} \left(\frac{3r_0}{5} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{r_0}{2} + 1 \right) + \frac{\lambda}{10} \right),\end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in I_a$.

Therefor (H3)' holds if, $\frac{9}{8} + \frac{1}{8} r_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2.25)} \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{r_0}{7} \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2.25)} \left(\frac{3r_0}{5} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{r_0}{2} + 1 \right) + \frac{\lambda}{10} \right) \leq r_0$.

This shows that $r_0 = 0.25629\lambda + 3.95014$ is a solution of the above

inequality. The result is followed from Theorem 3.1. Therefore, assumptions (H1)–(H3) be fulfilled and Theorem 3.1 indicates the solution of (9) in $C[0, 1]$.

Example 4.2. Consider following stochastic integral equation $C[0, 1]$:

$${}^C D^{0.5}(y(s) + \frac{2 + \ln(1 + |y(s)|)}{(2s + 3)^2}) = \frac{1}{5}e^{-s} + \frac{3 \cos(s)y(s^3)}{4 + 3s} + \frac{1}{9} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}y(\sqrt{s})) \quad (11)$$

$$+ \frac{s^2}{2(1 + s^2)} \int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{se^{-3t}}{1 + s}} \left(\frac{1}{5} + \int_0^t \xi \left(\frac{|y(\xi)|}{1 + |y(\xi)|} + y(\xi) \right) d\xi \right) dt$$

$$+ \frac{e^{-s}}{3 + s^2} \int_0^s \frac{st \cos(sy(\sqrt{t}))}{2 + t^2 + 5s} dW(t),$$

$$y(0) = y_0 = 0. \quad (12)$$

Here

$$\sigma = 0.5, m = a = 1, g(s, y(s)) = \frac{2 + \ln(1 + |y(s)|)}{(2s + 3)^2},$$

$$f(s, y(\alpha(s))) = \frac{1}{5}e^{-s} + \frac{3 \cos(s)y(s^3)}{4 + 3s},$$

$$F(s, u, v, w) = \frac{1}{9} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}u) + \frac{s^2}{2(1 + s^2)}v + \frac{e^{-s}}{3 + s^2}w,$$

$$v = \int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{se^{-3t}}{1 + s}} \left(\frac{1}{5} + \int_0^t \xi \left(\frac{|y(\xi)|}{1 + |y(\xi)|} + y(\xi) \right) d\xi \right) dt,$$

$$w = \int_0^s \frac{st \cos(sy(\sqrt{t}))}{2 + t^2 + 5s} dW(t).$$

It can be seen that we have

$$|g(s, u) - g(s, \bar{u})| \leq \frac{1}{9}|u - \bar{u}|$$

and

$$|F(s, u, v, w) - F(s, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{18}|u - \bar{u}| + \frac{1}{2}|v - \bar{v}| + \frac{1}{3}|w - \bar{w}|.$$

So, we can choose $k = \frac{1}{9} < 1$, $c_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{18}$, $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $c_3 = \frac{1}{3}$.

So, the conditions (H1) and (H2) hold. Moreover, for $\|y\| \leq r_0$, $r_0 > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(s)| &= |y(0) + g(0, y_0) - g(s, y(s)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^s \frac{f(t, y(\alpha(t)))}{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^s \frac{F(t, y(\beta(t)), y(\theta(t)), (Hy)(t))}{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{r_0}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{4} (1 + r_0 + \frac{1}{5}) + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \right), \quad \forall t \in I_a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefor (H3) holds if $|x(t)| \leq r_0$. This shows that $r_0 = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}+11+3\lambda}{9(\sqrt{\pi}-1)}$. The result is followed from Theorem 3.1.

5 Conclusion and Perspective

In this work, Theorem 2.12 and the M.N.C. idea were used to analyze the of solutions some nonlinear functional FSIDEs in the Banach algebra $C(I_a)$. The superiority of Theorem 2.12 compared to other similar fixed point theorems (such as Darbo and Schauder) is that here the condition that involved operator maps a closed convex subset onto itself is not needed. Thus by applying weaker conditions, the method is extended and includes a larger range.

References

- [1] A. Aghajani, J. Banaś, and Y. Jalilian. Existence of solutions for a class of nonlinear Volterra singular integral equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62(3):1215–1227, 2011.
- [2] Agarwal, Ravi P and Hussain, Nawab and Taoudi, Mohamed-Aziz. Fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, pages Art. ID 245872, 15, 2012.

