Journal of Mathematical Extension
Vol. 4, No. 1 (2009), 23-29

Tensor Product of Operator-Valued Frames in Hilbert C*-Modules

K. Musazadeh
Islamic Azad University, Mahabad-Branch

A. Khosravi
Tarbiat Moallem University

Abstract. We show that the tensor product of two operator-valued frames for two Hilbert C*-modules is an operator-valued frame for the tensor product of these Hilbert C*-modules.

AMS Subject Classification: 94A12; 42C15; 68M10; 46C05.
Keywords and Phrases: Frames, operator-valued frames, tensor product, Hilbert C*-modules.

1. Introduction

Frames on Hilbert C*-modules have been defined for unital C*-algebras by Frank and Larson [1] and investigated by many authors, see [2, 6, 11]. Recently, some generalizations of frames are proposed, for example, fusion frames, g-frames ([7]), operator-valued frames on Hilbert C*-modules for a unital C*-algebra ([4]). Furthermore, frames and bases in tensor products of Hilbert C*-modules have been studied in [6]. For more details about the tensor product of Hilbert spaces and C*-algebras we refer to [9]. We note that Hilbert C*-modules are used in the study of locally compact quantum groups, completely positive maps between C*-algebras, noncommutative geometry and K-theory. Also tensor product is useful in the approximation of multi-variate functions of combinations of univariate ones. In this section we recall some of the essential definitions and results which are needed in the sequel. For more details see [4].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C*-algebra. We denote the Hilbert (right) C*-$\mathcal{A}$-module by $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$. The classic example of Hilbert (right) $\mathcal{A}$-module and the only one we will consider in this paper is the standard module $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} := \ell^2(\mathcal{A})$, the space of all sequences $\{a_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{i\in I} a_i^* a_i$ converges in norm to a positive element of $\mathcal{A}$. $\ell^2(\mathcal{A})$ is endowed with the natural linear structure and right $\mathcal{A}$-multiplication, and with the $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product defined by $< \{a_i\}, \{b_i\} >= \sum_{i\in I} a_i^* b_i$, where the sum converges in norm by the Schwartz Inequality ([5]).

A map T from $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ is adjointable if there is a map $T^* : \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ such that $< T^*\xi, \eta >=< \xi, T\eta >$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$, ([4]). The collection of adjointable operators is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$. Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ is a C*-algebra ([5]). For each pair of elements $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$, a bounded rank-one operator is defined by $\theta_{\xi,\eta}(\zeta) = \xi < \eta, \zeta >$, for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$. The closed submodule of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ generated by rank-one operators is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$. When $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$, then $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} = \ell^2$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$, and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the ideal $\mathcal{K}$ of all compact operators on ℓ^2. $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ is always a closed ideal of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$. The analog of the strong operator topology on $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ is the *strict topology* on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}) \ni T_\lambda \to T \ \textit{strictly if} \ \|(T_\lambda - T)S\| \to 0 \ \textit{and} \ \|S(T_\lambda - T)\| \to 0, \forall S \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}).$$

There is an alternate view of the objects $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$. Embed the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ into its Banach space second dual $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$, which, as is well known, is a W*-algebra ([10]). The multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, is defined as the collection

$$\{T \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**} : TS, ST \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K} \ \ \forall S \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Equipped with the norm of $(\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{K})^{**}$, $M(\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{K})$ is a C*-algebra. Assuming that $\mathcal{A}$ is unital we apply the following two *-isomorphisms without further references:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}) \cong M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ is technically hard to work with, while $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is more accessible due to many established results. More details on the subject can be found in ([6, 8]). We denote the tensor product of two Hilbert C*-modules $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ which is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$-module, where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are C*-algebras. See ([6, 8]).

2. Operator-Valued Frames on Hilbert C*-Modules

We generalize an important result about the tensor product of frames on Hilbert C*-modules to operator-valued frames. First, we recall some definitions.

Definition 2.1. *According to* ([1]), *a (vector) frame on the Hilbert C*-module $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ of a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a collection of elements $\{\xi_i\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ for which there are two positive scalars a and b such that for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$,*

$$a < \xi, \xi > \leqslant \sum_{i\in I} < \xi, \xi_i >< \xi_i, \xi > \leqslant b < \xi, \xi >,$$

where the convergence is in the norm of the C-algebra $\mathcal{A}$.*

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary unital vector, i.e., $< \eta, \eta >= Id$, then by a result in ([4]), $E_0 := \theta_{\eta,\eta} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a projection. Then $\mathcal{H}_0 := E_0\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ and we can identify $E_0M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ with $B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_0)$, the set of linear bounded adjointable operators from $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ to the submodule $\mathcal{H}_0$.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C*-algebra and I be a countable index set. Let E_0 be a projection in $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_0$ the submodule $E_0\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ and identify $B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ with $E_0M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. A collection $\{\Lambda_i \in B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_0) : i \in I\}$ is called an operator-valued frame on $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ with range in $\mathcal{H}_0$ if the sum $\sum_{i\in I} \Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i$ converges in the strict topology to a bounded invertible operator on $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ denoted by D_Λ. $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ is called a tight operator-valued (resp., Parseval operator-valued frame) if $D_\Lambda = \lambda Id_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}}$ for a positive number λ (resp., $D_\Lambda = Id_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}}$),* ([4]).

