

## Some Results on the Growth Analysis of Entire Functions Using their Maximum Terms and Relative $L^*$ -orders

**S. K. Datta\***

University of Kalyani

**T. Biswas**

Rajbari Rabindrapalli

**A. Hoque**

University of Kalyani

**Abstract.** In this paper we study some comparative growth properties of composite entire functions in terms of their maximum terms on the basis of their relative  $L^*$  order ( relative  $L^*$  lower order ) with respect to another entire function.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 30D20; 30D30; 30D35

**Keywords and Phrases:** Entire function, maximum term, composition, relative  $L^*$  order (relative  $L^*$  lower order ), growth.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of all finite complex numbers. Also let  $f$  be an entire function defined in the open complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . The maximum term  $\mu_f(r)$  and the maximum modulus  $M_f(r)$  of  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  on  $|z| = r$  are defined as  $\mu_f(r) = \max(|a_n| r^n)$  and  $M_f(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$  respectively. We use

---

Received: July 2015; Accepted: February 2016

\*Corresponding author

the standard notations and definitions in the theory of entire functions which are available in [10]. In the sequel we use the following notation:

$$\log^{[k]} x = \log \left( \log^{[k-1]} x \right), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \text{and } \log^{[0]} x = x.$$

If  $f$  is non-constant then  $M_f(r)$  is strictly increasing and continuous and its inverse  $M_f^{-1}(r) : (|f(0)|, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  exists and is such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} M_f^{-1}(s) = \infty$ . Bernal [1] introduced the definition of relative order of  $f$  with respect to  $g$ , denoted by  $\rho_g(f)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_g(f) &= \inf \{ \mu > 0 : M_f(r) < M_g(r^\mu) \text{ for all } r > r_0(\mu) > 0 \} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1} M_f(r)}{\log r}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can define the relative lower order of  $f$  with respect to  $g$  denoted by  $\lambda_g(f)$  as follows:

$$\lambda_g(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1} M_f(r)}{\log r}.$$

If we consider  $g(z) = \exp z$ , the above definition coincides with the classical definition [9] of order ( lower order) of an entire function  $f$  which is as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** *The order  $\rho_f$  and the lower order  $\lambda_f$  of an entire function  $f$  are defined as*

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log r} \text{ and } \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log r}.$$

Using the inequalities  $\mu_f(r) \leq M_f(r) \leq \frac{R}{R-r} \mu_f(R)$  for  $0 \leq r < R$  [8] one may give an alternative definition of entire function in the following manner:

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \mu_f(r)}{\log r} \text{ and } \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \mu_f(r)}{\log r}.$$

Now let  $L \equiv L(r)$  be a positive continuous function increasing slowly i.e.,  $L(ar) \sim L(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for every positive constant  $a$ . Singh and Barker [5] defined it in the following way:

**Definition 1.2.** [5] *A positive continuous function  $L(r)$  is called a slowly changing function if for  $\varepsilon (> 0)$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{k^\varepsilon} \leq \frac{L(kr)}{L(r)} \leq k^\varepsilon \text{ for } r \geq r(\varepsilon)$$

and uniformly for  $k (\geq 1)$ .

If further,  $L(r)$  is differentiable, the above condition is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rL'(r)}{L(r)} = 0.$$

Somasundaram and Thamizharasi [6] introduced the notions of  $L$ -order for entire function where  $L \equiv L(r)$  is a positive continuous function increasing slowly i.e.,  $L(ar) \sim L(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for every positive constant 'a'. The more generalised concept for  $L$ -order for entire function is  $L^*$ -order and its definition is as follows:

**Definition 1.3.** [6] *The  $L^*$ -order  $\rho_f^{L^*}$  and the  $L^*$ -lower order  $\lambda_f^{L^*}$  of an entire function  $f$  are defined as*

$$\rho_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \text{ and } \lambda_f^{L^*} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.$$

In view of the inequalities  $\mu_f(r) \leq M_f(r) \leq \frac{R}{R-r} \mu_f(R)$  for  $0 \leq r < R$  [8] one may verify that

$$\rho_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \mu_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \text{ and } \lambda_f^{L^*} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \mu_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.$$

In the line of Somasundaram and Thamizharasi [6] and Bernal [1], Datta and Biswas [2] gave the definition of relative  $L^*$ -order of an entire function in the following way:

