

Tensor Product of Operator-Valued Frames in Hilbert C*-Modules

K. Musazadeh

Islamic Azad University, Mahabad-Branch

A. Khosravi

Tarbiat Moallem University

Abstract. We show that the tensor product of two operator-valued frames for two Hilbert C*-modules is an operator-valued frame for the tensor product of these Hilbert C*-modules.

AMS Subject Classification: 94A12; 42C15; 68M10; 46C05.

Keywords and Phrases: Frames, operator-valued frames, tensor product, Hilbert C*-modules.

1. Introduction

Frames on Hilbert C*-modules have been defined for unital C*-algebras by Frank and Larson [1] and investigated by many authors, see [2, 6, 11]. Recently, some generalizations of frames are proposed, for example, fusion frames, g-frames ([7]), operator-valued frames on Hilbert C*-modules for a unital C*-algebra ([4]). Furthermore, frames and bases in tensor products of Hilbert C*-modules have been studied in [6]. For more details about the tensor product of Hilbert spaces and C*-algebras we refer to [9]. We note that Hilbert C*-modules are used in the study of locally compact quantum groups, completely positive maps between C*-algebras, noncommutative geometry and K-theory. Also tensor product is useful in the approximation of multi-variate functions of combinations of univariate ones. In this section we recall some of the essential definitions and results which are needed in the sequel. For more details see [4].

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. We denote the Hilbert (right) C^* - \mathcal{A} -module by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The classic example of Hilbert (right) \mathcal{A} -module and the only one we will consider in this paper is the standard module $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} := \ell^2(\mathcal{A})$, the space of all sequences $\{a_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} a_i^* a_i$ converges in norm to a positive element of \mathcal{A} . $\ell^2(\mathcal{A})$ is endowed with the natural linear structure and right \mathcal{A} -multiplication, and with the \mathcal{A} -valued inner product defined by $\langle \{a_i\}, \{b_i\} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} a_i^* b_i$, where the sum converges in norm by the Schwartz Inequality ([5]).

A map T from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is adjointable if there is a map $T^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\langle T^* \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, T \eta \rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$, ([4]). The collection of adjointable operators is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a C^* -algebra ([5]). For each pair of elements $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$, a bounded rank-one operator is defined by $\theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) = \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle$, for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The closed submodule of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ generated by rank-one operators is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$. When $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} = \ell^2$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$, and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ coincides with the ideal \mathcal{K} of all compact operators on ℓ^2 . $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is always a closed ideal of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$. The analog of the strong operator topology on $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ is the *strict topology* on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}) \ni T_\lambda \rightarrow T \text{ strictly if } \|(T_\lambda - T)S\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|S(T_\lambda - T)\| \rightarrow 0, \forall S \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

There is an alternate view of the objects $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Embed the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ into its Banach space second dual $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$, which, as is well known, is a W^* -algebra ([10]). The multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, is defined as the collection

$$\{T \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**} : TS, ST \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K} \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Equipped with the norm of $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$, $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a C^* -algebra. Assuming that \mathcal{A} is unital we apply the following two $*$ -isomorphisms without further references:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is technically hard to work with, while $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is more accessible due to many established results. More details on the subject can be found in ([6, 8]). We denote the tensor product of two Hilbert C^* -modules $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ which is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -module, where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are C^* -algebras. See ([6, 8]).

2. Operator-Valued Frames on Hilbert C*-Modules

We generalize an important result about the tensor product of frames on Hilbert C*-modules to operator-valued frames. First, we recall some definitions.

Definition 2.1. *According to ([1]), a (vector) frame on the Hilbert C*-module \mathcal{H}_A of a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} is a collection of elements $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ in \mathcal{H}_A for which there are two positive scalars a and b such that for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_A$,*

$$a \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \leq \sum_{i \in I} \langle \xi, \xi_i \rangle \langle \xi_i, \xi \rangle \leq b \langle \xi, \xi \rangle,$$

where the convergence is in the norm of the C*-algebra \mathcal{A} .

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_A$ be an arbitrary unital vector, i.e., $\langle \eta, \eta \rangle = Id$, then by a result in ([4]), $E_0 := \theta_{\eta, \eta} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a projection. Then $\mathcal{H}_0 := E_0 \mathcal{H}_A$ is a submodule of \mathcal{H}_A and we can identify $E_0 M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ with $B(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_0)$, the set of linear bounded adjointable operators from \mathcal{H}_A to the submodule \mathcal{H}_0 .

Definition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra and I be a countable index set. Let E_0 be a projection in $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Denote by \mathcal{H}_0 the submodule $E_0 \mathcal{H}_A$ and identify $B(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_0)$ with $E_0 M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. A collection $\{\Lambda_i \in B(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_0) : i \in I\}$ is called an operator-valued frame on \mathcal{H}_A with range in \mathcal{H}_0 if the sum $\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i$ converges in the strict topology to a bounded invertible operator on \mathcal{H}_A denoted by D_Λ . $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a tight operator-valued (resp., Parseval operator-valued frame) if $D_\Lambda = \lambda Id_{\mathcal{H}_A}$ for a positive number λ (resp., $D_\Lambda = Id_{\mathcal{H}_A}$), ([4]).*