- [3] Al-Rawi, Suha N. On the Solution of Certain Fractional Integral Equations. *Journal of Kirkuk University–Scientific Studies*, 1(2), 2006.
- [4] Ammi, Moulay Rchid Sidi and Kinani, El Hassan El and Torres, Delfim FM. Existence and uniqueness of solution to a functional integro-differential fractional equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1206.3996*, 2012.
- [5] J. Appell, E. De Pascale, and A. Vignoli. *Nonlinear spectral theory*, volume 10 of *de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2004.
- [6] Atkinson, Kendall E. The numerical solution of integral equations of the second kind. Cambridge university press, 4(1997).
- [7] Balachandran, K and Kiruthika, S and Trujillo, JJ. Existence of solutions of nonlinear fractional pantograph equations. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 33(3):712–720, 2013.
- [8] J. Banaś. Measures of noncompactness in the study of solutions of nonlinear differential and integral equations. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 10(6):2003–2011, 2012.
- [9] J. Banaś and K. Goebel. *Measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces*, volume 60 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1980.
- [10] Carl, Siegfried and Heikkilä, Seppo. Fixed point theory in ordered sets and applications: from differential and integral equations to game theory. Springer Science & Business Media, (2010).
- [11] R. Chiappinelli. An application of Ekeland’s variational principle to the spectrum of nonlinear homogeneous gradient operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 340(1):511–520, 2008.
- [12] Colton, David and Kress, Rainer. Integral equation methods in scattering theory. SIAM, (2013).

- [13] Dadsetadi, Somayyeh and Nouri, Kazem and Torkzadeh, Leila. Solvability of some nonlinear integro-differential equation of fractional order via measure of noncompactness. *The Pure and Applied Mathematics, Korean Society of Mathematical Education*, 27(1):13–24, 2020.
- [14] M. A. Darwish and S. K. Ntouyas. On a quadratic fractional Hammerstein-Volterra integral equation with linear modification of the argument. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74(11):3510–3517, 2011.
- [15] Deep, Amar and Abbas, Syed and Singh, Bhupander and Alharthi, MR and Nisar, Kottakkaran Sooppy. Solvability of functional stochastic integral equations via Darbos fixed point theorem. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 60(6): 5631–5636, 2021.
- [16] Delves, Leonard Michael and Mohamed, Julie L. Computational methods for integral equations. CUP Archive, (1988).
- [17] El-Sayed, AMA. On the stochastic fractional calculus operators. *Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications*, 6(1):101–109, 2015.
- [18] Eskola, Lauri. Geophysical interpretation using integral equations. Springer Science & Business Media, (2012).
- [19] W. Feng. A new spectral theory for nonlinear operators and its applications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2(1-2):163–183, 1997.
- [20] E. Giorgieri, J. Appell, and M. Väth. Nonlinear spectral theory for homogeneous operators. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 7(4):589–618, 2002.
- [21] L. S. Goldenšteĭn and A. S. Markus. On the measure of noncompactness of bounded sets and of linear operators. In *Studies in Algebra and Math. Anal. (Russian)*, pages 45–54. Izdat. “Karta Moldovenjaske”, Kishinev, 1965.
- [22] Gülyaz, Selma and Erhan, Inci M. Existence of solutions of integral equations via fixed point theorems. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2014(1):1–15, 2014.

- [23] Hafiz, Fathi M. The fractional calculus for some stochastic processes. *Stochastic analysis and applications*, 22(2):507–523, 2004.
- [24] Karapinar, E and Fulga, A and Shahzad, N and Roldán López de Hierro, AF. Solving integral equations by means of fixed point theory. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2022.
- [25] Kazemi, Manouchehr and Ezzati, Reza. Existence of solutions for some nonlinear Volterra integral equations via Petryshyn fixed point theorem. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 9(1):1–12, 2018.
- [26] Kazemi, Manouchehr and Yaghoobnia, Alireza. Application of fixed point theorem to solvability of functional stochastic integral equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 417:126759, 2022.
- [27] Kilbas, A. A. and Srivastava, H. M. and Trujillo, J. J. Theory and applications of fractional differential equations, *elsevier*, 204, (2006).
- [28] Klebaner, F. C. *Introduction to stochastic calculus with applications*, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2012.
- [29] K. Kuratowski. Sur les espaces completes. *Fund. Math.*, 15:301–335, 1934.
- [30] Laksaci, Noura and Boudaoui, Ahmed and Abodayeh, Kamaleldin and Shatanawi, Wasfi and Shatnawi, Taqi AM. Existence and Uniqueness Results of Coupled Fractional-Order Differential Systems Involving Riemann–Liouville Derivative in the Space $W_{a+}^{\gamma_1, 1}(a, b) \times W_{a+}^{\gamma_2, 1}(a, b)$ with Perovs Fixed Point Theorem. *Fractal and Fractional*, 5(4): pages 217, 2021.
- [31] Lepik, Ülo. Solving fractional integral equations by the Haar wavelet method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 214(2):468–478, 2009.
- [32] K. Maleknejad, R. Mollapourasl, and K. Nouri. Study on existence of solutions for some nonlinear functional-integral equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 69(8):2582–2588, 2008.