**Definition 1.4.** [2] *The relative  $L^*$ -order of an entire function  $f$  with respect to another entire function  $g$ , denoted by  $\rho_g^{L^*}(f)$  in the following way*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) &= \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : M_f(r) < M_g \left\{ re^{L(r)} \right\}^\mu \text{ for all } r > r_0(\mu) > 0 \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1} M_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can define the relative  $L^*$ -lower order of  $f$  with respect to  $g$  denoted by  $\lambda_g^{L^*}(f)$  as follows:

$$\lambda_g^{L^*}(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_g^{-1} M_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.$$

In the case of relative  $L^*$ -order (relative  $L^*$ -lower order), it therefore seems reasonable to define suitably an alternative definition of relative  $L^*$ -order (relative  $L^*$ -lower order) of entire function in terms of its maximum terms. Datta, Biswas and Ali [4] also introduced such definition in the following way:

**Definition 1.5.** [4] *The relative order  $\rho_g^{L^*}(f)$  and the relative lower order  $\lambda_g^{L^*}(f)$  of an entire function  $f$  with respect to another entire function  $g$  are defined as*

$$\rho_g^{L^*}(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_g^{-1} \mu_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \text{ and } \lambda_g^{L^*}(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_g^{-1} \mu_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.$$

In this paper we wish to establish some results relating to the growth rates of composite entire functions in terms of their maximum terms on the basis of relative  $L^*$ -order (relative  $L^*$ -lower order).

## 2. Main Results

In the following we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** [7] *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be any two entire functions. Then for every  $\alpha > 1$  and  $0 < r < R$ ,*

$$\mu_{f \circ g}(r) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \mu_f \left( \frac{\alpha R}{R - r} \mu_g(R) \right).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [7] *If  $f$  and  $g$  are any two entire functions with  $g(0) = 0$ . Then for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ ,*

$$\mu_{f \circ g}(r) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu_f \left( \frac{1}{8} \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{4} \right) - |g(0)| \right).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [3] *If  $f$  be an entire and  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < \alpha$ , then for all sufficiently large  $r$ ,*

$$\mu_f(\alpha r) \geq \beta \mu_f(r).$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions and  $g(0) = 0$ . If there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , satisfying  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha(\beta + 1) > 1$ , such that*

$$(i) \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r))}{(\log r e^{L(r)})^\alpha} = A, \text{ a real number } > 0,$$

$$(ii) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{(\log M_h^{-1}(r))^{\beta+1}} = B, \text{ a real number } > 0.$$

Then

$$\rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) = \infty.$$

**Proof.** From (i), we have for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity

$$\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r)) \geq (A - \varepsilon) (\log r e^{L(r)})^\alpha \quad (1)$$

and from (ii), we obtain for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r)) \geq (B - \varepsilon) (\log \mu_h^{-1}(r))^{\beta+1}.$$

Since  $\mu_g(r)$  is continuous, increasing and unbounded function of  $r$ , we get from above for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(\mu_g(r))) \geq (B - \varepsilon) (\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r)))^{\beta+1}. \quad (2)$$

Also  $\mu_h^{-1}(r)$  is an increasing function of  $r$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3, (1) and (2) for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r) &\geq \log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \frac{1}{24} \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{2} \right) \right) \right\} \\ \text{i.e., } \log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r) &\geq \log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right\} \\ \text{i.e., } \log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r) &\geq (B - \varepsilon) \left( \log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta+1} \\ \text{i.e., } \log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r) &\geq (B - \varepsilon) \left[ (A - \varepsilon) \left( \log \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) e^{L\left(\frac{r}{100}\right)} \right)^\alpha \right]^{\beta+1} \\ \text{i.e., } \log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r) &\geq (B - \varepsilon) (A - \varepsilon)^{\beta+1} \left( \log \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) e^{L\left(\frac{r}{100}\right)} \right)^{\alpha(\beta+1)} \\ \text{i.e., } \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} &\geq \frac{(B - \varepsilon) (A - \varepsilon)^{\beta+1} \left[ \log \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) e^{L\left(\frac{r}{100}\right)} \right]^{\alpha(\beta+1)}}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e., } \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\ \geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(B - \varepsilon) (A - \varepsilon)^{\beta+1} [\log re^{L(r)} + O(1)]^{\alpha(\beta+1)}}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary and  $\alpha(\beta + 1) > 1$ , it follows from above that

$$\rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) = \infty,$$

which proves the theorem.  $\square$

In the line of Theorem 2.4, one may state the following two theorems without their proofs :