Notice that if $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an operator-valued frame with range in $\mathcal{H}_0$, then it is also an operator-valued frame with range in any larger submodule. For more details see ([4]). Most properties of frames on Hilbert spaces hold also for Hilbert C*-modules. Also Kaftal, Larson and Zhang ([4]) showed that most results for operator-valued frames on Hilbert spaces are also true for operator-valued frames on Hilbert C*-modules. In this section we have another result about this subject which is a generalization of a result in ([6]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{T_\alpha\}_\alpha \subset B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ converges strictly to T and $\{S_\beta\}_\beta \subset B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ converges strictly to S. Then $\{T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta\}_{\alpha,\beta} \subset B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ converges strictly to $T \otimes S$.*

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ are at least algebraically equivalent. For this, let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$. Then for all $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ we have

$$(\theta_{\xi_1,\eta_1} \otimes \theta_{\xi_2,\eta_2})(\zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2) = \theta_{\xi_1,\eta_1}(\zeta_1) \otimes \theta_{\xi_2,\eta_2}(\zeta_2) = \xi_1 < \eta_1, \zeta_1 > \otimes \xi_2 < \eta_2, \zeta_2 >$$

$$= (\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2)(< \eta_1, \zeta_1 > \otimes < \eta_2, \zeta_2 >) = (\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) < \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2, \zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2 >$$
$$= \theta_{\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2}(\zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2).$$

Therefore, $\theta_{\xi_1,\eta_1} \otimes \theta_{\xi_2,\eta_2} = \theta_{\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2, \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2}$. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$ are closed linear spans of these rank-one operators, the result follows. Now let $U, V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$. Then $U \otimes V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A})$. So we have

$$\|(T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta - T \otimes S)(U \otimes V)\|$$
$$= \|(T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta - T_\alpha \otimes S + T_\alpha \otimes S - T \otimes S)(U \otimes V)\|$$
$$= \|[T_\alpha \otimes (S_\beta - S) + (T_\alpha - T) \otimes S](U \otimes V)\|$$
$$= \|[T_\alpha \otimes (S_\beta - S)](U \otimes V) + [(T_\alpha - T) \otimes S](U \otimes V)\|$$
$$\leqslant \|T_\alpha U \otimes (S_\beta - S)V\| + \|(T_\alpha - T)U \otimes SV\|$$
$$\leqslant \|T_\alpha U\|\|(S_\beta - S)V\| + \|(T_\alpha - T)U\|\|SV\|.$$

Since $\|(S_\beta - S)V\| \to 0$ and $\|(T_\alpha - T)U\| \to 0$ by the hypothesis,

$$\|(T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta - T \otimes S)(U \otimes V)\| \to 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\|(U \otimes V)(T_\alpha \otimes S_\beta - T \otimes S)\| \to 0$, Hence the result follows. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be operator-valued frames in $B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Then $\{\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j\}_{i,j}$ is an operator-valued frame in $B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{H}_0)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i\in I}$ are operator-valued frames in $B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i,j}(\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j)^*(\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j) = \sum_{i,j}(\Lambda_i^* \otimes \Gamma_j^*)(\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j) = \sum_{i,j}(\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i \otimes \Gamma_j^*\Gamma_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i \otimes \sum_{j\in I}\Gamma_j^*\Gamma_j.$$

But $\sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i$ converges strictly to S_Λ and $\sum_{j\in I}\Gamma_j^*\Gamma_j$ converges strictly to S_Γ by the definition. Hence by the above lemma $\sum_{i\in I}\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_i \otimes \sum_{j\in I}\Gamma_j^*\Gamma_j$ converges strictly to $S_\Lambda \otimes S_\Gamma$, which is a bounded invertible operator on $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$. □

Since by Theorem 1.4. of ([4]) a collection $\{\xi_i\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ is a frame if and only if $\{A_i \in B(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_0) : i \in I\}$ is an operator-valued frame in $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$, where $A_i(\xi) = <\xi, \xi_i>$, as a particular case of Proposition 2.4. we get a result proved in ([6]).

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\{g_i\}_{i\in I}$ be standard frames for $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$, respectively. Then $\{f_i \otimes g_j\}_{i,j\in I}$ is a standard frame for $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. See ([6]). □

Generalized frames in Hilbert C*-modules is another aspect of operator-valued frames in Hilbert C*-modules which is introduced in ([7]).

Acknowledgement

The authors express their gratitude to the referee for his careful reading and for several valuable points which improved the manuscript.

References

[1] M. Frank and D. Larson, Frames in Hilbert C*-modules and C*-algebras, *J. Operator Theory,* 48 (2002), 273-314.

[2] D. Han, W. Jing, and R. M. Mohapatra, Structured Parseval frames in Hilbert C*-modules, *(preprint).*

[3] V. Kaftal, D. Larson, and S. Zhang, Operator valued frames on C*-modules, *Contemporary Mathematics,* 2007.

[4] V. Kaftal, D. Larson, and S. Zhang, Operator valued frames, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (2009), 6349-6385.

[5] G. G. Kasparov, Hilbert C*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu, *J. Operator Theory,* 3 (1980), 133-150.

[6] A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi, Frames and bases in tensor products of Hilbert spaces and Hilbert C*-modules, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)*, 117 (1) (2007), 1-12.

[7] A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi, Fusion frames and g-frames in Hilbert C*-modules, *International Journal of Wavelets, Multiresolution and Information Processing,* 6 (3) (2008), 433-446.

[8] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C*-modules-a toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., (Cambridge, England: Cambridge Univ. Press) (1995), vol. 210.

[9] G. J. Murphy, *C*-algebras and operator theory,* Academic Press, San Diego, California, 1990.

[10] G. K. Pedersen, *C*-algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London, New york, San Francisco, 1979.

[11] P. Wood, Wavelets and projective Hilbert modules , *(preprint).*

Kamran Musazadeh
Department of Mathematics
Islamic Azad University, Mahabad Branch
Mahabad, Iran.
E-mail: kamran_ms2004@yahoo.com

Amir Khosravi
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer
Tarbiat Moallem University
Tehran, Iran.
E-mail: khosravi_amir@yahoo.com