Notice that if $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an operator-valued frame with range in \mathcal{H}_0 , then it is also an operator-valued frame with range in any larger submodule. For more details see ([4]). Most properties of frames on Hilbert spaces hold also for Hilbert C*-modules. Also Kaftal, Larson and Zhang ([4]) showed that most results for operator-valued frames on Hilbert spaces are also true for operator-valued frames on Hilbert C*-modules. In this section we have another result about this subject which

is a generalization of a result in ([6]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{T_\alpha\}_\alpha \subset B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ converges strictly to T and $\{S_\beta\}_\beta \subset B(\mathcal{H}_A)$ converges strictly to S . Then $\{T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta\}_{\alpha,\beta} \subset B(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_A)$ converges strictly to $T \otimes S$.*

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_A)$ are at least algebraically equivalent. For this, let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_A$. Then for all $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \otimes \theta_{\xi_2, \eta_2})(\zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2) &= \theta_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(\zeta_1) \otimes \theta_{\xi_2, \eta_2}(\zeta_2) = \xi_1 \langle \eta_1, \zeta_1 \rangle \otimes \xi_2 \langle \eta_2, \zeta_2 \rangle \\ &= (\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2)(\langle \eta_1, \zeta_1 \rangle \otimes \langle \eta_2, \zeta_2 \rangle) = (\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) \langle \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2, \zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2 \rangle \\ &= \theta_{\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2}(\zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\theta_{\xi_1, \eta_1} \otimes \theta_{\xi_2, \eta_2} = \theta_{\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2}$. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_A)$ are closed linear spans of these rank-one operators, the result follows. Now let $U, V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A)$. Then $U \otimes V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_A)$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta - T \otimes S)(U \otimes V)\| \\ &= \|(T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta - T_\alpha \otimes S + T_\alpha \otimes S - T \otimes S)(U \otimes V)\| \\ &= \|[T_\alpha \otimes (S_\beta - S) + (T_\alpha - T) \otimes S](U \otimes V)\| \\ &= \|[T_\alpha \otimes (S_\beta - S)](U \otimes V) + [(T_\alpha - T) \otimes S](U \otimes V)\| \\ &\leq \|T_\alpha U \otimes (S_\beta - S)V\| + \|(T_\alpha - T)U \otimes SV\| \\ &\leq \|T_\alpha U\| \|(S_\beta - S)V\| + \|(T_\alpha - T)U\| \|SV\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|(S_\beta - S)V\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|(T_\alpha - T)U\| \rightarrow 0$ by the hypothesis,

$$\|(T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta - T \otimes S)(U \otimes V)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\|(U \otimes V)(T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta - T \otimes S)\| \rightarrow 0$, Hence the result follows. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be operator-valued frames in $B(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Then $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j\}_{i,j}$ is an operator-valued frame in $B(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{H}_0)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ are operator-valued frames in $B(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} (\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j)^* (\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j) &= \sum_{i,j} (\Lambda_i^* \otimes \Gamma_j^*) (\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j) = \sum_{i,j} (\Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i \otimes \sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j. \end{aligned}$$

But $\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i$ converges strictly to S_Λ and $\sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j$ converges strictly to S_Γ by the definition. Hence by the above lemma $\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i \otimes \sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j$ converges strictly to $S_\Lambda \otimes S_\Gamma$, which is a bounded invertible operator on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_A$. \square

Since by Theorem 1.4. of ([4]) a collection $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ in \mathcal{H}_A is a frame if and only if $\{A_i \in B(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_0) : i \in I\}$ is an operator-valued frame in \mathcal{H}_A , where $A_i(\xi) = \langle \xi, \xi_i \rangle$, as a particular case of Proposition 2.4. we get a result proved in ([6]).

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ be standard frames for \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B , respectively. Then $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j \in I}$ is a standard frame for $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.*

Proof. See ([6]). \square

Generalized frames in Hilbert C*-modules is another aspect of operator-valued frames in Hilbert C*-modules which is introduced in ([7]).

Acknowledgement

The authors express their gratitude to the referee for his careful reading and for several valuable points which improved the manuscript.

References

- [1] M. Frank and D. Larson, Frames in Hilbert C^* -modules and C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory*, 48 (2002), 273-314.
- [2] D. Han, W. Jing, and R. M. Mohapatra, Structured Parseval frames in Hilbert C^* -modules, (*preprint*).
- [3] V. Kaftal, D. Larson, and S. Zhang, Operator valued frames on C^* -modules, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 2007.
- [4] V. Kaftal, D. Larson, and S. Zhang, Operator valued frames, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (2009), 6349-6385.
- [5] G. G. Kasparov, Hilbert C^* -modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu, *J. Operator Theory*, 3 (1980), 133-150.
- [6] A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi, Frames and bases in tensor products of Hilbert spaces and Hilbert C^* -modules, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)*, 117 (1) (2007), 1-12.
- [7] A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi, Fusion frames and g-frames in Hilbert C^* -modules, *International Journal of Wavelets, Multiresolution and Information Processing*, 6 (3) (2008), 433-446.
- [8] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules-a toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., (Cambridge, England: Cambridge Univ. Press) (1995), vol. 210.
- [9] G. J. Murphy, *C^* -algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, California, 1990.
- [10] G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1979.
- [11] P. Wood, Wavelets and projective Hilbert modules , (*preprint*).

Kamran Musazadeh

Department of Mathematics
Islamic Azad University, Mahabad Branch
Mahabad, Iran.
E-mail: kamran_ms2004@yahoo.com

Amir Khosravi

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer
Tarbiat Moallem University
Tehran, Iran.
E-mail: khosravi_amir@yahoo.com