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions and  $g(0) = 0$ . If there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , satisfying  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha(\beta + 1) > 1$ , such that*

$$(i) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r))}{(\log r e^{L(r)})^\alpha} = A, \text{ a real number } > 0,$$

$$(ii) \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{(\log \mu_h^{-1}(r))^{\beta+1}} = B, \text{ a real number } > 0.$$

Then

$$\rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) = \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions and  $g(0) = 0$ . If there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , satisfying  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha(\beta + 1) > 1$ , such that*

$$(i) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r))}{(\log r e^{L(r)})^\alpha} = A, \text{ a real number } > 0,$$

$$(ii) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{(\log \mu_h^{-1}(r))^{\beta+1}} = B, \text{ a real number } > 0.$$

Then

$$\lambda_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) = \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions and  $g(0) = 0$ . If there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , satisfying  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha\beta > 1$ , such that*

$$(i) \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r))}{(\log^{[2]} r)^\alpha} = A, \text{ a real number } > 0,$$

$$(ii) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left[ \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} \right]}{[\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)]^\beta} = B, \text{ a real number } > 0.$$

Then

$$\rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) = \infty.$$

**Proof.** From (i), we have for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity we get that

$$\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r)) \geq (A - \varepsilon) \left( \log^{[2]} r \right)^\alpha \quad (3)$$

and from (ii), we obtain for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left[ \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} \right] &\geq (B - \varepsilon) [\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)]^\beta \\ \text{i.e., } \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} &\geq \exp \left[ (B - \varepsilon) [\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)]^\beta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu_g(r)$  is continuous, increasing and unbounded function of  $r$ , we get from above for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(\mu_g(r)))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r))} \geq \exp \left[ (B - \varepsilon) [\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r))]^\beta \right]. \quad (4)$$

Also  $\mu_h^{-1}(r)$  is increasing function of  $r$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3, (3) and (4) for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} &\geq \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \frac{1}{24} \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{4} \right) \right) \right\}}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\ \text{i.e., } \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} &\geq \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right\}}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\ \text{i.e., } \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} &\geq \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)} \cdot \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& i.e., \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \geq \exp \left[ (B - \varepsilon) \left[ \log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right]^\beta \right] \cdot \frac{(A - \varepsilon) \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^\alpha}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\
& i.e., \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \geq \exp \left[ (B - \varepsilon) (A - \varepsilon)^\beta \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^{\alpha\beta} \right] \cdot \frac{(A - \varepsilon) \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^\alpha}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\
& i.e., \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \geq \exp \left[ (B - \varepsilon) (A - \varepsilon)^\beta \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^{\alpha\beta-1} \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{(A - \varepsilon) \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^\alpha}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\
& i.e., \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \geq \left( \log \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^{(B-\varepsilon)(A-\varepsilon)^\beta \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^{\alpha\beta-1}} \cdot \frac{(A - \varepsilon) \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^\alpha}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& i.e., \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\
& \geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \log \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^{(B-\varepsilon)(A-\varepsilon)^\beta \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^{\alpha\beta-1}} \cdot \frac{(A - \varepsilon) \left( \log^{[2]} \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)^\alpha}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary and  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\alpha\beta > 1$ , the theorem follows from above.  $\square$

In the line of Theorem 2.7, one may also state the following two theorems without their proofs :

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions and  $g(0) = 0$ . If there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , satisfying  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha\beta > 1$ , such*

that

$$(i) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r))}{\left(\log^{[2]} r\right)^\alpha} = A, \text{ a real number } > 0,$$

$$(ii) \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left[ \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} \right]}{\left[\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)\right]^\beta} = B, \text{ a real number } > 0.$$

Then

$$\rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) = \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions and  $g(0) = 0$ . If there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , satisfying  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha\beta > 1$ , such that

$$(i) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(r))}{\left(\log^{[2]} r\right)^\alpha} = A, \text{ a real number } > 0,$$

$$(ii) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left[ \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} \right]}{\left[\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)\right]^\beta} = B, \text{ a real number } > 0.$$

Then

$$\lambda_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) = \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_h^{L^*}(g) \leq \rho_h^{L^*}(g) < \infty$ ,  $g(0) = 0$  and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} = A, \text{ a real number } < \infty.$$

Then

$$\lambda_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) \leq A \cdot \lambda_h^{L^*}(g) \text{ and } \rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) \leq A \cdot \rho_h^{L^*}(g).$$