- [33] K. Maleknejad, K. Nouri, and R. Mollapourasl. Existence of solutions for some nonlinear integral equations. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 14(6):2559–2564, 2009.
- [34] K. Maleknejad, K. Nouri, and R. Mollapourasl. Investigation on the existence of solutions for some nonlinear functional-integral equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71(12):e1575–e1578, 2009.
- [35] Mandal, N and Chakrabarti, Arijit. Solution of a system of generalized Abel integral equations using fractional calculus. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 9(5):1–4, 1996.
- [36] R. D. Nussbaum. *The fixed-point index and fixed point theorem for k -set contractions*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1969. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Chicago.
- [37] Øksendal, Bernt. Stochastic differential equations. *in Stochastic differential equations.*, Springer, pp:65–84, 2003.
- [38] İ. Özdemir, Ü. Çakan, and B. İlhan. On the existence of the solutions for some nonlinear Volterra integral equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, pages Art. ID 698234, 5, 2013.
- [39] W. V. Petryshyn. Structure of the fixed points sets of k -set-contractions. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 40:312–328, 1970/1971.
- [40] Prüss, J. Evolutionary integral equations and applications. Birkhäuser, 87(2013).
- [41] Protter, Philip E. On the existence, uniqueness, convergence and explosions of solutions of systems of stochastic integral equations. *The Annals of Probability*, 5(2): 243–261, 1977.
- [42] Rahman, M. Integral equations and their applications. *WIT press*, (2007).
- [43] Raiche, AP. An integral equation approach to three-dimensional modelling. *Geophysical Journal International*, 36(2):363–376, 1974.

- [44] Rashwan, RA and Albaqeri, DM. A common random fixed point theorem and application to random integral equations. International Journal Of Applied Mathematics Research, 3(1): pages 71, 2014.
- [45] Singh, Sankatha Prasad and Watson, Bruce and Srivastava, Pramila. *“Fixed point theory and best approximation: the KKM-map principle”*, Springer Science & Business Media, vol. 424, 2013.
- [46] Tsokos, Chris P and Padgett, William J. Random integral equations with applications to life sciences and engineering. Academic Press, (1974).
- [47] Tsokos, Chris P and Padgett, William J. Random integral equations with applications to stochastic systems. Springer, (2006).
- [48] Wazwaz, Abdul-Majid. Applications of integral equations. Linear and Nonlinear Integral Equations: Methods and Applications, (2011).
- [49] Wei, Wei and Li, Xuezhu and Li, Xia. New stability results for fractional integral equation. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 67(10):3468–3475, 2012.
- [50] Xu, Na and Liu, Wenbin. Iterative solutions for a coupled system of fractional differential–integral equations with two-point boundary conditions. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 244: 903–911, 2014.
- [51] Zaky, Mahmoud A. An accurate spectral collocation method for nonlinear systems of fractional differential equations and related integral equations with nonsmooth solutions. Applied Numerical Mathematics, 154:205–222, 2020.

Alireza Yaghoobnia

Department of Mathematics

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University

Lahijan, Iran
E-mail: yaghoobnia@liau.ac.ir

Manochehr Kazemi
Department of Mathematics
Associate Professor of Mathematics
Ashtian Branch, Islamic Azad University
Ashtian, Iran
E-mail: E-mail: univer_ka@yahoo.com

Vishnu Narayan Mishra
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Professor of Mathematics
Indira Gandhi National Tribal University, Lalpur
Amarkantak, Anuppur, Madhya Pradesh 484 887, India
E-mail: vishnunarayanmishra@gmail.com, vnm@igntu.ac.in