**Proof.** Since  $\mu_h^{-1}(r)$  is an increasing function of  $r$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \leq \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \{\mu_f(\mu_g(26r))\}}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\
& \quad i.e., \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \leq \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \{\mu_f(\mu_g(26r))\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))} \cdot \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \quad (5) \\
& \quad i.e., \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \{\mu_f(\mu_g(26r))\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))} \cdot \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \right], \\
& \quad i.e., \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \{\mu_f(\mu_g(26r))\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))} \cdot \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\
& \quad i.e., \lambda_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) \leq A \cdot \lambda_h^{L^*}(g). \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Also from (5), we obtain for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \{\mu_f(\mu_g(26r))\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))} \cdot \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \right] \\
& \quad i.e., \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\
& \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \{\mu_f(\mu_g(26r))\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))} \cdot \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_g(26r))}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}
\end{aligned}$$

$$i.e., \rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) \leq A \cdot \rho_h^{L^*}(g). \quad (7)$$

Therefore the theorem follows from (6) and (7).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_h^{L^*}(g) < \infty$ ,  $g(0) = 0$  and*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} = A, \text{ a real number } < \infty.$$

Then

$$\rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) \geq B \cdot \lambda_h^{L^*}(g).$$

**Proof.** Since  $\mu_h^{-1}(r)$  is an increasing function of  $r$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} &\geq \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right\}}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\ i.e., \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} &\geq \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu M_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)} \cdot \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu M_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\ i.e., \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu M_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)} \cdot \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu M_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \right], \\ i.e., \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \mu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left\{ \mu_f \left( \mu_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right) \right\}}{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu M_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)} \cdot \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1} \left( \mu M_g \left( \frac{r}{100} \right) \right)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \\ i.e., \rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) &\geq B \cdot \lambda_h^{L^*}(g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $f, g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_h^{L^*}(g) \leq \rho_h^{L^*}(g) < \infty$ ,  $g(0) = 0$  and*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} = B, \text{ a real number } < \infty.$$

Then

$$\lambda_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) \leq B \cdot \rho_h^{L^*}(g).$$

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $f, g$  and  $h$  be any three entire functions such that  $0 < \rho_h^{L^*}(g) < \infty$ ,  $g(0) = 0$  and*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_h^{-1}(\mu_f(r))}{\log \mu_h^{-1}(r)} = A, \text{ a real number } < \infty$$

for a particular value of  $\delta > 0$ . Then

$$\rho_h^{L^*}(f \circ g) \geq A \cdot \rho_h^{L^*}(g).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 2.13 are omitted because those can be carried out in the line of Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.11 respectively.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referees for their valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

### References

- [1] L. Bernal, Orden relative de crecimiento de funciones enteras, *Collect. Math.*, 39 (1988), 209-229.
- [2] S. K. Datta and T. Biswas, Growth of entire functions based on relative order, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 51 (1) (2009), 49-58.

- [3] S. K. Datta and A. R. Maji, Relative order of entire functions in terms of their maximum terms, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 43 (5) (2011), 2119-2126.
- [4] S. K. Datta, T. Biswas, and S. Ali, Growth estimates of composite entire functions based on maximum terms using their relative L-order, *Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis*, 7 (2) (2012), 119-134.
- [5] S. K. Singh and G. P. Barker, Slowly changing functions and their applications, *Indian J. Math.*, 19 (1) (1977), 1-6.
- [6] D. Somasundaram and R. Thamizharasi, A note on the entire functions of L-bounded index and L type, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 19 (3) (1988), 284-293.
- [7] A. P. Singh, On maximum term of composition of entire functions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India*, 59 (A)(part I) (1989), 103-115.
- [8] A. P. Singh and M. S. Baloria, On maximum modulus and maximum term of composition of entire functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 22 (12) (1991), 1019-1026.
- [9] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Functions*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1968.
- [10] G. Valiron, *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1949.

**Sanjib Kumar Datta**

Department of Mathematics

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

University of Kalyani

P.O.-Kalyani, Dist-Nadia, PIN-741235, West Bengal, India

E-mail: sanjib.kr.datta@yahoo.co.in

**Tanmay Biswas**

Research Scholar of Mathematics

Rajbari, Rabindrapalli

P.O.-Krishnagar, Dist-Nadia, PIN- 741101, West Bengal, India

E-mail: tanmaybiswas\_math@rediffmail.com

**Ahsanul Hoque**

Department of Mathematics

Research Scholar of Mathematics

University Of Kalyani

P.O.-Kalyani, Dist-Nadia, PIN-741235, West Bengal, India

E-mail: ahoque033@gmail.com